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## PREFACE.

In offering this work to the public I need not apologize for bringing out a new Grammar of the Paṣ̆to ; for every body conversant with this branch of literature is quite aware, that this is as yet by no means a superfluous task. Without disparaging the merits of my predecessors on this field of labour I may be allowed to state, that I have independently of them followed my own course and subjected the Paṣ̆to to a searching intercomparative examination, in order to elucidate its grammatical formation and structure and at the same time to assign to it its proper place in the family of languages. I trust, that my researches may prove to some extent useful to the student and that others may thereby be moved to turn their studies to this field, in which there is still ample space for the cooperation of others. What we stand most in need of are critically sifted texts, not mere reprints of manuscripts with all the blunders of the copyists. A good edition of the Dīvān of Rah mān, Xušh'āl, H'amīd etc., of the Paṣ̣̆to translation of the Anvārī Suhailī (Kalīlah ${ }_{0}$ Damanah), the Tārī -i murassa3 etc. would be most heartily welcomed by all, who take an interest in Paṣ̆to studies. But the editors of such works should not consider it beneath their dignity to add explanations of difficult or rare words: for our Paṣ̆to lexicography has only commenced as yet and not every body has the advantage of consulting learned natives.

The pronunciation, put down in this Grammar, I believe on the whole to be correct; I have heard with own ears the Paṣ̆to spoken by the Afghāns and I have paid the greatest attention to the sounds and frequently inquired from learned men in Peshawer and Lahore, whenever I was doubtful; but it is possible, that I may have erred in this or that point.

Most of the quotations inserted in this Grammar are taken from Raverty's Gulshan-i Rōh and Dr. Dorn's Chrestomathy of the Pushtū or Afghān Language (St. Petersburgh 1847), as it was my intention to illustrate the grammatical rules, as far as possible, by examples drawn from such works, as are generally accessible; quotations from Mss. have therefore been introduced only sparingly.

My best thanks are due to the Imperial Academy of Vienna, which encouraged me to publish this Grammar by offering to defray a part of the printing expenses and to the Supreme Government of India, which liberally subscribed for a number of copies.

Tübingen, $1^{\text {th }}$ Jan. 1873.
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## Introduction.

On the origin and relationship of the $P$ ặ̣̣to.

We hope that the time is passed for ever, when the Paṣṣo was classified ander the Semitic languages and that such assertions will in future only be looked upon as a curiosity. For the Paṣ̆to does not contain a single Hebrew word, and the substantive $\hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{J} \mathrm{o} \mathrm{r}$, fire, which was identified with the Hebrew אוֹר, light, is derived from the Zend ātar (Nom. ātarsh, thence Pārsī ātash and modern Persian (آخش).*)

But if it is agreed on all hands, that the Paṣ̆to belongs to the Indo-germanic family of languages, the further question is, if it is to be classified with the Zend family or with the Indo-Ārian stock?

Hitherto those, whose judgement has a great weight in deciding questions of this kind, ascribed the Paṣ̆to unhesitatingly to the Zend-family; so the learned Prof. Frederic Muller in his fine remarks on the Paṣṣto sounds.**) We quite agree with him,

[^0]that the palatal sibilants $;, j, 2$ can only have had their origin from the Zend (cf. §. 3 of this Grammar), that the change of the Sanskrit क to ; and $\boldsymbol{j}$ in Paṣ̣tō can only be explained by the medium of the Zend and that in the pronouns and numerals many forms receive their only light from the Zend. But on the other hand it must not be forgotten, that the Paṣsto has preserved the whole cerebral row (of course with exception of the aspirates) of the Indian Prākrit tongues, that a very large stock of pare Paṣ̆tō words is directly derived from the adjoining Prākrit idioms (chiefly the Sindhī, less the Panjäbī), that the whole formation of the declensional and conjugational process bears the closest analogy to the Sindhir, that the whole structure of the Paṣsto active and causal verbs in the past tenses fully coincides with and can only be explained from the Sindhĩ, as shown in this Grammar.*) The Pạṣto however is by no means a Prākrit idiom, like the Sindhī, Panjābī etc., but an old independent langage, forming the first transition from
 participating of the characteristics of both, but still with predominant Prākrit features. This is also fully borne out by the geographical position of the Paṣ̣̆̆ ō between the Indian and Īrānian idioms.

Lassen already has proved ${ }^{* *}$ ), that the $\Pi \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{v} \varepsilon \varepsilon_{s}$ of Herodotus are in all likelihood the forefathers of our modern Afghāns. He pats down in this map of Ancient India the country of $\Pi \alpha \times \tau v i x i \eta$ in the Sulaimani range of mountains, where no doubt the ancient seat of the Afghāns is to be sought, as they are frequently called thence Sulaimānis (by the Arabs). But the ancient חaxrvïx $\dot{\eta}$ is not to be restricted to this mountain range alone but must

[^1]have extended more westwards, including the ancient Arachosia; for according to some old traditions of the Afghāns they were originally settled (or at least a portion of them) in a country called Ghōr $\left.\left({ }_{\text {( }}\right)^{*}\right)$ which must be sought eastward of Herāt and north-west of Ghazni. This would account for the fact, that Sultān Mahmūd Ghāzī (A. D. 1010.) could subdue a portion of the Afghāns, whereas those dwelling in the (Sulaimānī) mountains remained rebellious (see: Dorn, Niamat Ullah, history of the Afghāns, Annotations p. 76). So much is certain, that at the time of Amīr Nāsir Ud-dīn Sabuktaghīn of Ghaznī (about A. D. 962) the Afghāns were already settled in the districts of Qandahār and Ghaznī; their migration to the north did not take place before the latter half of the $14^{\text {th }}$ century and the conquest of Swāt by the Yusufzais in A. D. 1413-1424.

The Afghāns appear, as early as history mentions them, as a distinct nation, divided into many tribes or clans which are often at war against each other, but comprehended under one common national name.

The name 'Afghān' or 'Avghān' (أَغغَان , أَغَان **) is according to a tradition of the Afghāns themselves that of the son of Ermia, son of Tālūt (Saul), king of Israël; ***) for the Afghāns pretend to be descended from Yasqüb (Jacob, the Patriarch). $\dagger$ ) The
*) is apparently an appellative, 'a mountainous country' (now $\dot{\bar{غ}})$; this accounts for the different Ghōrs mentioned. Elphinstone (Caubul, p. 153, note) mentions also another Ghōr, east of Furrah.
**) Also spelled آنغَانَا.
***) Dorn, Niamat Ullah, p. 23.
†) Compare Gulsh. II, p. 64, 3:

„They are the tribe and race of Yazqub."
The whole pedigree is exhibited in Dorn's Niamat Ullah, p. 37, and totally irreconcilable with the Biblical accounts.
name „Paṭhān," which they bear chiefly in India, they account for in the following way. The Afghān Malik, called Qais, went to Medinnah to see and hear the Prophet, invited by a letter from Khālid. The Prophet gave to this personage the name of Abd-urrashīd and on account of his bravery in the battle against the Koraishites he said, „that the attachment (of the Afghāns) to the faith would be in strength like the wood, upon which they lay the keel, when constructing a ship", which wood the seamen call 'Pathān'; on this account he conferred upon Abd-ar-rashīd the title of 'Pathān' also. *)

Though the tradition of a people deserves all attention, yet we must state, that the derivation of the name of 'Afghān' from a supposed son of Ermia, called 'Afghān' or 'Afghānah',**) is without any foundation whatever and a mere fiction, and with it we must also declare the whole story of their Jewish descent, based on this very name, a wilful invention, made with no other object but to vindicate some noble origin to the Afghān race; their own language totally contradicts their claims of a Jewish descent, of which the inventors of this myth were not aware.***) That the derivation of the name 'Pathān', put into the mouth of the Prophet, is equally fanciful, will be shown presently.

The name 'Afghan' is, at it is well known, not the national name, which the Afghāns apply to themselves, but given them by the Persians. Its etymology or meaning is not known, as little as we know up to the present day, why the Romans called
*) Dorn, Niamat Ullah, p. 38.
**) Another curious explanation of the name 'Afghān' see: Dorn, Niamat Ullah, Annotations, p. 64.
***) Their Jewish features are greatly exaggerated by such, as believe in the Jewish descent of the Afghāns; I for my own part could detect nothing of them.
the Teutons 'Germani', the Germans themselves not making use of this name.

The Borhān-i-qātis simply says about the word 'Afghān':
 قبيله اسست مشهور ومعروف وجهعش افاغنx اسست بـر وزن فـراعزنغ بطريت جمع عری
„Afghān, with the dotted $\varepsilon$, after the analogy of 'mastān', occurs in the sense of 'complaint and wailing', it is also well known as name of a people and its plural is 'Afäghinah', after the analogy of farāßinah, after the manner of an Arabic plural."

The national name with which the Afghāns designate them-
 east 'paýtün'. It is seen at the first glance, that this is the very name, which they bear already in the list of Herodotas, who has transcribed it in Greek, as accurately as possible, by $\Pi^{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} x v \varepsilon \varepsilon$. (Herodotas VII, 68). From 'paṣṣ̄ūn', by a regalar Prākrit assimilation, the Indian 'paṭhān' is formed (see my Sindhī Grammar, Introd. p. XLII, under $\mathbb{E}$ ); there is no such word in Arabic as 'pathān' (the Arabic knowing neither the letter $p$ nor th) nor in Persian either, and if the eastern Afghāns use occasionally the name being destitute of an aspirate).

In India the Afghāns are also known under the name of 'Rōhillah'. ' The Afghāns themselves call their country 'rōh', but only in contradistinction to the Indian low-lands. Rōh is by no means a proper name', but an appellative signifying 'a mountainous country, as still used in Sindhī (रोहु). Thence is regularly derived روِهيبَ rōhīlai, a mountaineer (see §.33), Sindhī रोहीलो.

We trust that the comparative remarks, which we have inserted in this Grammar, will prove our assertion regarding the origin and affinity of the Paṣ̆to and incite to a deeper comparative study of the language of an old warlike nation, which has played already so great a roll in the commotions of Asia and which, according to all the signs of the time, is destined to play a still greater one.

## I. Section.

## The Paṣ̂tō system of sounds.

§. 1.

## 1. The Paṣ̣̂̆

With the Islām the Afghāns have also received the Arabic characters, as most other nations, converted to the Isläm. When and by whom the Arabic characters were adapted to the Paṣto sounds, is unknown*), at any rate this difficulty has been solved with great skill. Only one consonant has been left indistinct, the media $\dot{\boldsymbol{H}} \underset{( }{\boldsymbol{d}}(=\mathrm{dz})$, which was not distinguished from its tenuis $\dot{z}!(=$ ts) by separate diacritical marks. We have endeavoured to sapply this want by placing two dots above $\tau$, viz $\dot{\sim}$, as for a foreigner at any rate the non-distinction of the two sounds must prove very troublesome.

At the beginning the punctuation of the Paṣ̆to alphabet seems to have been subject to many variations, the old manuscripts differing from each other very considerably in the use of the diacritical marks, e. g. $\dot{\psi}$, $\}$ and $\&$ are often found expressed by E, ; and $\dot{\text { ( }}$ (see Dorn, Chrestomathy of the Pushtō language, Introd. p. V); we find also partly the system, which gradually has been adopted for the Hind $\overline{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{tan} \overline{\mathrm{i}}$, marking the letters $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{r}$ by the saperscription of $ط$, as $\underset{\sim}{\dot{y}}, \dot{\zeta}, \zeta$, or by putting four dots above them, as $\because, \quad ", j$, in use, especially in manuscripts, written

[^2]in India. But gradually the present system of writing has been so thoroughly fixed in Paṣsto, in consequence of its clearness and easy applicability, that in more modern manuscripts a mistake is seldom to be met with, which may unhesitatingly be ascribed to the ignorance of the copyist, with the exception of such words, the orthography of which is not yet quite settled.

For the vowel-system the adopted arabic signs are not quite sufficient, as they do not fully cover the Paṣsto sounds. We shall try to supply this want, which the Afghāns themselves may not have felt mach, as they could easily enough find out the correct pronunciation of every noun without the addition of peculiar diacritical marks, by an accurate transcription in romanized letters, for which purpose we have adopted the Linguistical Alphabet of Prof. R. Lepsius (Standard Alphabet, IId edit.), with a few slight modifications.

We let now follow a phonetic survey of the Paṣšō alphabet, separating the foreign elements from the pure Paṣ̣̆to sounds.

Paṣto consonants.
Arabic
consonants.


With reference to the pronunciation of the parely Arabic consonants, it is to be observed, that the Afghāns pronounce them in the same way, as the Persians; thus $b$ is pronounced like
 like $h$, and the deep guttural touch, which is communicated to the accompanying vowel by $\varepsilon$, is generally not heard, only the mullās affect the original arabic pronanciation of these letters. For the sake of etymology the different sounds have been rendered in the romanized system in conformity with the Standard Alphabet.

We sabjoin here the common alphabetical order of the Paṣ̣̆̄ letters：

| Unconnected | Final | Medial | Initial | Name | Pronunciation \＆Rom． transcription |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | آلف | －（＇） |
| ب | بـ | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | ？ | بى | b |
| ب\％ | － | $\hat{*}$ | $\pm$ | ה | p |
| ت | $\because$ | z | ت | 31 | t |
| $\because$ | － | $\%$ | ） | ， | $t$ |
| ث | シ | $\dot{\lambda}$ | s | ज1 | $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$（s） |
| T | － | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | ج | جِبم | j |
| $\dot{\text { ̇ }}$ | ci | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | － | d（dz） |
| E | 人 | － | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ | 令 | č |
| も | ci | خ | － | \％ | $f$（ts） |
| $\tau$ | で | $s$ | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{h}^{\text {－}}$ |
| $\dot{\text { z }}$ | 穴 | $\Varangle$ | خ | خیى | $\chi$ |
| ט | $\lambda$ | 4 | $\checkmark$ | כֹJ0 | d |
| 8 | \％ | 8 | $\checkmark$ | J106 | d |
| ن | $\dot{\lambda}$ | $\dot{\text { i }}$ | － | ذَالJ | $\delta$（z） |
| ， | $s$ | ， | ， | ¢， | r |
| 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | $ى_{3}$ | r |
| j | j | ； | ； | ¢ | $z$ |
| $j$ | ＇ | ＊ | j | （\％） | ž（zh） |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ज？ | ${ }^{*}$（g） |
| U | u | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | سِين | $s$ |
| $\sim_{0}^{*}$ | \％ | M | ＊ | شِّين | š（sh） |
| 1＊ |  |  |  |  |  |


| Unconnected | Final | Medial | Initial | Name | Pronunciation \& Rom. transcription |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ن: | ט | חبٌ | ب\% | بِّبِّ | ¢ֻ ( $\chi^{\prime}$ ) |
| 0 | レ | ص | ص | صاى | S |
| ט | ט | $\pm$ | ض | ضَاט | $\underline{Z}$ |
| ط | ط | b | b | طوُّى | $\underline{\text { t }}$ |
| b | ظ | ظ | b | ظوُمُى | ¢ (z) |
| $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | 2 | ع | عِّنِ | 3 |
| غ̇ | $\dot{\varepsilon}$ | غ | $\dot{\text { غ }}$ | غِبّن | $\gamma$ |
| ف | ف | \& | j | فی, | f |
| 3 | 8 | $\ddot{\square}$ | 3 | 3َاف | q |
| $\checkmark$ | U, ك | 5 | 5,5 | كَاف | k |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 5 | 5 | كَاف | g |
| $J$ | 1 | 1 | J | \% ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 1 |
| $p$ | $\cdots$ | * | $\sim$ | - | m |
| 0 | $\dot{\top}$ | i | j | 'نون | n |
| - | - | $\alpha$ | j | 'نُون | $\square$ |
| , | F | 9 | 9 | وأو | V |
| 8 | \& | \%) 8 | و | هوى | h |
| $v$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{\text { - }}{ }$ | 2 | جبى | y |

A consonant may be doubled by, putting the sign - تَشْشحش ( tašdīd, corroboration) above it, as: $\bar{S}_{\bar{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}$ mullā, a Mullā or muhammadan priest. In Arabic all consonants may be doubled (even Alif provided with Hamzah not excepted). The Persian consonants
 the young of an animal, a child. In pure Paṣ̌to nouns the Tašdid is never met with.

The (parely) Arabic letters are also used as numerical values, in recording (by brief sentences, in which the sum of all the letters mast be added together) historical events.

| 1 | 1 |  | 8 | س | 60 | ت | 400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ب | 2 | b | 9 | $\varepsilon$ | 70 | ث | 500 |
| ? | 3 | $\checkmark$ | 10 | ف | 80 | خ | 600 |
| $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\checkmark$ | 20 | 0 | 90 | 3 | 700 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 5 | J | 30 | 3 | 100 | ம | 800 |
| ; | 6 | $p$ | 40 | , | 200 | ظ | 900 |
| ; | 7 | $\cup$ | 50 | * | 300 | غ | 1000 |

This method of computation is called abjad, from the first four letters, which are pronounced as a group. The following technical groups are (read from the right to the left):


The Arabs have borrowed this whole system from the Hebrews and have therefore also followed the order of the Hebrew alphabet; the first nine letters represent the units $1-9$; the nine following the tens, and the next nine the hundreds and the last letter ( $\dot{\varepsilon}$ ) a thousand.

The order of the Hebrew alphabet goes only as far as ت (Hebr. n) 400 ; from thence the Arabs have gone their own way, by using those letters, which are peculiar to their own language.

## §. 2.

## 2. The Paṣ̣̂tō consonants.

It must surprize us at the first look, that the Paṣ̣̆to alphabet is not possessed of any Aspirates*), and in this respect it agrees with the Iranian idioms, but on the other hand it has preserved the full row of the Cerebrals, whereby it closely approaches the Indian Präkrit tongues, yea, it has even preserved a
 تهَانَx thānah, a small fort etc. are no Paṣ̌to words at all but borrowed from the Hindi and only in use on the lndian frontier.
cerebral ṣ (بن), which has long ago disappeared in Präkrit and the idioms sprung from it. Let us now consider the different classes of sounds in detail.*)

## 1) The Gutturals.

The tenuis $\int \mathrm{k}$ and its media $\longleftarrow \mathrm{g}$ (the Persian manner of writing this letter, viz: $\dot{\forall}$ is not in use with the Afghāns) are pronounced in the usual way. Besides $5 k$ there is also $\mathcal{q}$ found in pure Paṣ̌to words; but this must be considered as a mistake, owing to the want of a strict orthography. This $\operatorname{is}$ is always pronounced like simple $\mathbf{k}$, to which it also corresponds etymologically. Examples of this kind are: זَ taq, a blow, a slap, Sindhī धकु dhaku; تُوقَد

 هَغَغ dagarăh, a push, Sindhī टकर (with transition of the tenuis $t$ into the media d).

The Paṣ̆to $\mathbf{k}$ corresponds in most cases to an original $\mathbf{k}$ or kh, as: كور kōl, family, Sansk. कुल; ‘ُوْهَى kūhai, a well, Sindhī
 stead, Sindhī खट Sansk. सद्वा. More rarely to the media $g$ or gh, as كَنْه kand, gum-resin, Sindhī गोडंदुं, Hindī गोन्द्ध; kōr, house, Sindhī घह (Prākrit already घर, Sansk. गृह).

The media $\leqslant \mathrm{g}$ corresponds generally to an original g or gh,
 button, Sindhī घुंडी; نُونر gūnr, a weevil, Sindhī घुपो. Now and then an original tenuis ( $k, k h$ ) has been changed to the media $g$, as: करमर्द्रक); F (ūt, a hole in a wall, Sindhī खाद्रु. Initial g

[^3]may also, according to the Persian laws of sound, correspond to


- Not differing from $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathrm{g}$ is, g , as regards its present pronunciation in Eastern Afghānistān, and it is therefore now and then (but wrongly) interchanged with $\delta$, though, according to its etymology, it mast be distinguished from it as an (originally) separate sound. In Western Afghānistān it has quite a different pronunciation, as will be seen under the Palatals; both pronunciations are softened from an original $\epsilon^{\mathrm{j}}$, and some of the eastern tribes pronounce it also as $e$.

Peculiar to the Paṣ̃tō is the sound $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma$, which the Afghāns pronounce with a deep articulation, common to mountainous people*). In most purely Paṣ̆to words it corresponds to the simple $g$ or gh of the Sanskrit-Prākrit as غَ غar, mountain, Sansk. गिरि; غوَا
 (Sansk. घोष, Zend gaosha). In some cases $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is a softening of



The sound $\dot{\tau} \chi$ the Paṣ̆to has in common with the Persian; its origin is various. It corresponds to old $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{kh}, \mathrm{g}$ and gh, as :

 or čh, thence Hindi छोटा); $\underset{\tau}{ }$, ja $\chi$, foam, Sindhī گग (Hindī 万ाग्).

Like the modern Persian the Pagṣto also changes the Sansk. conjunct letters स्व sv commonly to خو (by the medium of the
*) After initial $; \mathrm{z}$ we find $\dot{\text { c occasionally interpolated merely for }}$ euphony's sake (i. e. out of fondness for a harsh articulation) as: زغَرْ
 zyam-al, to bear, Sansk. 母्सम् (kọ̀ $=j$ ).

Zendic q), as: خَوَب $\chi^{\bar{u} b}$, sleep (Zend qafna), Persian (properly: $\chi F \overline{\text { äb) }}$, Sansk. स्वम ; $\hat{,} \chi^{\bar{\sigma} r}$, sister (Zend qaühar), Pers. خَوْآهر, Sansk. स्वसार् (स्वस्). In the same way the conjunct letters $\bar{Z}$ siv are treated (स being commonly changed
 (Sindhī ससू), Pers. خَوْشَاَنَن, $\chi$ vaš-dāman; but سخَر s $\chi$ خar, father-in-law, Sansk. म्वशुर (Sindhī सहुरो), Pers. 'شُر. An exception makes the pronominal adjective خبَ $\chi$ خpal, own, self, the group خو $\quad$ being changed to خ to 1 (see under the letter 1), Sansk. स्वदीय, Pers. خُ

A peculiarly deep gattaral is $\dot{\chi} \dot{\chi}$, as pronounced by the
 Western Afghānistān it is pronounced as a cerebral ṣ̆; see under the Cerebrals.
$\% \mathrm{~h}$ corresponds generally to original h , as $\hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{\jmath}$ drōh, malice, Sansk. द्रोह. At the beginning of a word $h$ is now and then the remnant of an aspirated Labial, as: هبی hēr, time, period,
 and then added, merely for euphony's sake, as: هَوبِّx or or hōyăh, egg, Sindhī छानो, Sansk. ऋरड, in Persian the aspi-
 Sindhi already हड़ु haḍu.
§. 3.
2) The Palatals.

The greatest variety of sounds the Paṣ̣̆to exhibits in the Palatal row; it possesses more palatal sounds than the Sauskrit and even the Zend.
${ }_{6}^{6}$ č is pronounced like the Persian ${ }_{6}$ (Engl. ch); it corresponds


## - $9-$

as : چَ بَا čār, work, Sindhī कारि, (Sansk. कार्ये), Pers. كا, جَاَّرْ čārăh, a long knife, Pers. كارט (Sansk. वर्तरी = कार्नी, Sindhi काTT?). In some cases $\underset{\sim}{ } \rightarrow$ has sprung from an original

 Hind साहिल.
e j mostly corresponds to original j̀, jh, as: جُؤ jōr,

 girl, Sansk. कन्यT; and from $s$, as: $x \underset{\sim}{-} \tilde{S}_{\text {, }}$ valǰ̆h, weapon, iden-
 interchanges with $;$, as جَبا ; or, sacrifice.

By a gradual softening of the pronunciation the Paṣ̌to has formed out of $\sigma$ č and $\underset{\sim}{j}$ j two new sounds, viz $\dot{\boldsymbol{i}}!(=$ ts $)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{C}}$ $\underset{\sim}{d}(=\mathrm{dz})$ respectively. Both sounds, i. e. č and $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}$ and ḑ, are now firmly fixed and only in a few nouns the pronunciation is


 ऊोली. - Like $\underset{z}{ }$ also $\dot{C}$ is frequently interchanging with ; $z$,

 Sansk. ससी.

It is to be noticed, that also original $\underset{\sim}{\|}$ has been changed
 Pers.
$j$ ž is, as regards its pronunciation, identical with the Persian $j ;$ it is pronounced like the French $\mathbf{j}$ in jour. In Paṣ̆tō as well as
in Persian it has been softened down from $c_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}}$, and it is written and pronounced $\underset{?}{ }$ by some of the eastern tribes. The Ghalzīs in middle Afghānistān pronounce it still more softly like ; z. E. g. $\begin{gathered}-\bar{j} \\ \text {; }\end{gathered}$
 of a bow, Sindhi जि

; ž has also sprung from original $h(=z)$ by the medium of the Zend and Persian, as

 ramžan, sorrowful, which is also written and pronounced جن jan ( $\check{z}=$ j $)$, as مَكرجَن makrǰan, deceitful, or مَكَزَن

The palatal sibilant ${ }_{2}{ }^{2}$ does now not essentially differ from; ž, as regards its pronanciation; at least I have not succeeded to find out a marked difference of sound. I refer to the fact, that the modern Afghāns themselves are not able to fix the difference of sound between, and $;$; in manuscripts of more recent date both letters also are frequently confounded and only old manuscripts can be taken as a sure guide, as they strictly distinguish between both letters, a few words excepted, the orthography of which seems always to have fluctuated between, and ;. There can be no doubt, that at the time, when the Paṣ̣to alphabet was fixed, the people must have been conscions of a perceptible difference between the two sibilants, and $;$, else they would not have been marked by different diacritical signs. As we have no longer a sure guide on these dark paths, we must endeavour to investigate the nature and origin of the sibilant, by etymology. We have already noticed (§. 2, 1.), that the sibilant in question is now pronounced like $g$ in the east of Afghānistān and only differing from the gattural $g$ by its etymology, whereas in the west the pronunciation \% has become predominant. Similar transitions of sounds we find in the Semitic languages, e. g. the Hebrew gamal (camel) becomes in Arabic jamal ( $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{j}$ ), then (by a palatal subsounding y ) gyamal and thence even žamal. Quite in analogy with this we find in Paṣ̣̆̆ a threefold pronunciation of ${ }_{2}$; the verbal termination $P_{2^{2}}$ - ēzam etc. for instance is pronounced in the east ēgam, in middle Afghānistān ējam and in the west êz̃am. The original pro-
nunciation of , seems therefore to have been žy and gy respectively (with a more or less distinct subsounding y).

As regards the origin of ${ }_{2}$ it has mostly sprung from ${ }_{6}{ }^{\mathrm{j}}$, as ${ }_{2}$ kṑ̃, crooked, Sansk. कुस्ज, Prākrit (by assimilation) कुज्ञ, Pers. $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$; يَ Original s, ś, ṣ has frequently passed into, $\frac{t}{z}$ (no doubt, by the medium of $\mathbf{j}$, as noticed already), as $\gamma_{2,}^{-\lambda} \hat{l}$ ōzăh, shoulder, Sansk.
 लेश; $\widehat{x}_{2}$ mažak, mouse, Sansk. मूषिक. It is worthy of notice, that the Paṣ̆to has changed also original $d$ to the sibilant,$\frac{*}{z}$, (or z)
 تَرْز garz, dust, Pers.
; z is an original Paṣ̣̆to sound, corresponding to the Persian; and pronounced like the English z. It is either softened from
 known, Sansk. ज्ञात, Zend znā; or it has sprung, after the precedent of the Zend, from original h, as joj ziyar, yellow, Sansk. हरित, Zend zairi, Pers. $0, j$; 8; ; zrah, heart, Zend zaredhaya, Sansk. (इदय; or from s (s) (by transition to e) as ${ }_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{jar}$, quick, Sansk. रीघ्र. $K(q)$ and $g(\gamma)$ also may pass into $;$, by the

 zanryōzăh, the cone of the pine-tree, Pers. جلْغوزه.

The palatal semivowel $\mathcal{v}$ y replaces not unfrequently an in-


 a far land, instead of هؤَاء. may also replace an initial
consonant, that has been dropped, as: $\underset{8 \text { sid }}{\text { in }}$ yarăh (yerăh) fear, instead
 Sansk.-Hindī देवरायी (Sindhī डेरायी).

$$
\text { §. } 4 .
$$

## 3) The Cerebrals.

The Cerebrals form quite a characteristical feature of the Paṣ̆to; as it shows by the number of sibilants its affinity to the Zend on the one hand, so it enters into close connexion with the Indian Präkrit tongues by the cerebral row, which it has preserved more fully, than the Präkrit itself. Every Paṣ̆tō noun therefore, which contains a Cerebral, may easily be traced back to its Indian origin.

The tenuis $\underset{\square}{t}$ commonly corresponds to an original $\overline{\mathrm{C}}$ or उ th, and is pronounced in the same way as the Sansk. Prākrit $\bar{Z}$ $t$, by bending the tip of the tongue towards the cerebral point.
 tapar, family, Sindhī टपड़்; mūt, fist, Sindhī मुठि (Sansk. मुष्टि). The Pašto shows already such a predilection for cerebral soands, that also original dentals have been changed to cerebrals,
 The media $\}$ d corresponds commonly to an original d and ḍh, as: هُ هُ dukāl, famine, Sindhī ड़ुकालु (Sansk. दुष्काल);
 heap; сبَّ baḍăh, bribe, sindhī वढ़ी. Now and then the media ḍ has replaced an original tenuis (t) as : كَاوَنْ gāvanḍ, neighbourhood (thence عَاوْنْلَعْی gāvanḍai neighbour), Hindī गाঙ्ञोंटी, Sansk. यामान्त; چَتَرَ daqarăh, a push, Sindhī टकह.
*) Similarly in Persian يَل, from Sansk. बीर, a hero.

In some cases $\underset{d}{d}$ has sprung from an original r, r, as: هُوَهُ dōdaī, bread, Sindhī - Hindī रोटी; كَإُعَى gāḍā̃, a cart, Hindī गाड़ी (găr̄ī), Sindhi गाड़ी (gạ̄̄̄̄), Sansk. गन्न्री.

بن š has, as noticed already, a twofold pronanciation; in the east of Afghānistān it is pronounced as a deep Guttural, whereas in the west it has become a cerebral sibilant (ṣ̆). A similar phenomenon we find already in Sindhī and in the other Indian Prākrit idioms. In Sindhī, which stands nearest to the Paṣito geographically*) and linguistically, the Sanskrit cerebral ष $\mathbf{s}$ is pronounced either as Z kh $(=\chi)$ or as $\bar{E}$ čh or changed to simple s (cf. my Sindhī Grammar, Introd. §. 5, c. §. 16, d.). In Paṣ̣to $\underset{\text { s. has }}{ }$ been either changed to $\dot{\chi}$ or the original cerebral ș has been retained. According to its origin the Paṣstō ự corresponds therefore
a) to the Sansk. cerebral ष ṣ̆, as: उ応, Zend ustra, Pers. كنَّل ; كُتْ kṣ-al, to draw, Sansk. वृष्, Zend karesh; خْنَل ṭ̣̆-al (= taṣ-al) to drink, Sansk. चष्, Zend čash.

 $\chi$ vāăăh, mother-in-law, Sansk. স্বश्यू. Also to the palatal č (čh),

 right, Sansk. चुज् (Lat. rectas), Zend raz. - Also original s
 .

In In ṣ̆ placed before the labial $p$, as the cognate idioms show, Sansk. पाद, Zend pādha, Pers.

[^4]Frequently the Persian $ش$ is changed in Paṣsto to $\dot{\mu}$, merely as it appears, out of fondness for a harsher pronunciation, but the words, in which this change takes place, are fixed; e. g. خَوَن
 بِّكَار ṣ̂kār, chase, Pers. شِكار etc.

The cerebral $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{n}}$ of the Paṣ̂to is identical with the Sindhī [ n n, as regards its pronanciation. It is pronounced rather harshly, so that in many manascripts it is rendered by the compound letter ن nr , which however must be taken as a simple sound. In the use of this sound the Paṣsto does not always follow the track of the cognate idioms, but according to its own fancy it has sometimes changed an original cerebral ṇagain to a dental and sometimes an original dental n to a cerebral. E. g. آنَنَ angan (also

 Sindhī वणु); گَنَّ gan-al, to count, estimate, Sindhī गएणु; كينمر kīnr, left (hand), Sindhī बीरो (Sansk. क्षीय, properly: the weak hand).

Original n passes easily into $\mathrm{r}^{*}$ ), as the pronunciation of both letters is very akin; e. g. مُنگَ mungar or or mungaṇ, Sindhī मुंधिएो, a bug.

The cerebral , re the Paṣto has in common with the Indian Präkrit tongues; it has sprung from an original d. In the use of this (comparatively new) letter the Paṣ̣̆tō agrees in the main with its cognate idioms, although in some nouns it has gone its own




 guently interchange (cf. Sindhī Gr. p. 16, 3).

The Persian conjunct letters 0 , rd are generally dissolved in



$$
\text { §. } 5 .
$$

## 4) The Dentals.

- t generally corresponds with original $t$, th, as: تَ tal, bottom, Sansk. तल; تَالَى tālai, a plate, Sansk. स्थाल, Sindhī धालु. Now and then original Cerebrals have passed into
 Sindhī द्रोद्रो, Panjābī tōṭ (but old Hinduī तोटि). A media ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{dh}$ ) may also be changed into a tenois ( t ), as: $\mathrm{z}^{\text {تَ }}$ tār, a gang of robbers, Sindhī धाड़ो, Panjābī likewise dhārā.

د d corresponds commonly to original d, dh, as: 8 rícic tandăh, thirst, Sansk. तन्द्रा (properly : lassitude); ${ }^{2}$ dund, haze, mist, Sindhi धुंधु. In some nouns $d$ has taken its rise from an original tenais (t), as: دی drē, three, Sansk. नि (Sindhī ट्रे);

$u^{m} s$ corresponds to the Sansk. $\mathbb{A}$ and $श$, which in the Prākrit dialects are no longer distinguished; e. g. سيدل sīnd, river,
 ग्वन् (Zend śpā). Also original ष ṣ has partly passed into s, as : سَانمى sānr, a male buffalo, Sansk. षर्ड, Hindī सांड or सांड़े; similarly: mažai, lung, Pers. شُشَ down from an original Palatal ( $\underset{6}{ }$ č and $\underset{c}{ } \mathbf{j}$ ) by the medium
 चष्, Sindhī चसणु); Sin sv-al, to burn, Sansk. ज्ञल. Sindhī जलणु.

In some words $s$ is only a euphonic prefix, to facilitate the


 of $\dot{L})$.

ش š corresponds to the Sansk. ष ṣ̀ and chiefly to $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbf{~ s}}$,
 स्षपस् (initial $k$ being dropped); x x , vrās̆ăh, language, talk, Sansk. भाषा (with euphonic interpolated r); inpaž, six (after the analogy of the Zend khshvas) Sansk. षष्. كَشْ kašar the younger (brother), Zend kasu; مَشَر mašar, the elder (brother), Zend maś; شؤُ šololăh, rice in the hask, Sansk. शालि, Pers. شُوَلْه ; شُالمى švalăh, colic', Sansk. इूलं.

Also original s has passed into š (as partly already in Persian),
 šarhē̄d-al, to rot, Sindhī सड̧णु; شَنُر sianḍ, barren, Sindhī संढि (fem.).
$\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is parely dental as in Persian; when preceding ${ }_{2} r$ it loses its proper sound and must be pronounced with $\&^{\text {as }}$ one
 pãrăh, $\tilde{a}=\tilde{\aleph} \dot{\}})$. Before $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{n}$ is always pronounced like m , as ورْنبَى vrumbai, first. When the short vowel preceding $n$ is lengthened,
 In some nouns $n$ has sprung from original $m$, as ش̀ين sinn, green, blue, Sansk. श्याम, from original $t$ in سبیֵي spīn, white, Sansk. श्वेत.

The Paṣ̆to shows a great fondness for the letter $J 1$, which is frequently substituted for the Dentals $n, t$ and $d$. Thus we find 1 instead of $n$ in the Infinitive termination (verbal noun), as

lanḍai, small, little, Sindhī नंढो; جَل jal (but also جَ jan),

 Pers. (younger) brother, Sansk. देवर (Latin: levir); لَاس lās, hand, Pers.

$L$ interchanges also with $r$, as: حيْ čièlai, a male kid, Hindī छेरी (Sindhī छेली);

L is also added, for euphony's sake, especially before an initial labial $v$, as : لُؤَرْ Ivārah, all, instead of the common vārab;
 also to be a euphonic interpolation in wom svarlī, riding, (from سبيرّى svarī, similarly in سوْرِى soōr) instead of or سورور sor سور sparlai, spring, Zend vaǹhra, Pahlavì vaihri (see Haug: ZandPahlavī Glossary, p. 116), with prefixed eaphonic s and transition


, $r$ corresponds to original $r$ and is mostly preserved in Paṣ̊to,
 Sansk. छम्यवार, Pers. سوار. For euphony's sake $r$ is interpolated in x $\times$ :

## §. 6.

5) The Labials.

It is characteristic for the Paṣ̆̌to, that, in contradistinction to the Irānian languages, it knows no ف $\mathrm{f}^{\text {f }}$. It is written and even
 even by the Āfrīdīs themselves, Āprīdai.

 Sansk. फाल. Seldom has p sprung from original $v$ (or b); as: Jlín paršakā, the rainy season, Sansk. वर्षकाल.

In the compound letters $s p$ however $p$ is, after the precedent of the Zend, in which the Sansk. conjunct letters 该 siv have already passed into śp, pretty regularly hardened from $\mathbf{v}$, as mpai, dog, Zend śpā, Sansk. म्वन् ; سيبِن spīn, white, Sansk. प्रेत, Zend śpaēta.

ب b corresponds to original b and bh, as: بَامَبْنَ bāmbanr, a Brahman, Sindhī बांभणु, Sansk. बासए; بَنَك bang, hemp, Sansk. मढग; Sindhī यंग. Now and then b has been softened
 feather, Sansk. पर्या (Sindhī पर). At the beginning of a word b is often hardened from v, as: بَبْتَ bātaī, a wick, Sansk. वनित्ति, Sindhī वटि; بَّآلِى badā̄̄, greatness, Sindhī वड़ाई (Sansk. वड्र).
$p \mathrm{~m}$ corresponds to original m , as: $\underset{\sim}{\sim} \max$, face, Sansk. मुस; 'مُولَئ mūlaī, radish, Sindhī मूरी, Sansk. मूलक. In some nouns $m$ has sprung from original $n$, as: minnăh, love, Sindhī
 Sansk. नामि, Pers. نَاف (compare also in Persian Besides نُوم nūm we find in Paṣṣto also ${ }^{\prime}$ ' nū, with ejection of final h (bh $=h$ ), Pers.

The labial semivowel $\nabla$ does not always form in Paṣto a diphthong with a preceding a, but is frequently pronounced as a


According to its origin,$v$ corresponds commonly to $v$, as وُتَى va-ai (or vayai) saying, word, Sindhī वाई (Prākrit वात्षा,

Sansk. वाच्); $\hat{\rho}$, vo, wind, Sansk. वायु. - V has frequently sprung from original $b, b h, p$ and $f$, as: ${ }^{\text {g }}$, vand, a dike, an embankment, Sindhī बंधि, Pers. みर्, Pers. تَاو ; بَّنَن tāv, heat, (also tāō), Sansk. तTप, Pers.
 wash, (Sansk. ुㅇ, caus. लावय् ). Initial $v$, with following n or $\delta$ is frequently a eaphonic addition (or sapplying an elided $h$ ), as

 Sansk. सुद्ध, Pers. خخر" (rd =r in Paṣ̆to). -- V keeps its place more tenaciously in Paṣ̆tō, than in Persian, e. g. ; var, gate, Pers. , $\dot{\nu}$, from the Sansk. छार; $;$ Sansk. जह ( $\overline{\mathbf{u}}=\mathrm{v})$.

## §. 7.

## Accumalation and transposition of letters.

The Paṣ̆to, as a rough mountainous idiom, accumulates at the beginning of a word two (and even three) consonants. A sibilant (as first or second letter) is thus very frequently joined to an other consonant, as: شُهْن špūn, shepherd (Pers. شُبَان); سكروmal psōl, a necklace of gold or silver coins;
 a liquida is joined with another consonant, which to our ear often sounds harsh, as: ملَا mlā, the loins; مزرّى mzarai, tiger;
 attend.

A peculiar phenomenon in Paṣȳō is the transposition of letters, to which chiefly the Sibilants and Labials are subject. In some cases, especially in monosyllabic words, the whole word may thus be inverted, so that the original position of the letters
can only be found out by etymology; e. g. كنَبْ kg̀-al, to draw


 لَ or palētanr.

In some cases only the transposed form has remained in use, as : لأ, lār, way (Zend ratha $=$ rat, $\mathrm{t}=1$ and transposed lār);



## §. 8.

## 3. The Paṣ̀tō vowel-system.

The Paṣ̌tō has a great variety of vowels, so that the Arabic signs are not sufficient, to express them accurately, we shall therefore add everywhere a transcription in roman letters.

We give here first a general sarvey of, the Paṣ̣tō vowel-system:
\%

| a | $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| e, $\bar{e}$ | $\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}$ |
| i, $\overline{\mathbf{l}}$ | $\mathrm{u}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ |
| ai | au |

In Paṣ̆to the short vowels a, $i$, $u$ are not expressed in the body of the consonants, according to the Arabic-Persian method of writing, but only by signs, placed above or beneath the consonant, after which they are to be sounded.

The sign for a (on the designation of a see below a)) is - , which is placed above the consonant and is called either


The sign for $i$ (at the end of a word sounded as ě) is -; it is placed beneath the consonant and called in Arabic كَّسره
 شل siil.

The sign for $\mathbf{u}$ is $\perp$ and is placed above the consonant; it is called in Arabic يَ فُ fu, '~ su, شُ sunl.

But if a noun commence with a short vowel (a, i, a), Alif (l) must be written to serve as base for it, the vowels themselves being considered only as auxiliary signs to the consonants, as: $i \mathrm{a}$, i i, in. In Arabic initial Alif is in this case always provided with Hamzah (see below), which is placed above or beneath it, as $\int_{a}^{r} a, l_{a}$, $f_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{u}}$, but in Paṣ̆to it is dispensed with.

The three long vowels $\bar{a}, \bar{i}(\bar{e})$, $\bar{u}$ ( $\overline{0}$ ) are expressed in the body of the consonants by the letters $\mid, \mathcal{G}, \boldsymbol{g}$, with the addition of the respective vowel points, which must be sapported by the preceding letter; $1, \mathrm{v}$ and, are then called , wãkin or quiescent, because they are not moved by a vowel of their own,


But when a noun commences with $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$, the sign $\simeq$ is placed horizontally above the Alif $=\boldsymbol{T}$. This sign is called in Arabic
*) In Khorāsān the (original) distinction between $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{\delta}, \boldsymbol{I}$ and $\bar{e}$ is still made; the Afghāns pronounce therefore zēr, pē̃ etc.
**) In some Arabic nouns final $v$ (above which 1 also is now and then placed) is treated and pronounced like Alif, as موسى Mūsā,
 lection etc. Also in the midst of some nouns, and $v$ is written instead of Alif. In this case, in order to point out the proper pronunciation, the Fath‘ah is written vertically, as صَلوت salāt; prayer, توروت
 g has been ejected and the length of the vowel is now indicated by a vertical Fath'ah.
-i: maddah (extension) and is properly an Alif placed above horizontally, so that $\bar{i}$ stands for 11 . If a noun commences with
 support the vowel-sign, as $s$ and , themselves are quiescent; e. g.
 for the sake of accuracy the sign $-\quad$ جَز jazm (see below) above
 dropped in Paṣ̣to as superfluous.

In the Arabic-Persian manner of writing $\bar{i}$ cannot be distinguished from $\bar{e}$ nor $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ from $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$, as the old Grammarians did not consider it necessary to invent new signs for $\bar{e}$ and $\overline{0}$. The Afghāns therefore leave it to the reader to find out for himself, when i or $\overline{\mathrm{e}}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ is to be pronounced, though $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ are by no means a dialectical variation of $\bar{i}$ and $\bar{u}$, but original and independent sounds, founded in the nature of the language. For the Afghāns themselves this non-distinction of $\bar{E}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ in writing may have little disadvantage, but for a foreigner many difficulties arise therefrom. We have endeavoured to obviate this difficulty by putting the Kasrah, whenever ē is to be sounded, vertically, as $\begin{aligned} & \text { l } \\ & \text { dē , and }\end{aligned}$ by placing the sign a above , whenever $\bar{o}$ is to be sounded, as
 superflious.

When, or $c$ are moved by a vowel, put above or beneath them, they are no longer quiescent, but regular consonants,


The diphthongs ai and au are expressed by a preceding Fath'ah and a following quiescent $\mathcal{v}$ or, , which must be provided
 been noticed already (§. 6), that, with a preceding Fath'ah does not always form a diphthong.

Other orthographic signs are:

1) The $\begin{aligned} & \text { جز } \\ & \text { jazm (abscission) } \bullet \text {, which is placed above a }\end{aligned}$ consonant, to denote, that it is not moved by any vowel, as تَخّْت

2) The 8 ه of a word takes the place of Alif, to keep asunder two convening vowels, of which the second mast be supported by the base $s$ (usually written withoat the two dots) farnished with Hamzah, as:
 second vowel is $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ or $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$, Hamzah alone is placed between them
 final vowel is short, Hamzah alone may serve as base for it, as $\hat{\AA}$; zō-е, son (or
3) The وَصْ vasl, ( (conjunction) is only found in Arabic phrases. It joins two words, the latter of which begins with Alif, the accompanying vowel of which is dropped and the final vowel of the preceding word drawn over or joined to the following, as:

4) The so-called Tanvin, the doubling of a final vowel-sign (i. e. $-\mathrm{an}, i \mathrm{an},-\mathrm{in}$ ) only occurs in a few words borrowed from the Arabic, especially in the Accusative (which is frequently used in an adverbial sense), as: تَمْ quasdan, intentionally, اتُقَاقَا ittifāqan, accidentally etc.

We will now consider the nature and origin of the Paṣsto vowels separately:
a) The indistinct rowel a.

The Paṣ̣to has an indistinct vowel-sound, which is also found in the language of the adjoining Kāfirs**). It is somewhat shorter and more indistinct than a in bat and can therefore only be learned by hearing. This vowel sound is quite essential to the Paṣ̣̦to and is not to be confounded with the short open a, as it is pronounced with the lips only so much opened as to emit a short sound, which flactuates between ă and e. In a grammatical point of view the distinction between a and $\breve{a}$ is very important, as we shall see hereafter. The Afghāns themselves also are so much conscions

[^5]of this difference of sound, that in some cases, where grammatical accuracy demands it, they have designated a by a superscribed
 (Pl. masc.). How important the distinction of ă and a is, we may
 مَنَ ; kar, ploaghing and sowing, but mat, quickness, but mat, the arm above the elbow. This distinction of both sounds is also very essential in the formation of the Plural of some nouns, as: wגֹ, siar, Sing. stone, Plur.
 indistinct a is therefore mostly found in monosyllabic words or at the end of a noun, the last syllable of which is pronounced very fleet. a is now and then exchanged for $i$, both sounds being much alike, as ${ }_{j}^{\mathrm{j}}$ zar, quick or $\underset{0}{ }$, zir; it interchanges also

b) Short a is pronounced somewhat indistinctly, like the English $\mathbf{u}$ in but and as a in Sanskrit and the modern Indian vernaculars; only in conjunction with the Gutturals $\dot{\tau} \chi \chi^{\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{h}}, \text { o } \mathrm{h} \text {, }}$ ع 3 a distinct a is sounded.

It corresponds generally to original a, as pand, journey, Sindhī पन्झु (Sansk. पन्या); $a$ is not unfrequently shortened from $\bar{a}$, as $;$; var, gate, Sansk. द्वार (in Persian also laman, skirt of a dress, Pers. כ́lu. Far more rarely has a been transmuted from original $u(\bar{u})$, $i$ and $\bar{e}$, as: $\mathcal{Z}^{\sim} \operatorname{ma} \chi$, face,
 the calf of the leg, Sansk. पिरड; $\mathrm{x}^{5}$ maz, ram, Sansk. मेष.
c) Long a.
$\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ corresponds to original $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$, as c čār, business, work, Sansk.
 च्रासिरो); $\bar{a}$ is but rarely lengthened from original $\bar{a}$, as بَآَيَى ,
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a wick, Sindhĩ वद्टि, (Sansk. वस्ति), the doable consonant being dissolved into a single one by lengthening the preceding vowel, as in Präkrit. Now and then $\bar{a}$ has taken its rise from the diphthong au, as خَإِير čāpēr, round about, Hindī चौफेर्.
d) 厄 end e .

Both vowels are in Paşsto separate sounds, though in writing they are frequently confounded (as they are expressed by kasrah or $s$ with kasrah respectively). The ear therefore alone can be a safe guide. On the whole the following rule holds good: e is always pronounced short at the end of a word, be it written by kasrah alone or by $\boldsymbol{v}$; it may therefore be considered anceps,

 final $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ only in the Format. Sing. and Nom. Plur. of fem. nouns ending in ăh, because it is not without an accent, as otherwise; it is therefore always written with $ی$, as: , ṣad-é, both syllables being accented. In the midst of a noun $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ is always
 a load. Short e can here only occur, when preceded immediately by a long vowel, which has the accent; in this case $\begin{gathered}\text { ( } \\ (=\breve{1}) \text { is }\end{gathered}$
 pa-ekō, a lever for pounding grain.
e) $\overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.

Short $\check{\sigma}$ is only found at the beginning or end of a noun and is always expressed by Pēš, as: و'كَءَ vǒ krah, do ! 'لَّ lārð, Format. Pl. of $\bar{y}$ 广 $\mathrm{l} \overline{\mathrm{r}}$, road. Final $\delta$ is properly long and is commonly written so, as $\hat{y}$, $\overline{\text { Jan }}$ lāo but as the accent is on the preceding syllable in such like nouns, it is also pronounced short and written accordingly.

 कोठा, Sansk. कोष्ठ. The contracted $\bar{o}$ of the Indian idioms has
 नोर, Sansk. नकुल (Prāk. एउल). Very frequently $\delta \mathrm{J}$ has sprung from original $\bar{a}$, the Paṣ̆to showing a particular predilection

 especially the case, when a letter has been elided, as $\hat{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{f}$ mor, mother, Sansk. मातर्, $\hat{\text { g }}$, vrōr, brother, Sansk. म्रातर्; ; talōr, four, Sansk. चतास्. But original short a also has frequently
 tear, Sansk. 耳्र
$u$ and $\bar{u}$ also have often been changed to $\bar{o}$, as: $\hat{\text {, }}$, $k \overline{0} \tilde{z}$,
 daughter-in-law, Sansk. तुषा; غؤس sō $\gamma$, a sniff, Hindī सूंघ्; original au too is commonly contracted to $\bar{o}$, as

$\overline{0}$ corresponds also in some nouns to original $p$ and $b$ (by
 seven, Sansk. सप्रन् (s being first changed to $h$ and then elided);


f) $\overline{1}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$.
ı corresponds to original $i$, as: سنغَّا, singār, ornament, Sindhī सिंगार (Sansk. शूटefर, $r=i$ in Prākrit); $\mathfrak{i}$ is frequently shortened from original a, as جِنَّىَى jina-ī, girl, Sansk. कनी; $\jmath_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ sil, hundred, (also $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ sal) Sansk. शत (Pers. صَ). At the beginning of a noun short $i$ is often elided, as the Paṣ̆to is rather fond of harsh conjunct letters, as: ستورى ${ }^{\sim}$ mōrrai, star, Pers.

هَرْكَ ; ستَارَه گَرْك girān.
$\bar{i}$ corresponds to old $\overline{1}$, as هُيوَه dīvăh, lamp, Sansk. दीप; $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ is now and then lengthened from $i$, as سينג sīnd, river, Sansk.
 single th and lengthening the preceding vowel as in Präkrit). Original $\bar{e}$ also has passed into $\overline{1}$, as سبیبی spĭn, white, Sansk. घ्वेत.
g) $\mathbf{u}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$.
 (Hindī जुग्)
 short $u$, which is long by position, is frequently lengthened (by
 (Sansk. कुछुष्डर) ; كوبَى kūbai, hump-backed, Sindhī कुबो (kubbō) Sansk. दुण (cf. $\hat{2}$ ); مؤتّ mūt, the fist, Sindhī Fुद्धि, Sansk. नुषि; سونل sūnḍ, dry ginger, Sindhī हुंढि, Sansk. शुरिब.

Long a often passes into $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ in Pạṣto (as in Persian to, where
 خَبْورن ; šانَ नास (Pers. نـام); لوم lūm, net, Pers. Also short a, which is long by position, may pass into $\bar{u}$, as كُوذه kūnr, deaf, (Pers. گ́) Sansk. ₹कार्ञ.
h) The diphthong ai.

It is to be noticed, that this diphthong is pronounced in Paṣ̆to like $i$ in wise (not like the German ai, but ei). Not to be confounded with ai is ai , which is no diphthong at all, but must be pronounced as two separate vowels.

At the end of a noun ai corresponds to the $\operatorname{Sindh} \overline{1} \overline{0}, \breve{u}$ (Prākrit $\overline{0}$, and in the inferior dialects already $\bar{e}$; cf. §. 9, 2.), as

تَتَى تَ talai, foot-sole, Sindhī तलो, Sansk. तल; in the midst of a pure Paṣsto noun it is seldom found, oftener in words borrowed from foreign languages, as ميّل mail, dirt, Sindhī मैलु Sansk. मल.
i) The diphthong au.

In pure Paṣ̆to words au is rather scarce, as: كَوْتَ kautar, pigeon (contracted from the Persian كَبْوتَر); palau, the hem (of a dress), Sindhī पलउ; au is commonly contracted to $\delta$ in


 as قَام qām, tribe, Arab. قَوْم.

## II. Section.

The formation of themes in Paṣ̂to
I. The terminations of nouns.
§. 9.

## A. Masculine nouns.

1) Nouns ending in a consonant.

The vocalic termination of the Sanskrit-Prākrit ॠ्〒 $\delta$, which has still been preserved in $\operatorname{Sindhī}$ ( $\breve{\mathbf{u}}$ ), has for the greatest part been cast off in Paṣ̣to, as in Hindi and Panjābī, and most nouns end therefore in a consonant, as كَال كَ kāl, year. Most Paṣ̂to nouns ending in a consonant are therefore masculine, those nouns only being excepted, which imply a
 daughter etc.; further a certain number of nouns, which in the Nom. Sing. have already dropped their vocalic feminine termination, but restore it again in the Formative Sing. and in the Nom. Plur. §. 10,$5 ; 51$, a.

## 2) Nouns ending in ai.

The termination ai corresponds 1) to the Sindhī termination $\delta$ (§. 8, h.). It is worthy of notice, that nearly in all those nouns, in which the Sindhī has preserved the termination $\overline{0}$, Hindī and Panjābī commonly $\bar{a}$, (see my Sindhī grammar, §. 6, II), the Paṣ̆to exhibits the termination ai, and where the Sindhī has shortened $\overline{0}$ to $\mathbf{u}$, the Paṣṣto has dropped the vocalic termination altogether, as mentioned already; e. g. ستورى stōrai, star, Sindhī तारः; كينخَّى gēndai, rhinoceros, Sindhī गेंड़ी (gēnḍ̄̄); © hadai, bone, Sindhī हड़ो; لَنْلَقَ lanḍai, small, Sindhī नंढो. 2) To the Sindhī adjective termination $\bar{i}$, as: هؤُنَ hơdai, obstinate, Sindhī होडीं; but the Persian adjective termination $\bar{i}$ is generally preserved in Paṣ̣to, as such adjectives are considered as foreign,
 कोढ़ी (kōrhī), the proper Pāṣ̂ō formation being يُيسىى pēsai, from (Pers.).

## 3) Nouns ending in $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text {. }\end{aligned}$

The termination $\bar{a}$ occurs only in a comparatively small number of nouns, which are for the greatest part borrowed from foreign sources, especially the Hindī, Panjābīand Persian, as: جورًا jolā, a weaver, Pers. جـولا ; č čūrā, a bangle, Hindī चूड़ा,
 ( $=$ = بيحَاها) evening.

It is remarkable and only to be explained by the Sindhī, that the following nouns are treated as masculine in Paṣ̆tō: زِنَا zinā, adultery (also written زَنَاء, Sindhī already जिनाहु (زَنَاء) m., but in Hindī fem., صَبْا sabā, ( $=$ صَبَاح , which is also met
with) morning, Sindhī تुब्दु m., bat in Hindī-Hindūstānī مبَّ or


Also adjectives, which are borrowed from the Sindhī, Hindī or Persian, may terminate in $\bar{a}$, as لَكِيَا lagiāa, applied, Hindī लगा; ${ }_{\text {लि }}$ ravā, proper, Pers.

$$
\text { 4) Nouns ending in } \delta \text {. }
$$

The termination $\overline{0}$ which is occasionally also written a , with

 Sansk. वाएा)-

Some adjectives also end in ō, as $\hat{j}$ joָ pirzō, becoming, Pers. . بَاَيَاب .

Some nouns ending in $\bar{o}$ are feminine: بارخو $b \bar{r} r \chi \overline{0}$, the

 ورْندكو ; hindkō, the language of the Hindūs, Hindī.

The names of animals, which end in $\delta$, are generis commanis, as: بِيزر bīzo, m. f. monkey; بِشُو pišō, m. f. cat.
5) Nouns ending in ah.

The termination ah corresponds to the Sindhī termination $\overline{0}$ and $u$ and is therefore masculine throughout. It must be well distinguished from the termination ăh, which is always feminine, though in writing commonly no distinction is made, as: كُ كُ


[^6]hair; تلَّ tlah, going. - Many adjectives also end in ah, as: ūdah, asleep. In some manuscripts the termination ab is occasionally
 but this is to be disproved of. - All Persian nouns, which are ending in 8 - and are masculine, are reckoned amongst this class.
6) Nouns ending in 厄.

The termination $\begin{gathered}\text { e is peculiar to the Paṣ̆to, though it is already }\end{gathered}$ in some instances met with in Sindhī (TTS rāe = रा丁 rā-u, cf. Sindhī Grammar, §. 6, VII.), as: زؤُ zōe, son; نُو nūe, mother's brother (Sindhī मामो); درَ dōe, custom. Nouns, borrowed from foreign sources and ending in $\bar{a}$ often affix e (for euphony's
 Plural); آشنشناد āšnāe, an acquaintance, Pers. TiT.
 toe, spilt.
7) Nouns ending in $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$.

The termination $\bar{i}$ is seldom found in masc. nquns, most nouns of this ending being taken from foreign sources, as: هَرْتَابُّى hardā̄1, a vagabond (an everywhere); चारी; هإیى hātī, an elephant, Sindhī हाथी-

The termination $\bar{i}$ is more common with adjectives, as : خُندِى
 edible.
8) Nouns ending in $\bar{u}$.

The termination $\bar{u}$ is not unfrequent in Paṣ̌to, as: هَائو tāpū, island, Sindhī टापू; گَخג' kandū, a large earthen vessel for holding grain; miñ , bear.

Only a few nouns ending in 1 are feminine, as: بَانكو băgū, a bug-bear; ’ُنبَ pambē-čū, a cotton pod.

Names of animals, ending in $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$, are generis commanis, as: ميلُ, m. and f., bear.

Adjectives also may terminate in $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$, as in Sindhī; e. g. بَاسْ pāsū, low-born; لَا لَا lāhū, carried away by the water (Sindhī लाहू).
9) Nouns ending in au.

The termination au is found only in a few nouns, as:
 harvest; سَو sau, hundred.

The only adjective ending in an is: ${ }^{\circ}$ y yau, one.
§. 10.

## B. Feminine nouns.

1) Nouns ending in $\bar{a}$.

Most Paṣ̆ţ nouns, ending in $\bar{a}$, are feminine (cf. §. 9, 3). This termination corresponds to the Sansk.-Prākrit formation of fem. nouns in $\bar{a}$, which has been more or less preserved in all the



Some foreign nouns, which originally end in āh' or āh (i. e. in a consonant), are treated as feminine in Paṣ̆to, the final consonants $\tau^{h^{6}}$ and $\% h$ not been heard in pronunciation, as of panāh, fem. asylum; $\tau^{\tau^{\prime}}$ salāh', advice (fem. also in Hindūstān̄̄).
2) Nouns ending in ăh.

This termination comprises the greatest part of all the fem. nouns in Paṣ̣̆to. It corresponds to the Sindhī fem. termination ă, which is shortened from original $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ (cf. Sindhī Gram. §. 6, V); the Paṣito
*) The Drāvidian idioms of the South being of course excluded.
does not strictly follow the Sindhī in this respect, bat according to its own fancy it has subsumed under this termination also such nouns, as end in Sindhī in $\overline{1}$ (fem.), or has arbitrarily changed the gender. E. g. xبَ; žabăh, tongue, Sindhī ज़िभ, Sansk. जिहा;
 stalk of Indian corn, Sindhī ड़ांड़ी, Hindī डांठी; نَآَ nākăh, alligator, Hindī नावा m.

Foreign words ending in $\varepsilon$, preceded by Fath'ah, are likewise feminine, the $\varepsilon^{\text {not being heard in Paṣ̆to }, \text { as }}$, tama3, avidity, also written ${ }^{\text {صلَح }}$ sulha (or صِ sulh‘ăh), peace.

Foreign words, ending in $\%$, are on an average feminine, except they imply a male being, as: نُظْ nuqtăh, point, in Sindhī



## 3) Nouns ending in $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$.

This termination, which in the Indian Präkrit tongues comprises most feminine nouns, is comparatively scarce in Paṣsto and chiefly found in such words, as have been taken from the Persian or the Indian idioms, as: وسَنَالكى siālī, equality; ورورِى vrōrī, brotherhood;


## 4) Nouns ending in aī.

Instead of the termination i the Paṣ̣to uses very extensively the termination ai , which originally is identical with it. Already in Sindhī $\bar{a} \overline{1}$ is only a variation of $\overline{1}$ (cf. Sindhī Gram. §. 10, I, 1. 2.). In Pạ̣̣̣to the termination aī has so much got the ascendency, that most Sindhī nouns ending in ī have been changed



5) Nouns ending in e (and in a consonant).

The termination e (i), which is originally identical with $\bar{i}$ (see Sindhī Gram. §. 6, VII) is only found in a small number
 yaue, ploughing; it is chiefly used to form the feminine from ad-




A certain number of feminine nouns, which originally ended in e, have dropped it in the Nom. Sing., but restore it again in the Format. Sing. and in the Nom. Plur. As in Sindhì already both feminine terminations, ă and ĕ are interchanging, so has the Paṣ̂tō also some nouns, which in Sindhī end as yet in $\breve{a}$, sabsumed under the termination e; e. g. خَار čār, business, (instead of Sindhī कारि), Gen. Sing. خَخَارَ خَارَ da cāāre, Nom. Pl. c̄āre

 Gen. Sing. وَّ

Only a small number of fem. nouns ends in an original consonant, which are all irregular in their Pluralcf. §. 51,b.
II. Primary themes derived directly from verbal roots.

## §. 11.

The roots having passed through so manifold and partly radical transmatations in Paṣito, it is very often difficult, to point out the primary themes, as the etymology of a great many Paṣ̂to nouns is still wrapt up in darkness.

Notwithstanding this it is very interesting to follow them up, as far as they can be distinctly recognised, as we get thereby a glimpse into the internal fabric of the language and are enabled to lay bare the links, which connect the Paṣ̂to with the Indian Präkrit tongues.

## §. 12.

1) The verbal noun ending in ab (masc.) and ah (fem.).

Like as in Sindhī a verbal noun, ending in $\mathbf{n}$, may be derived from the verbal root (see Sindhi Gram. §. 8, I, 1, c.), in the same way a verbal noun may be derived in Pasto by dropping the termination of the Infinitive al, aud adding to the root of the

- verb the termination aah ( $=$ Sindhī $\mathbf{u}$, cf. §. 9, 5), as:
paxsēd-ă

The causal verbs do not form a verbal noun in ah (nor any verb ending in av-al or $\overline{\mathrm{v}}$-a ll), but employ either the termination -unăh, - $\bar{u} n$, or $-\bar{a} u ̄ n$ (§. 13. 15.).

Of the formation of a verbal noun in ah, which is so frequent in $\operatorname{Sindhī~(=\breve {a}),~only~a~few~remnants~have~been~left~in~Paṣ̣̣̃,~as:~}$
|نَاستَّ nāst-ăh, f., sitting, Inf. نَاستَ nāst-al;


ملَّستَه mlāst-ăh, reposing, Inf. ملَلَّلًا mlāst-al.
A variation of the verbal nouns in ah are those verbal nouns, which are derived from the root by lengthening at the same time the root-vowel, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { وَاتَه vāt-ă, going out, Inf. وتَّ vat-al, } \\
& \text { and the compounds from وتّ , as: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kāt-ah, seeing, Inf. كَاتَه kat-al. }
\end{aligned}
$$

But in the Formative Plur. the lengthened root vowel is shortened again on account of the accession of the heavy termination $\overline{\bar{o}}$,
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as $\hat{y}$ كَ da kat-o, of seeing. It is to be observed, that the verbal nouns ending in ah are always treated as Plurals; only in poëtry they are occasionally used in the Sing.

$$
\text { §. } 13 .
$$

2) The verbal noun ending in -anăh, -unăh.

The most common formation of verbal nouns is that ending in -anăh (fem.), which corresponds to the Sindhī affix 尹口لु (Sindhī Gram. §. 8, I, 2), with the only difference, that the gender bas been changed in Paṣto. This affix is added to the verbal root in the same way as the affix ah.

The causals and all (active) verbs, which end in av-al or $\bar{o} v$-al, change the termination anăh to unăh, by the influence of the labial v. E. g.





§. 14.
3) The verbal noun ending in al.

This verbal noun has now become the Infinitive, which is regularly inflected like all other nouns. The termination al is originally identical with the affix an (anăh), by transition of $n$ to 1 . It is remarkable, that the verbal noun in al is always treated as a Plural in Paṣṣto (like the verbal noun in ah) §. 42, f. In the Formative Plural the affix al may be dropped altogether, so that such a noun outwardly quite coincides with the verbal
 of seeing.

$$
\text { §. } 15 .
$$

4) The verbal noun ending in ūn ( $\bar{a}-\bar{u} n)$.

This affix corresponds to the Sindhī form in an̄o, which implies in Sindhī a lasting state or occupation (see Sindhī Gramm. §. 8, I, 2, b). The Sindhī termination aṇo has beeu
changed to un in Paṣ̌to, by transition of a to $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$, final $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ (u) being cast off at the same time. The affix $\bar{u} n$ is immediately joined to the verbal root (the termination of the Infinitive al being first cleared away), and in those derivative neuter verbs, which end in ed-al, it either accedes to the pure verbal root or to the verbal termination ēd-, as:

But when the termination -ed- is a part of the verbal root (i. e. when the verb ending in ed $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ al is not a derivative or no longer considered as such), the affix un must always accede to it, as:

In causal verbs $\bar{a}$ is inserted before the affix $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$, after the analogy of the Sindhī (Sindhī Gram. §. 42, II), the causal character of the verb being expressed thereby, as:
drast-ā-ūn, completing, Inf. درَستَاءرن drast-av-al.

But the formation of the causal verbal noun withont inserted $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ is also admissible, as:

和 pax-av-ūn, cooking, Inf. pax-av-al.
§. 16.
5) The Gerundive ending in ūnai.

The Paṣ̣̆to forms a Gerundive, by joining the affix ūnai (corresponding to the Sindhī affix aṇō, see Sindhī Gram. §. 9, 13. b) immediately to the verbal root. Outwardly it coincides with the affix unai, forming the participle present (§. 17), but it is well to be distingaished from it. Its use is not frequent, the Paṣ̣̆o generally preferring another turn, to express the idea of the Gerundive, very likely from no other reason but its liability to being confounded with the participle present. E. g.



Kalilah ō Damanah (Rav. Gulsh. I, 97). نَx لَّرْ
Thon wilt not give up this not to be done (work) and I have no strength for this not to be seen work.
§. 17.
6) The participle present ending in $\bar{u} n a i$ and $\bar{u} n k a i$.

The participle present has two terminations, which are affixed to the root of the verb, after the termination of the Infinitive al has been cast off.
a) The termination $\bar{u} n a i(f e m$. $\bar{u} n e)$.

This participial affix is identical with the Sindhī affix ando (see Sindhī Gram. §. 8, I, 10); in Pặ̣̣to the dental d (=t) has been ejected and a deepened to $\bar{u}$, to restore the original quantity.


č čūp-ūnai, sucking, Inf.

b) The termination unkai (fem. unke).

This termination is formed from the preceding and the adjective affix kai ( $\operatorname{Sindhī} \mathrm{k} \overline{0}$ ), so that it is properly a verbal adjective. For this reason it is frequently used in the sense of a substantive.
masēd-ūnkai, smiling, Inf. مَسينُرنَخْى


## §. 18.

7) The participle perfect ending in ai and alai.

As in the Present, the Paṣ̆to has also two participial terminations in the Perfect.

1) The termination ai (fem. e), which, after the rejection of the Infinitive termination al, is immediately added to the verbal
root. This affix corresponds to the Sindhī affix yō, Hindī $\bar{a}$ (Persian ah), which has sprung from the Sansk. affix $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ta by elision of $t$ (see: Sindhī Gram. §. 9, 14). Like the Sindhī (Hindī etc.) the Paṣto can form a perfect participle of the Active Voice only from neuter verbs, whereas the perfect participle of transitive and causal verbs has always a passive signification.

As regards the formation of the perfect participle the following particalars are to be noticed:
a) Monosyllabic verbs, commencing with a conjunct letter, insert a euphonic a between the two consonants, to facilitate the pronunciation, as:

تَلَمَّ tal-ai, gone, Inf.
شوَّ šav-ai, having become, Inf. شَّ šal.

b) The neuter derivative verbs (compounded with a substantive or adjective) are dissolved in the participle perfect into their component parts and use the perfect participle of شنؤ sv-al, to become, i. e. شَ شَوَى šavai, which is put after the substantive or adjective. Those neuter verbs, which end in ēd-al and are not derivatives or no longer considered as sach, form their perfect participle regularly, by adding the affix ai to the verbal root. E.g.

 وبیرينَى
c) The causals (av-al), which are not compounded with a substantive or adjective (§. 118) or which are no longer treated as such, form their participle perfect seldom by the termination ai, but mostly by alai (cf. 2). The derivative causals are dissolved in the participle perfect into their component parts and pat after the substantive or adjective the perf. participle of كم kr -al,

## 



2) The termination alai, which is joined to the verbal root in the same way as ai. The very same termination we find
already in Sindhī (a-lu, see Sindhī Gram. §. 10, 21, b), Maraṭhī and Gujarātī, by which the perfect participle is rendered more like an adjective in form, whithout essentially affecting its original participial signification. The affix (a-)lai is originally an adjective affix, the use of which is already known in Prākrit (cf. Varar. Prāk. Prakāša, IV, 26, Cowell's ed.). In Paṣ̂to ai (the proper affix of the perfect participle) has been changed to a before the affix lai, similarly as in Sindhī $\overline{0}$ has passed into a.

It is to be noticed, that the causals ending in av-al (as far as they are not derivatives) and generally also the active verbs ending in -v -al, form their participle perfect mostly by alai, less by ai. E. g.


All verbs may assume the termination alai instead of ai. The causals eject now and then before alai the syllable -av-, as innلín dam-alai, breathed, instead of jam-av-alai. The monosyllabic verbs commencing with a conjunct consonant, do not insert between the two consonants a euphonic a, when assuming the termination alai, as:

> تلَلَىْ tl-alai, gone, Inf. تلَّ tl-al.

## III. Secondary themes.

Under this class we comprise all those nouns (substantives as well as adjectives), which are derived, according to fixed laws, from another (primary) theme.

## A. Formation of abstract nouns,

which, by means of different affixes are derived from other nominal themes (substantives and adjectives).
§. 19.

1) Nounsending in $\bar{i}$ (fem.).

This termination, , which in Sindhī (see: Sindhī Gram. §. 10, I, 1) and Persian comprises the greatest number of abstract nouns, is not so frequent in Paṣ̣to. E. g.

## - 41 -

بَّبَاتُى baḍā-ī (baḍā-y-ī) greatness, from بَهُى badāe, great.


§. 20.
2) Nounsending in $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{a} \overline{1}$ (fem.).

By means of these two affixes (which are essentially identical) abstract nouns are derived from substantives and adjectives. E. g.

 روثنْن rōsọṣan, bright:
§. 21.
3) Nouns ending in ăh (fem.).

The affix ăh (shortened from original $\bar{a}$ ) forms abstract nouns form adjectives; final $\bar{\sigma}$ is changed before it to av and ai to $i$; e. g.

pirzav-ăh, desire, from prang pirzō, desirous.
starai, fatigued.
§. 22.
4) Nounsending intiā (stiā, siā) (fem.).

The Paş̣̣tō affix tiā corresponds to the Sindhī affix ता, ताई, which forms numerous abstract nouns from substantives and adjectives (see: Sindhī Gram. §. 10, 3). The affix stiā and (thence by assimilation) siā is identical with tiă, the sibilant being only a euphonic addition; it is only found in a few nouns. The affix tiā is immediately joined to the noun; in some adjectives however the
original (long) root-vowel ( $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ), which in the Nom. Sing. had been deepened to $\delta$ or $\bar{u}$ is restored again, but shortened at the same time to a before the accession of this (heavy) affix; final ai is changed to $\overline{1}$ (i. e. the noun is put in the Formative), and final o dropped.


starai, fatigued.
mēlma-stiā, hospitality, from mēlmah, guest.
تَنكس tang, tight.

## §. 23.

5) Nounsending in aț, tōb (ōb), tūn (ūn), vālai, valī, galvī, avi.

All these abstract affixes are derived from one Sanskrit affix, as different from each other as they may appear at the first glimpse. We have here an instance, how the modern Prākrit idioms (and amongst them also the Paṣtō) have managed to derive from one affix a variety of abstract formations. The Sansk. affix, from which all these various forms have sprung, is $\overline{\text { T }}$ tva*) (cf. Sindhī Gram. §. 10, 6).
a) The affix at (masc.).

This affix corresponds to the Sindhī affix âtu (Sindhī Gram. §. 10, b). (The process of assimilation is: ₹ $\mathrm{tv}=\mathrm{F} \mathrm{tt}$, and by lengthening the conjunctive vowel $a=\bar{a} t$; by transition to the cerebral class $=\bar{a}$ t; in Paṣ̣to however the conjunctive vowel is not lengthened.) This formation is in Paṣ̣̆̄o, as well as in Sindhī, of rare occurrence.

*) Or 下न tvana, Prāk. नएँ; cf. Lassen, Instit. Linguae Prāk. 8. 89.
b) The affix tōb (ōb) (masc.).

In the case of this affix the process of assimilation is: toa is dissolved into tav $=$ tab and short a deepened to $\overline{\bar{o}}=$ tōb; from tōb has sprung $\overline{0} b$ by elision of $t$ (in accordance with a common Prākrit rule). - This formation is very common in Paṣsto and derives abstract nouns from substantives and adjectives. In some adjectives the original (long) a, which in the Nom. Sing. has been deepened to $\bar{o}(\bar{u})$ is restored again before the accession of this (heavy) affix, but shortened at the same time. Nouns ending in ai are pat in the Formative ( $=\overline{\mathbf{1}}$ ) before this affix, and some adjectives ending in ai, drop their final syllable altogether. E. g.



lēvan-tōb, madness, from ليَوْنتـوَبِ lēvanai, mad.
 -ed-al).
c) The affix $t \bar{u} n$ ( $\bar{u} n$ ) (masc.)

This affix is lengthened from Fन; $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ is the same as tūn, only with elision of $t$. Both affixes derive abstract nouns from adjectives, seldom from substantives. Before the affix ūn final ai, ah etc. is dropped.*)

paivast-ūn, connexion, from paivastah.


*) Not to be confounded with the abstract affix تُون is the noun ستَان. ستُ place, which also forms compounds, as ميَتِنون
d) The affix vāai (masc.)*).

The affix vālai is equally to be derived from वन = तन, the medial a being lengthened to $\bar{a}$ and $n$ changed to $l$. By means of this affix numerous abstract nouns are formed from substantives and adjectives. Before the accession of this affix original (long) a, which in the Nom. Sing. has been deepened to $\bar{o}$ (or $\bar{u}$ ), may be restored again in adjectives, but must be shortened. E. g.
sūr-vālai, redness, from سُوروّالَىَ sür, red.



> e) The affix valī (fem.).

The affix valī is apparently shortened from vālai and the masc. termination changed at the same time to the feminine. It is of rare occurrence and implies chiefly relationship. E. g.
qām-valī, clanship, from $\begin{aligned} & \text { قَاموْكِى } q \text { qām, clan, tribe. }\end{aligned}$

f) The affix galvī, galī (fem.).

The origin of this affix is rather obscure; but we do not hesitate to derive it too from बन $=$ लन. In Persian already initial v is often charged to g , and that n is often changed to 1 in Paṣ̣̆to, we have noticed already (§ 5). The original form of this affix is galī, which is also found; in gal-v-i v seems to be a euphonic insertion. It denotes, like valī, relationship. E. g. vrōr-galvī, brotherhood, from وروْرَكَلِىى vrōr, brother.


[^7]g) The affiv avi (fem.).

The affix $a$-vī (for a is the conjunctive vowel) corresponds to the Sindhī affix pi (Sindhī Gram. § 10, 6) $=\mathrm{bi}=\mathrm{v} \overline{\mathrm{i}}$. It implies likewise relationship and is not used much. E.g.

خpal-avī, relationship, from خبَلَبِى $\chi$ خpal, own.
§ 24.
b) Nouns ending ingarăh (fem.) and garai (masc.).

The affix garăh corresponds to the Sindhī affix कार, which already in Sindhī forms abstract nouns (Sindhī Gram. § 10, 7). In Paṣ̣̆to $k$ has passed into the media $g$ and $r$ into $r$, $\bar{a}$ being shortened at the same time (cf. Pers. كَر). This formation is very scarce; e. g.


## B. Formation of appellatives and adjectives.

$$
\text { § } 25 .
$$

In the following formations we comprise only such appellatives and adjectives, the derivation of which from another nominal theme by means of an affix may be clearly established. The Persian formations, which have been brought over to the Paṣ̣tō, we shall pass by as foreign to our investigation.

$$
\text { § } 26 .
$$

> 1) The affix ai (ī).

By means of the affix ai numerous adjectives are formed; it corresponds according to etymology:
a) To the Sindhī affix $\delta=$ Sansk. 카 (Sindhī Gram. § 10, 14), which is subjoined to the nominal theme, as:
pax-ai, maugy, from
مَخَخْ max-ai, even, equal, from max, face.
هُ

## - 46 -

b) To the Sindhī affix ī (Sansk. ईय, इय; Sindhī Gram. § $10,9, b$ ), which forms adjectives implying descent, as:

Pēshāwar.
سؤات svāt-ai, of Svāt, from سواتَى
In Persian formations or such as are made in analogy with the Persian (or Hindī) the affix $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ is used, as:

روثنَانىى rōṣān-ī, an adherent of Pīr rọ̄ṣān;
هنْدى hind-1, Indian, from ونّد Hind, India.

§. 27.
2) The affix anai, an (īnai, ūnai).

This affix corresponds to the Sindhī affix ān̄o (Sindhī Gram. § 10,27 ), by which adjectives are formed implying time, place or relation generally. When the nominal theme ends in a vowel or final ah (āh), the initial a of the affix anai is dropped as well as final $h$ of the theme. E. g.
(
 ; pōrta-nai, upper, from pōrtah, above.
The termination ai is also frequently dropped, as:

An irregular formation is:
简 bariāyah, last night (adv.).
Besides the form anai we find also ūuai (Sindhī Gram. § $10,28, a$ and inai, the latter form always, when the nominal theme ends in i (e) and $\bar{c}$; e.g.


## - 47 -

§ 28.
3) The affix tai and tu.

Both affixes, which are only found now in a few nouns, are identical with the Sindhī affix atu (Sindhī Gram. § 10, 18), and form appellatives, which denote an occupation or usual action; es.



$$
\text { § } 29
$$

4) The affix zany, žan, jan.

This affix is of Persian origin bat frequently used in Paṣṣo nouns; žan and jan is only a different pronunciation of zan. The fem. termination ah is dropped before the accession of this affix. E. g.

§. 30.
5) The affix man (an).

The affix man is shortened from the Persian affix mand (Sansk. मत्, Prāk. मन्त), from which has sprung the affix an by elision of initial $m$. It forms adjectives implying possession. Before man final $\overline{1}$ and ai are changed to $a$, and before an final aah, $\overline{1}$ and aī are dropped altogether. E. g.


نَ pam-an, mangy, from pam, mange.

وَرَحِى varas, wool.
§. 31.
6) The affix bār, var and vāl.

These three affixes are identical and correspond to the Sindhī affix vārō (Hind̄ vāl or vālā, Sansk. बलं; see Sindhī Gram. $\S 10,36)$. The Paṣ̌to affix bār and var is used in the same sense as the Persian $\bar{j}^{\prime}$, and,,$^{*}$ ) and the Sindhī vārō, denoting possession, whereas vāl is now used to form a few appellatives implying descent, which is already partly the case in Hindī.

When a noun ends in a consonant, the affix var (but not bār) is joined to the nominal theme by the conjunctive vowel a, (as in Sindhī), to facilitate the pronunciation.

هِنَّنبَار, minnat-bār, obliged, from obligation.

بَكْْتْتَور baxt-a-var, lucky, from بَهُّهت baxt, luck.
بُنبروبا bunēr-vāl, a man of Bunēr.
Jí paklaī-vāl, a man of Paklaī.
The affix $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}$ vār is also found in Paṣ̌tō, but only in nouns borrowed directly from the Persian.
§. 32.
7) The affix yālai.

This alfix corresponds to the Sindhī alfix ārō or ālu (Sindhī Gram. § 10,15 ), which forms adjectives implying possession. In Paşstō a euphonic y has been inserted before $\bar{a} l \bar{o}=y-\bar{a} l a i$.

The termination ai, ăh (aī) is dropped before the accession of this affix. E. g.



*) Vullers, Institutiones Linguae Persicac, p. 165, 174. 175. derives all these affixes from $\bar{\prime}$, but the Sindhī and Hindì speaks too strongly and also too clearly against it.
§. 33.
8) The affix ēlah, ēlai and ilai.

These affixes, which are all identical, correspond to the Sindhī affix īrō, ērō (ēlō) (Sindhī Gram. § 10, 16). In Paṣịto they are now used only in a few words and form appellatives of descent.

a mountaineer, from $\hat{\ddot{y}}$, rōh, a mountainous country (Sindhi \ीEु).
§. 34.
9) The affix in, inah.

This affix corresponds to the Sindhī affix ị̄ō, (Sansk. ईन; Sindhi Gram. § 10,30 ), Persian inn, inah, and forms adjectives, which signify "contsisting of, made of". The termination ai, aì and ăh is dropped before the accession of this affix. E. g.


## IV. Formation of Diminatives.

## §. 35.

As in Sindhī so also in Paṣ̌tō a diminutive may be formed by using the fem. termination of a noun, where this is admissible, as:
 smaller tank.

But the Paṣ̣to possesses also a great variety of diminutive affixes, more so than any of the cognate idioms. They are the following:

1) $K$, which corresponds to the Sansk. dimin. affix क. When a noun ends in consonant, a is used as conjunctive vowel, but when it ends in ăh (fem.), h only is dropped and the fem. noun passes into a masculine on account of the affix $k$; the fem. termination kăh however is also in use.
mard-a-k, a little man, from مُرّْكٌ mard, man.
(op-a-k, a musket (small cannon), from توبُر topp, cannon.

2) Kai (fem. kaĭ). This affix is identical with the preceding. In monosyllabic words, which have $\overline{\bar{o}}$ or $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ in the Nom. Sing. deepened from original $\bar{a}$, this vowel is restored again before the affix kai but shortened, the addition of the (heavy) affix not admitting any longer the retention of the (long) root-vowel.

When the noun ends in aī or ăh, $\overline{1}$ and $h$ are dropped before the affix; long $\bar{a}$ is frequently shortened and ai dropped altogether. E. g.

خَبْرَتْ نثَينَكَى sipan-kai m. a little shepherd
 جينَنُى Jī̀ jī̀aī, girl.
 , ورُكتَ
3) gai (fem. gaī). This affix is identical with kai, only the tenuis $k$ having passed into the corresponding media $g$.


 Irregular is:

4) $\overline{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{kai}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{gai}$. Both these affixes do not differ in any way from the preceding affixes, only $\bar{u}$ being added as conjunctive vowel, before which every final vowel (or diphthong) disappears. E. g.

בَنخْركَى dand-ū-kai, a small pond, from danḍ, a pond.

5) ai. This affix is identical with kai, $k$ being elided and only ai added to the noun, if the final consonant be a guttural or a liquida (l, r). E.g.
"
جُ jūūng, a young camel.
苗 bahādur-ai, a little hero, from bahādur, a hero.

$$
\text { § } 36 .
$$

Besides $\mathbf{k}$, kai, gai the Paṣ̣̆tō makes also use of the second diminutive affix of the Sanskrit, $\mathbf{Z} r$, deriving from it a variety of new diminutive affixes.
b) rai (fem. raī). This affix corresponds to the Sindhī diminutive affix rō ( $=\mathbf{T}$ ); its conjunctive vowel is a, before which a final vowel (or diphthong) is dropped.



7) $\bar{u}$-rai (ūrai), $\bar{o}$-rai ( $\bar{o}$-rai) (fem. ūrai, ōraī or ūre, ōre). These affixes are identical with rai, with the only difference, that $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ or $\bar{\delta}$ are used as conjunctive vowels, before which a vocalic termination must disappear.

radror-rai, lamb, from gad, a ram.


$$
\text { § } 37 .
$$

8) Karai, garai, gūrai (fem. kare, gare, güraī and gäre). It appears, that these affixes, which are of rare occurrence, comprise both diminative affixes $k, g$ and $r(r)$. E. g.

程

[^8]garai is now and then also added to adjectives, as:
nīm-garai, imcomplete (a little half), from نَبِمَكَى
9) $\bar{u} \mathrm{n}$-garai. This affix, which is only found in a few words, is identical with garai, with the only difference, that $\bar{u} n(=\bar{u})$ is used as conjunctive vowel; e. g.

10) $\overline{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{t} a \mathrm{a}, \overline{\mathrm{o}}$-ṭai (fem. ūtaī, ōtaī with subst., ūte, ठṭe with adj.). The origin of these (identical) affixes is somewhat obscure. There can be no doubt, that $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{o}$ are conjunctive vowels, the proper diminutive affix is therefore tai. We find the very same affix in Sindhī, ūṭo or ōtō (Sindhī Gram. § 11). It is probable, that $r$ has been first changed to $d$ ( $\mathcal{S}$, as already in Prākrit) and thence to t . Before $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$-tai or otai a final vocalic termination is dropped. This diminative affix is not only joined to substantives but also to adjectives. E. g.
marai, man.

جنَثُى jinaī, girl.
11) gūṭai or gottai (fem. gūtaī, gōtaī). This affix is apparently a combination of ütai (otai) with the affix $\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{k}$, like karai and garai. E. g.

Kَ


## §. 38.

## V. Composition of nouns.

The Paṣ้̣to uses (abstracted from those, which are directly taken from the Persian), four kinds of compoundnouns:

1) Copulative compounds (so-called Dvandra), two nouns being joined together either by the Persian copala ${ }^{\hat{\prime}}$ ( $\overline{0}$ ) or by the insertion of the long vowel $\bar{a}$. Such like compounds are considered, from a grammatical point of view, as one word. E.g.
.
كورأكور kōr-ā-kōr, house by house.
Compositions, made after the analogy of the Persian, by the proposition $x$ pah ( $=$ بَهْهر town by town. Juxtapositions, like ${ }_{8} \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}$, نَاست sitting, standing
 are not treated in Paṣ̣to as one noun (and therefore no compound), as every word is inflected separately.
2) Dependent compounds (so-called Tatpuruṣa), the first of which is grammatically dependent on the second. The first noun stands mostly in a Genitive-relation to the second, far more rarely is another case-relation implied. E. g.

كَلْج مِنَّار kalăh-minar, a tower of sculls.
In such like compounds the first noun commonly remains unaltered, but a vocalic termination may also undergo a change; so may final ai be changed to a and final ăh be dropped altogether.



Only in poëtry such compounds are found, as:
كَ kamar-prōt, fallen on the waist.
More common are such compounds, as are formed after the analogy of the Persian, as:


3) Descriptive compounds (so-called karmadhāraya), in which the first noun nearer defines the second. Properly speaking the Paṣ̣̆to has not formed any compounds of this kind from its own resources, but adopted them from the Persian or the
adjoining Prākrit idioms, though substituting occasionally a Paṣ̣̆tō noun*).

نجْ mahājan, a banker (a great man) (Hindi).
-
نَآِبَاك nā-pāk, impure (Pers.).
ham- zōvalai, cotemporary (born together).
4) Possessive compounds (so-called Bahuvrihi).

This class of compounds is very frequent in Paṣ̣̆to and formed in various ways, as it comprises again all the three preceding classes. On the whole the Paṣ̣to agrees therein with the Persian, but it has also formed such compounds, as are foreign to the Persian and are more in accordance with Sanskrit usage.
a) Two substantives may form a possessive compound as:
gul-andāmăh, having the body of a rose (fem.); is Tatpuraṣ̃a, body of a rose.
بـبنَه كْباب ; sīnăh kabāb, having the breast roasted سِينَه كُباب may also be Tatpuruṣ̌a.
b) An adjective or participle perfect is put before a substantive. The substantive itself may either remain unaltered or it changes a final abh, ăh and the Plural-termination ūnah to ai, ūn-ai respectively, whereby the substantive is transferred to an adjective. The adjective which is placed before the substantive, commonly remains in the Masc. Sing., but it may also take the gender of its substantive.
nêk $\chi$ उ̄e, having a good temper.
 spin z̈īrai, having a white beard (


[^9]c) Another kind of possessive compounds, which is peculiar to the Paṣ̣to, is formed by placing the adjective or participle perfect after the substantive. When the adjective ends in a consonant, it may add the termination ai, whereas substantives ending in ăh drop it before the adjective. E. g.


 سترَ

## VI. Formation of the gender.

$$
\text { § } 39 .
$$

The Pağ̣tō has, like most of the Indian Prākrit tongues, lost the neuter and distinguishes only between masculine and feminine. The gender is on the whole very easily recognisable by the terminations of the nouns (§ 9.10 ); only the termination y- causes some difficalties, though the pronunciation itself clearly distinguishes between masculine (ah) and feminine nouns (ăh).

The gender is either expressed by different nouns, as it is the case in most languages with nouns denoting relationship, like:

 lūr, daughter; the same is the case with some common domestic animals, as سَنَّه sandạh, a male buffalo, mẹṣăh, a female buffalo: or the feminine is formed from the masculine by a different termination. This is done in the following manner:

1) From masc. nouns ending in a consonant the feminine is formed by adding the termination ăh ( $\$ 10,2$ ), as:
 tanner.


Those nouns, which have deepened original $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ to $\overline{\bar{o}}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ in the Nom. Sing., restore it again, but shortened before the fem. termination ăh, which is accented.


The same rule holds good in reference to adjectives ending in a consonant (§86).
2) From masc. nouns, ending in ai the feminine is formed by changing final ai to ai (seldom to $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$ ), as:

نُرْنَى
 , vrrumbai, the first, fem. , وُنبَى ,

An exception from this rule makes a number of adjectives, which form their feminine not by ain but by e (cf. § 87, 2); further all participles present and perfect ( $\S 87, \mathrm{~d}$ ).

Similarly a number of substantives, especially such, as are originally adjectives, form their feminine by the termination e, as:


 كُوْلَ kūtai, a young donkey, fem. كُوْ kūte*).
 vräbānre.
The diminutive affixes karaj and garai form their feminine by e (§ 37); so also garai, when corresponding to the Persian

[^10]affix $\bar{\zeta}$, making, doing (cf. § 87, 2, c). The dim. affix ūrai admits of both fem. terminations, aī and e ;


3) From masc. nouns ending in $\bar{a}$ the feminine is derived by changing $\bar{a}$ to $\overline{\mathrm{I}}$, as:

توُتَا tôtā a parrot, fem. كُتِى tīt.
Besides the fem. termination $\bar{i}$ however aī is also in use, as:
كَاكَا kākā, paternal uncle, fem. كَاَكُْى kākaī, paternal aunt.

Adjectives, ending in $\bar{a}$, remain unaltered in the feminine (§ 38 ).
4) From masc. nouns ending in ah the feminine is formed by changing ah to ăh. Though this change is not expressed in writing, it is heard in pronanciation. E. g.

كُرyَx kưtạh, dog, fem. كُرَّه kūtắh, bitch.
Adjectives ending in ah form their feminine in the same way, cf. §. 90. From substantives, denoting human beings or occupations the feminine is formed by means of the affiix anăh (Sindhi $\bar{a}$ ạī etc; Sindhī Gram. § 14, 1), before which the termination áh is dropped. E. g.
göbah, cowherd, fem.
mēlmăh, guest, fem. مبيلَه
5) From masc. nouns ending in $e$ the feminine is formed by adding the termination ăh, before which final $e$ is changed to $y$. E. g.


The same is the case with adjectives, § 91.
6) From masc. nouns ending in $\bar{i}$ the feminine is formed by changing i to aI, as*):

كُّكي kumakī, a helper, fem. كَكْتَيُ
With nouns denoting human and other living beings (of a higher kind) or occupations, the fem. termination xjaṇăh (Sindhī ānī, Sindhī Gram. § 14, 4) is also in use, before which final $\bar{i}$ is either dropped or changed to $\bar{y}$, when preceded by a vowel.



Adjectives, ending in i (mostly of foreign origin) remain on changed in the feminine § 92.
7) From masc. nouns ending in $\delta$ a feminine is only rarely formed, as most nouns with the termination $\overline{0}$ are generis communis (§ 9, 4). When a feminine is formed, it is done by adding the fem. termination ăh and changing (for euphony's sake) at the same time final $\delta$ to $\mathbf{v}$. E. g.

Adjectives in $\bar{o}$ remain unchanged in the feminine. § 89.
8) From masc. neuns ending in the feminine is formed by adding the fem. termination ăh, before which final $\bar{u}$ is changed to av, as:

Some substantives in $\bar{u}$ are generis communis § 9,8 .
With nouns denoting human beings, the fem. termination ānaī (ānraī) = Sindhī ān̄̄ (Sindhī Gram. § 14, 3), is also found, before which final $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ is changed to the semivowel v , as:

[^11]$$
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هِحْرَنْتُى . hindū, a Hindvā, femaī, a Hindū woman. Adjectives in $\bar{u}$ remain unchanged in the feminine, §. 92.
9) From masc. nouns ending in an a feminine is seldom formed as: "شَيرَ pairal, a follower, fem. pairau-ăh (pairav-ăh). About the fem. termination of the numeral adjective $\sim_{i}^{\sim}$ yau, one, see §. 92, 8; 94.

## III. Section.

Flexion of the noun.*)
§. 40.
From a grammatical point of view the Paṣ̆to has no declension, as little as the modern vernaculars of India. The old case-remnants, which are as yet found in the Indian Prākrit idioms, have totally disappeared in Paṣ̊to and the whole declensional process is made up by means of prefixes and postfixes.

The whole declension of the Paṣtō noun is therefore concentrated in the formation of the Plural and of the Formative Singular and Plaral (the so-called obliquecase), from which, by prefixing or postifing certain particles, the several cases are formed.

## §. 41.

## I. Formation of the Plural.

The crude form of a noun represents in Paṣ̣to always the Nom. Sing., from which, according to the following rules, the Nom. Plaral is formed.

We must treat separately of masc. and fem. nouns, every gender forming its Nom. Plur. in a different manner, according to its termination.

[^12]
## A. Formation of the Plural of masc. nouns.

## §. 42.

1) Nouns ending ina consonant.

These form their Nom. Plur.
a) by adding the termination ān.

This Plaral-termination, which the Paṣ̣̆̆ $\overline{0}$ has in common with the Persian, is commonly used in such nouns, as denote animate objects, as:

مْلك malik, a chief, Plur. مْلَكَان málik-ān.
مَا mār, a snake, Plur. مَارْ marr-ān.
But this rule is by no means strict; for many nouns, denoting inanimate objects, take also the termination ān or use promiscuously $\overline{\text { an }}$ and $\bar{u} n a h(b)$, as:

شُوند suānḍ, lip, Pl. شُونَابَان šūnḍ-ān.
To this Plur. affix ān the poëts add again (as well as to the Plur. termination $\bar{a} \bar{n}$ ) the termination $\bar{e}(=\bar{a} n-\bar{e})$ and transfer thus the masc. Plur. termination to the feminine, as: دلَّبَانْى dilbar-ān-ē, heart-ravishing (women).
b) by adding the termination unah (ūa).

This Plur. termination (which, according to its origis, is identical with the preceding, $\bar{a}$ having been changed to $\bar{u}$ ) is commonly used in nouns denoting inanimate objects, far less in such as imply living beings. E. g.



*) With ejection of a (instead of $\gamma$ ar-ūnah), the Paṣ̆to being very found of such hard initial sounds. Similarly $\mathrm{J} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{k} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{l}$, year, is also


The following nouns' denoting animate objects, always form their Plural by ūnah;
 رَيَّ plār, father, PI.


c) by adding the termination a $h$.

Some nouns chiefly monosyllabic ones with radical a or a, form their Plural by ejecting the short root-vowel and adding the termination ah, as:





In the same way form their Plural some adjectives, cf. §. 85 .
d) by adding the termination ah. (with internal vowel-change).

A certain number of nouns, in which original long a is deepened to $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ in the Nom. Sing., restore it again before adding the Plural termination ah. ${ }^{*}$ ) E. g.

شثيون špūn, shepherd, Pl. شْبَانَّ špān-ah.
بَيَلُون bial-tūn, separation, Pl. بِبَلْتَانَه bial-tãn-ah.

In the same way a number of adjectives form their Plural, cf. §. 86. Besides this Plural-formation we find also the regular one

[^13]
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(ūnah) in use, but only in nouns denoting inanimate objects, as:

The following two nouns form their Plural somewhat irregularly, by changing the final $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ to a before the termination $\bar{u} n a h$ :
; زَنُون zangūn, knee, Pl. zangan-ūnah.
ورْنُونْ . vrūn, the thigh, Pran-ūnah.
e) by adding the termination ahar .

This Plaral termination is only added to such nouns, as denote a sound, as:

ترَّ تَهْهُ, trap-abār.

Some of these nouns are only used in the Plural, as: كشَهَار kaš-ahār, rustling.

> f) by changing final radical a to a

This Plaral formation, which is effected by a slight change of the final vowel of the noun, is quite pecaliar to the Paṣsto. E. g.


位
بَّن mayan (adj.) a lover, Pl. mayann.

There is a small number of nouns of this kind, the Plural of which must be well taken notice of.

In the same way form their Plural all adjectives, made up by means of the affixes $\dot{j}$, zan, used substantively.

Very likely from the same reason the Infinitive (ending in -al) is also treated as a Plurale tantum.
g) by adding the termination ah (a).

Not to be confounded with the Plural formation c) d) is the Plural termination ah, which does notrequire any vowel change within the noun and is only added to nouns, denoting inanimate objects, when preceded by anumeral. E. g.
drē kāl-ah, three years; شإِى كالَّ sixty artifices.

كس kas, somebody, a person, may also take the Pl. termination ah (a), when preceded by a numeral, as لبيرضو كَسْ tērsū kas-a, three handred men.

Instead of this Plural formation the common one (ending in ūnah) may be optionally used. as: درى كَاْلُونَ drē kāl-ūnah, three years.
h) Irregular Plaral formation.

ورونگْ 8 vror, brother, forms its Plural quite irregularly ورور


Many nouns are considered as collective in Paṣ̆to and are therefore constructed as Plurals, without taking any of the preceding Plural terminations. Such are: panīr, cheese, غَنْ
 cleaned cotton, and many others.

$$
\text { §. } 43 .
$$

2) Nouns ending in ai.

These form their Plural by changing ai to $\bar{i}$, as:
لنَّىَ lindai, archer, Pl. لِنـِ lind-I.


[^14]Some nouns are only found in the Plural, as: بُوتّ سُورِى


The following nouns assume different terminations in the Plural :
 , pēri-ān.
 or مريُونه mrai-ūnah.
§. 44.
3) Nouns ending in $\bar{a}$.

These form their Plural after the analogy of the Persian by adding the termination ān with euphonic y or $g$ inserted between the final vowel of the noun and the termination, as:

مَا māmā, paternal uncle, Pl. مَامَا

Arabic nouns, ending in $\bar{a}$ in the broken Plaral, may besides
 nobles, Pl.

Some nouns ending in $\bar{a}$ are considered collective and take therefore no Plural termination, as: $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ Sing. and Pl., bangle; صَبَبا sabā, morning breeze; pēs̃vā, guide, leader.
§. 45.
4) Nouns ending in $\overline{0} .{ }^{*}$ )

These form their Plural in a threefold manner:
a) by adding the termination $\bar{a} n$, when the noun denotes an animate object, as: -
*) Now and then only written with final u (Pēs).

b) by adding the termination $\mathrm{g} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{n}$, may the noun denote an animate or inanimate object, as:
saglāvō*), an otter, Pl. سَكلَاوو

c) by adding the termination $\bar{u} n a h$, when the noun denotes inanimate objects, as:
,

It is to be noted, that $\hat{\jmath}$; $\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \overline{\mathrm{o}}$, a quarter, when preceded by a numeral, forms its plural by adding ah (a), before which $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$ is changed to v , as ${ }^{\circ}$ درى drē pāva, three quarters.

Some nouns are considered as collectives and do not take any Plural termination, as: $\hat{\text { : }}$

## §. 46.

5) Nouns endinginah.

These form their Plural in different ways:
a) they remain unchanged in the Plural, so that the number must be gathered from the context. To this class belong all verbal nouns ending in ah (§. 12), which are always treated as Pluralia tantum. E. g.

خَاتَه $\chi^{\bar{a} \text { atah, ascending, Pl. (from خَتَّ) }}$
b) or they, drop final ah and add the termination ān, when denoting living beings, or ünah, when denoting things and abstract ideas. E. g.

[^15]
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گَارغَ kāryąh, crow, Pl. ${ }^{\text {كَا }}$

The following nouns, denoting living beings, are to be noted as exceptions:

ترَها $\operatorname{rog}$

نِيگَ nīkah, paternal grand-father, Pl. نِيَّونَ nīk-ūnah.

c) or they add simply the termination gān; this is done chiefly by nouns denoting animate objects, but also by those, which denote things. Most Persian words ending in o- take this Pl. termination. E. g.



d) Some few nouns form their Plaral by adding the termination ānah, before which final agh is dropped, as:

مبيلنَانَه mēlmah, guest, RIm-ānah.
In the same way form their Plural all nouns compounded with the affix ${ }^{-1}(=$ Sansk. प), as:




## §. 47.

6) Nouns ending in e.

These form their Plural, when denoting animate objects, by adding the termination and when denoting inanimate

[^16]
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objects, by adding the termination nah, before which termination final e is changed to y . E. g.



Irregular Plural formation.
§. 48.
7) Nouns ending in in.

These form their Plural by adding the termination ann (seldom gan n); before this Plural termination final i is pronounced either as $i$ or in.

sipāhī, a soldier, Pl. سَبَاهِيَان sipathi-ān.
silamčī, a wash-hand basin, Pl. سِلَّهِچֵى
سَلْأِبَكَان.
saláī-gān.

$$
\text { §. } 49 .
$$

## 8) Nouns ending in $\bar{u}$.

These form their Plural by adding either the termination an or gain. E. $\mathbf{g}$

كَنُدر kandū, a corn-bin, Pl. كَنذُوَّن kándū-ān.
,
bāhü, an ornament for the arm, Pl. بَاهو 5*

## - 68 -

Some nouns ending. in $\bar{u}$ are considered as collectives and


§. 50.

## 9) Nouns ending in au.

These form their Plural, when denoting animate objects, by adding the termination an, and when denoting inanimate objects, by adding that of ūnah. E. g.

永 pairau-ān.
palau, hem of a garment, Pl. خَلَوْ palau-ūnah.
Some nouns ending in au are considered as collectives, as جُو jau, Sing. and Pl., barley.

## B. Formation of the Plural of fem. nouns.

§. 51.

1) Nounsending in a consonant (i. e. é).

We have subsumed these nouns (§. 10,5) under those, which end in é, because they have now dropped in the Nom. Sing. (original) final e. But as they deviate in the formation of their Plural from those, which have retained final e in the Nom. Sing., they must be treated here separately.

But this class of fem. nouns, which have dropped final e in the Nom. Sing. and restore it again in the Nom. Plar. (as well as in the Format. Sing.) must not be confounded with those, which likewise end in a consonant in the Nom. Sing., but do not add e to the Format. Sing. and form their Plural irregularly. We must therefore distinguish:
a) Fem, nouns, which adde inthe Nom. Plural.

These are the following:

brastan-e.
™ bagn, a rival wife, Pl.

- بورجِجل börjal, abode, home, Plal-e. *)

بَوْ baul, urine, Pl. نوّ baul-e. **)



تَبَر tabar, wife, Pl.

خَا čār, work, Pl. جَار cāar-e.

garman, skin, Pl.
tangal, the forearm, Pl. fangal-e.

*) It is also used as a masculine and forms then the PI. بورُجْلُونَد bōrjal-ünah.
**) Mostly used in the Plural.

U daršal, the frame of a door, Pl.
درَكر


stane.



خōjal-e.






**) Also written (by transposition of letters) mangaz.

†) Iaṣ̉kar is also used as masc., Plur.
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مَتَاك matāk, a kind of wallnat, Pl. .

مَنگُر mangul, a talon, Pl. مَنُرْ mangul-e.
miaišt-e.

mērman, mistress, Pl. ميرْمْنْ

نوَزْ nvarz, a sandpiper, Pl. نوْز nvarz-e.



, وَنْرَ, وَخْر

b) Fem. nouns, which form their Plaralirregularly. ترور $\operatorname{H}$ trōr*), aunt, Pl. ترورُّنىی trōr-āne.




,
§. 52.
2) Nouns ending in $\overline{\text { a }}$

These form their Plural, when denoting animate objects, by adding the termination gāne (cf. §. 44), but when they denote inanimate objects, they remain unchanged in the eastern dialect, whereas they add the termination $\mathbf{v i}$ (or $\mathbf{v e}$ ) in the western. E. g.
niā, grandmother, Pl. نِبَا niā-gāne.

 gāne is formed. §. 57.
§. 53.

> 3) Nounsending in ăh.

These form their Plural by changing ăh to $\overline{\mathrm{E}} .{ }^{*}$ )
بَبَه baḍ-ăh, bribe, Pl. بَبهى baḍ-e.
لنَذَ lind-ăh, bow, Pl. لندى lind-e.
Some nouns ending in ăh are considered as collectives and form therefore no Plural, as


Some foreign nouns ending in ăh and denoting animate objects, add also the termination gāne, as:

A certain number of nouns of this class are only nsed in the Plural:

إيرى , irē, ashes.
ín pāxale, the hooping cough.
pernēne, the Pleiades.
pēṣe, mockery.
تَتَاوتى tarāvē, a prayer consisting of 20 genuflexions in Ramazān.


خatōlē, muddy water.

*) In Mss. often written only - , which, as well as the Sing. - , instead of $8-$, ought to be avoided.

دُوى dūrē，fine dust．
（ر）ramē，dysentery．
زوى zave，matter，pus．
سْروّانـدى sarvānde，fallow land．
süre，shoat，noise．
شلُوْبى šlōmbē
buttermilk．
شوْملمي soomle
شنى sine ，the fruit of the mastin tree．
شؤّه ；šolăh，the rice plant．



نينى nīne，roasted grain；a grain of parched corn．
，وأ，vāvrē，snow．
，＇ربُوشیى varbūs̄e

و，وrijē

ज⿳亠⿴囗十丌
وin vine ，blood．
§. 54.

## 4) Nounsending in $\delta$.

These form their Plural by adding the termination gāne, be they denoting animate or inanimate objects; cf. §.45, b).


Some nouns ending in $\delta$ are considered as collectives and remain therefore unchanged in the Plural, as: بُرْ bar $\chi \overline{0}$, Sing. and Pl., cheek; زَانكو' swing, cradle; ورشنو vuršo, meadow.
§. 55.
5) Nounsending in $\overline{\text { in }}$

These form their Plaral:
a) when denoting animate objects, by changing final ito aī, or by adding the termination gāne or āne, the latter chiefly, when the masc. Plur. termination ends in an (§. 48).
sahelī, a handmaid, Pl. سَهُهِيلى sablain.

hādī, a female guide, Pl. هَادِى hādi-āne.

b) by changing final $\bar{I}$ to aī, when denoting inanimate objects, as:


§. 56.
6) Nouns ending in ai.

These remain unchanged in the Plural, as:

§. 57.
7) Nouns ending in e.

There are very few fem. substantives ending in e. They form their Plural either by adding the termination gāne or āne; before the latter a euphonic y may be inserted ( $=-\mathrm{y}$-āne ${ }^{*}$ ). E. g.


From this class of nouns those are to be well distinguished, which end likewise in e, but which are (originally) adjectives used substantively and follow therefore the Plural-formation of the adjectives, by changing final e to i (§. 87, 6. c.a.).

maryame, a female kid, Pl. مَغْغَ maryamī.
§. 58.
8) Nouns ending in $\bar{u}$.

The few fem. nouns ending in $\bar{u}$ form their Plural, denote they animate or inaminate objects, by adding the termination gāne. E. g.
*) In Qundahār تَارورى remain unchanged in the Plural.
**) Literally: having the head downwards.

barju, a hone, Pl. بَرْجُ, barjū-gāne.
II. The formation of the Formative Singular and Plural.
§. 59.
Before the prefixes and postixes (see sub III), by means of which the several cases are made up in Paṣ̆to, can be connected with the noun, it must first be put in the Formative or obliquecase (Sing. and Plural), which is always identical with the Instrumental; after this is done, the prefixes or postixes do not any longer affect the noun.

## 1) The Formative of the Singular.

$$
\text { §. } 60 .
$$

## A. The Formative of masc. nouns.

a) Nouns ending in a consonant remain unchanged in the Format. Sing. Excepted are those nouns, in which original $\bar{a}$ is changed to $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ in the Nom. Sing. (§. 42, d). In their Format. Sing. $\bar{a}$ reappears again and at the same time ah is added to the theme, so that the Format. Sing. and the Nom. Plur. outwardly coincide. E. g.

نُوم nūm, name, Form. Sing. نَامَ nām-ah.
This rule however is not strict and in many instances the Form. Sing. is not distinguished from the Nom. Sing., especially in nouns denoting inanimate objects.

Those nouns, which according to §. 42, c, form their Plural by adding the termination ah, form their Form. Sing. in the same way, as:

Jo mal, companion, Form. Sing. ml -ah.
b) Nouns ending in ai change it to $\bar{i}$, as:

c) Nouns ending in $\bar{a} ; \bar{\delta}$, ah, e, $\bar{i}, \bar{u}$, an remain unchanged in the Format. Sing. The only exception is the numeral yau, one, the Formative of which is yau-ah.
§. 61.
B. The Formative of fem. nouns.
a) Those nouns, which are comprised in the list of $\S .51$, a, form the Format. Sing. by restoring (original) e, so that the Form. Sing. and the Nom. Pl. are outwardly identical. E. g.

لَار lār, way, Form. Sing. لَا لَār-e.
Those under §. 51, b, remain unchanged in the Form. Sing., as:

مور mor, mother, Form. Sing. mōr.
b) Nouns ending in $\bar{a}$ remain unchtranged in the Form. Sing.
c) Nouns ending in ăh change it to $\bar{e}$, as:

Such nouns, as are borrowed from the Arabic or Persian and are still treated as foreign words, remain unchanged in the


d) Nouns ending in $\delta$ remain unchanged in the Form. Sing.
e) Nouns ending in $\bar{i}$ change it to $a \bar{i}$, as:
sahēlaī.
كَرْمى garmī, heat, Form. Sing.
garmaī.
*) is also treated like an adjective and constructed accordingly, ef. §. 90.

A few nouns remain unchanged in the Form. Sing., as ( monly all foreign nouns, as ذَاُُى dāi, a nurse; هَادِى hādī, a (femade) gaide.
f) Nouns ending in aî remain unchanged in the Form. Sing.
g) The few substantives ending in e remain anchanged in the Form. Sing., as نَاوْى nāvē, bride, Form. Sing. نَارى nāve.

Those nouns, which are originally adjectives ( $(5.57$ ), change
 sarkūzū.
h) Nouns ending in $\bar{u}$ remain uanchanged in the Form. Sing.

## §. 62.

## 2) The Formative of the Plaral.

The termination of the Formative Plural is $\delta$ (Hindī $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$, Sindhī
 either added to the Plural terminations, as ān- $\bar{\sigma}$, $\overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{n}-\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ (ah being dropped before it), gān- $\delta$, or which is affixed to the theme itself**), by dropping the Plural termination altogether, as $\hat{\jmath} ; \bar{x}_{\vec{z}}$ plār- $\bar{\sigma}$ (Plur.
 consider:

$$
\text { §. } 63 .
$$

A. The Formative Plural of masc. nouns.
a) Nouns ending in a consonant form their Nom. Plural, as we have seen, in different ways:

[^17]$\alpha$ ) Those ending in the Plural in $\bar{a} n$, $\bar{n} n a h(\xi .42, ~ a . b),. ~ a d d ~$ the Format. Plur. affix $\delta^{*}$ ) either to these terminations, as $\bar{a} n-\overline{0}$, $\bar{u} n \bar{o}$, or they add it directly to the crude form of the noun, as:


$\beta$ ) Those ending in the Nom. Pl. in ah (§. 42, c) drop it before the affix $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$, as:

$\gamma$ ) Those ending in the Nom. Pl. in ah ( $\S .42, \mathrm{~d}$ ) drop it before the affix $\delta$, as:

The long (radical) $\bar{a}$ however is now and then shortened, especially in such nouns, which are originally adjectives, as:

## 

The affix $\overline{0}$ also accedes in many cases to the crude form of the Nom. Sing, without any internal vowel change, as paštun- 0 . This is frequently the case with nouns denoting inanimate objects.
;َنغُون zangūn, knee and ورون vrūn, the thigh (both in the
 the termination ūnah before the affix $\delta$, as:

ס) nouns ending in the Plur. in ahār (§. 42, e) or which change final a to a ( $(\S .42, \mathrm{f}$ ) simply add the affix $\overline{0}$, as: ahār- $\bar{\delta}$,



[^18]b) Nouns ending in ai, Pl. i, add the Formative affix $\bar{\delta}$ to the Pl. termination $\overline{1}$, which becomes thereby iy-( $\overline{\mathbf{\delta}}$ ) or i ( $\overline{\mathrm{j}}$ ), or is even dropped altogether, as:

Those ending in ān, ūnah form the Form. Pl. regularly in $\bar{a} n-\bar{o}, \bar{u} n-\bar{o}$, or add the affix $\bar{o}$ to the crude form of the noun, as:

| مرْيُّى mrai-ī مرَشان mrai-ān | مَرْوَ mrai-o <br> slaves; Form. PI. مريّانو mrai-ān-0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \% mrai-ūnah | مرّهونوَ mrai-ūn-б |

c) Nouns ending in $\bar{a}$, Nom. Pl. y-ān, gān (§. 44) add the affix $\overline{\bar{o}}$ to these Pl. terminations, as $y-\bar{a} n-\bar{o}$, gān- $\overline{0}$. The Arabic

 collective nouns, as $\mathrm{T}_{1}^{2}$ रُ čūrā, a bangle, Sing. and Plur.,

d) Nouns ending in $\overline{0}$, Nom. PI. $\bar{a} n$, gān, ūnah (§. 45), form their Form. Pl. by $\bar{a} n-\bar{o}$, gān $-\bar{o}$, $\bar{n} n-\bar{o}$ or add the affix $\bar{o}$ to the crude

e) Nouns ending in ab, Nom. Pl. ah (§. 46, a), drop final ah and add the affix $\overline{0}$, as وَيبنتَ vesṣt-ah, hairs, Form. Pl. وينبنّ veṣtr- $\bar{o}^{*}$ ). Those ending in the Nom. Pl. in ān, gān, and ūnah
*) Some nouns may remain unchanged in the Format. Pl., as دوَّ


 of her Lord (Gulsh. I, 62).

Trumpp, Afgh. Grammar.
(§. 46, b. c) change these Pl. terminations in the Format. Pl. to $\bar{a} n-\overline{0}, g \bar{a} n-\bar{\sigma}$, ūn- $\overline{0}$.

Foreign nouns ending in ah retain this final syllable and add to it $\overline{\bar{o}}$ or $\mathrm{v}-\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ in the Form. Pl., as:

كَهِنَهُرُ كَهِنَ kahinah-ō.
هَزَاْرَ $\mathrm{\sigma}$
Those nouns in ah, which in the Nom. Pl. add the termination ānah (§. 46, d), drop final ah before $\overline{0}$, as مبِلَّانَ guests, Form. Pl. ميلمَانؤ mēlm-ān- 0.
f) Nouns ending in e (Nom. Pl. -y-ān, y-ūnah, §. 47), ī (Nom. Pl. ān §. 48), $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ (Nom. Pl. ān, gān §. 49) and au (Nom. Pl. $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{n}$, ūnah §. 50), form the Format. Pl. either regularly by ān- $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$, gān- $\overline{0}$, $\bar{u} n \cdot \bar{o}$, or add the affix $\bar{o}$ to the crude form of the noun, which is also the case with all collective nouns. Irregular
 the Format. Pl. either by ; ; ;
§. 64.

## B. The Formative Plural of fem. nouns.

a) Nouns ending in the Sing. in a consonant and in the Nom. Pl. in e $(\xi, 51)$, drop in the Format. Pl. final e before the affix $\overline{0}$, as ${ }_{\text {y }}$ lāre, ways, Form. Pl. $\hat{\jmath}$ ر, لَا lār-ō; similarly the irregular Plurals


b) Nouns ending in $\bar{a}$, which either remain unchanged in the Nom. Pl. or add the termination vī (vē) (§. 52), add the Formative Pl. affix $\bar{o}$ to the crude form, with or without euphonic $\nabla$, as
 balā-v-ō. Nouns ending in gāne change this Pl . termination to
gān-ō, as: نيَاكَانِ niā-gānō.
c) Nouns ending in ăh, Nom. Pl. $\bar{e}$ (§. 53), drop this Pl
 lind- $\bar{o}$. Collective nouns, which are identical in the Nom. Sing.



In the older language and in poëtry $i(=e)$ is occasionally preserved before the affix $\overline{0}$, as ${ }^{\text {اوْبنى }}$ ọṣe , tears (Nom. Sing.


Nouns ending in the Nom. Pl. in gāne, change it in the Format. Pl. to gān-ō, as: فِربنتَغَكـانِي firiṣ̂tah-gāne, angels, Format. Pl.


d) Nouns ending in $\bar{o}$, Nom. Pl. gāne (§. 54), change it in the Format. Pl. to gān-ō. The collective nouns add $v-\bar{o}$ in the
 final $\overline{0}$ being shortened in pronunciation to $\delta$.
e) Nouns ending in $\overline{1}$, Nom. Pl. aī (§. 55), change the Plur. termination aī to $\mathbf{i}$ before the affix $\overline{0}$ or drop it altogether, as: سَهِيلو sahēli-ס or سَهيلبيوُ sahēlā̄, hand-maids, Format. Pl سَهِبنثى sahēl- $\overline{0}$. The Plural termination gāne and āne is changed to gān-ō and $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{n}-\overline{0}$.
f) Nouns ending in aī, Nom. Pl. aī (§. 56), always drop final aī before the affix $\bar{o}$, as: كَانَّثِّى gānṛaī, oil-presses, Format. Pl. رَّ
g) Nouns ending in e, Nom. Pl. āne, gāne (§. 57), change these Plur. terminations in the Form. Pl. to a annō, gān $\overline{0}$.

Those ending in the Nom. Pl. in $\overline{1}$, shorten it to $\mathbf{i}$ (or iy-)


h) Nouns ending in $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$, Nom. Pl. gāne (§. 58), form their Format. Pl. regularly by changing gāne to gān-ō.

## III. Formation of cases.

> Case-prefixes and postfixes.

## §. 65.

1) The Nominative Sing. has no particular case-sign, but is identical with the crude form of the noun. The Nom. Plar. is recognised by the change of the final syllable or by the several Plural terminations, as shown in §. 42-58.
2) The Accusative has no particular case-sign, bat is identical with the Nom. Singular or Plural.
3) The Instrumental*) Singular and Plural is identical with the Formative Singular and Plural respectively.

All the other cases (the Vocative of course excepted) must be made up by means of prefixes and postfixes, which require the Formative of the noun, which they precede or follow.
4) The Genitive. In order to express a Genitive-relation the Paṣ̆to places the prefix $j^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{da}^{* *}$ ) before the Formative of a noun ${ }^{* * *}$ ), which logically stands in the Genitive. The Genitive commonly precedes the governing noun, but it may also follow

[^19]it; e. g. $\quad$ da kōr taṣ̆tan, the master of the house,

5) The Dative. In order to express the idea of the Dative the Paṣ̆to employs a variety of particles, which partly precede and partly follow the Formative of a noun.
a) The prefix; va is now nearly antiquated, but it is frequently met with in older Paṣ̣̆to anthors and in poëtry, as : 'سَىِى va sarī, to a man. There can be hardly a doubt, that this prefix ; is identical with the Pārsī prefix $\overline{0}$, which Spiegel in his Pārsī Grammar, p. 55, note, derives from the Zendic preposition avi. With va the postfixes تَه tah or vatah are frequently joined, as

 likely identical with the Hindūstānī تَتْبَ taĩ, Sindhī ताईई tãĩ, which is to be derived from the Sansk. स्यानें. وتَ vatah very probably is the Sindhī postix वटि vaṭe, near to, with.
c) The postfix ${ }^{j}$ lah and $8, j$ larah. 'The postix $x{ }^{\prime}$ is very likely identical with the Pārsī postfix rā, modern Persian likewise if rā, which originally signifies "for the sake of". The Marāthī uses likewise ला lā as Dative postix, which corresponds to the


The postix $\begin{gathered}\text { ric larah has no analogy in the cognate idioms }\end{gathered}$ and its origin is therefore doubtful.
6) The Ablative. The idea of the Ablative is expressed partly by prefixes alone, partly by prefixes and a postix.
a) The most common Ablative prefix is $x^{J}$ lah, which always requires the Formative of a noun, as: لَّ لَّبنـنى lah laṣtī, from a brook. When a noun ends in a consonant*) or in e, au, the

[^20]syllable ah (or only a) is added for euphony's sake, as: َز
 son; لَ lah tau-a, from the ambush (or: lah tav-a). Very frequently $x{ }_{x}$ is also followed by the postix ${ }^{\text {نَ }}$ nah (in the eastern dialect), as: لَ لَّبَنتِ نَد lah laṣ̆tī nah, from a brook. When a noun ending in a consonant or $e$ is thus followed by the postfix $\dot{x}$,
 nah, out of grief, لَه زوبى نَه lah zōe nah, from a son; but when (
 sarah, with the house.

The prefix a ${ }^{\text {J }}$ lah (not to be confounded with the postix ${ }^{\text {J }}$ ) has very likely taken its origin from the Hindī postix ते or ये, from ${ }^{\circ}=$ Prāk. तो $=$ Sansk. तस् $), \mathrm{t}$ having passed into 1 in Paṣ̆tō; xj nah we would compare with the Gujarātī Genitive affix $n \overline{0}$ *), which in Paṣ̆tō has become a postposition, so that it has properly in $x^{\dot{x}}-\overline{\mathrm{j}}$ a double Ablative case-sign.
b) Besides $\bar{\alpha}$ (or تَ $\operatorname{tar}{ }^{*}$ ), with which the postix á may also be joined as with $\alpha$ Jَ.

When $\begin{aligned} \text { precedes a noun ending in a consonant or } e \text {, au, }\end{aligned}$ euphonic ah or a must be added to the noun, as تَ تَ سَ tar sara

*) Compare: "On the declensional features" etc. p. 398. **) $\operatorname{j}$ tar seems to be identical with $\begin{gathered}\text { لَ } \\ \text { as regards its origin, for }\end{gathered}$ it is apparently derived from the Sansk. Abl. affix $\overline{\text { TR }}$, with transition of $s$ to $r$.

On the special signification of $\overline{5}$ see $8.174,7$.
the other nouns it requires simply the Formative. But when تر precedes a noun in the Singular ending in ăh (fem.), it remains in the Nominative, as تَر خُلَّ tar $\chi$ ulăh, from the mouth. When تَ precedes a noun in the Plural, it requires the Formative, as: تَرَ لُونرو tar tūnrō, from the daugthers, but nouns with the Plar. termination ūnah may remain in the Nominative, as: تر كَوْرَنَه tar kaž-ūnah, under the chin.

When is followed by the postix ${ }^{\dot{j}}$, nouns ending in a consonant or e, au, do not add a euphonic ah or a, as تَر بَباخ tar ṣ̂à nah, from a bough, but when followed by the postposition
 tar qiāmat-a pōre (قَبَامَت), up to the resurrection. With other nouns the Formative is required, but nouns ending in ăh may also remain in the Nom. (Sing.), in the Plural the Formative only is used. E. g. تَر ; زمكى تَانی tar zmakē lānde, under the ground or تَر سِينَx لَانی tar sīnăh lānde, under the breast;

c) In the east (especially among the Khataks) $\leftrightharpoons$ de ${ }^{* *}$ ) is frequently used as an Ablative prefix, which may also be followed
 de dulma, out of tyranny.
7) The Locative. The idea of the Locative is expressed
*) On تور in see §. 174, 7.
**) In Qandahār however $\mathcal{J}$ is pronounced like da. At any rate $\checkmark$ is etymologically identical with the Genitive prefix $j$.
either by the prefix pah alone or with following كچ̣ kṣe *) (كبنى), which is not used as an independent postix, but only in
 ical with the Pārsī prefix pa or fa, modern Persian بَ, Sansk. ॠमि; the origin of كبتى however is doubtful. It appears to have sprung from the Hindhī नीचे, below, down, by dropping initial nē; the form كیى seems therefore to be original and ẹ̌ a euphonic insertion (as in حُشَّ pṣ̆ăh, foot, etc.).

The construction of xy must be well noticed; it requires, like the other prefixes and postixes commonly the Formative of the noun, as roads. But from this rale there are many exceptions:
a) If a noun end in agh (masc.) or ăh (fem.), xu is joined to
 كبَى on that pigeon (Dorn, Chrest. p. 11).
b) Nouns ending in the Nom. Pl. in ah, ah (with and without internal vowel-change §. 42. d. g.) ānah, ūnah may, when constructed with $x_{x}$, remain in the Nominative; e.g. .秋 كنِّ pah paṣ̂tānah kṣ้e, amongst the Afghāns; ; things; pah $\gamma$ ōbānab, amongst the cowherds. But in all these cases the

[^21] āsūnō etc.

In the same way a fem. noun may remain in the Nom. Pl., when preceded by a numeral, as pah dvah vrade, in two days; otherwise $x \underset{\sim}{\text { in }}$ is seldom constracted with the Nominative Plural of a fem. noun and only in poëtry; so says Xus̆hāal $\chi \bar{\chi}$ ān (Gulsh. II, 42. 2.):
the throat of every man is moist by its own spittle.
c) The fem. nouns ending in $\overline{1}$, Format. Sing. aī (§. $55 ; 61,5)$, are constructed in the east with the Formative, bat in the west with the Nominative, as pah dōstaī and和 pah dōstī; when constructed with the Plural xí always requires the Formative, as: بَحْ

Annotation. Like $x x^{\prime}$ the preposition fix, on, apon, is also constructed. The poëts take the liberty, when $x \underset{q}{\text { is }}$ is constructed with a noun ending in a consonant, to add a euphonic ah (a) to it, similarly as after the prefixes $\boldsymbol{J}^{j}$, $\bar{j}$ and $৩$. E. g.

Without giving fame does not come on any body's head. (Gulsh. I, 173).
8) The Vocative, which is, properly speaking, not a case, is formed, after the analogy of the Persian, by adding the syllable ah (a) or $\bar{a}$, with or without the interjectional particles í ai, $\hat{\boldsymbol{j}} \overline{\mathrm{o}}, \boldsymbol{\prime}$, vo. Entering into particulars we have to notice:
*)

## A. The Vocative Singular.

a) of masc. nouns.
$\alpha$ ) Masc. nouns ending in a consonant add the syllable ah (a) or $\bar{a}$, with or without the interjectional particles, as:


Nouns, which according to $\S .60,1$ form their Format. Sing. by internal vowel-change and the addition of the syllable ah, or which add ah, dropping at the same time the short vowel of the crude form, do the same in the Vocative, as:

$\beta$ ) Masc. nouns ending in ai add the syllable ah (a) or $\bar{a}$ to the Formative Sing., as: :
() Masc. nouns ending in $\bar{a}, \bar{o}, a h, \bar{u}, ~ a u *)$ remain $u n-$ changed in the Vocative.

ס) Masc. nouns ending in e add ah (a), $\bar{a}$, before which final


ع) Masc. nouns ending in $\bar{i}$ add ah (a) $\bar{a}$, shortening $\bar{i}$ at the


## b) of fem. nouns.

Fem. nouns, of whatever termination, put the interjectional particles before the Formative Sing., as: © , وơ ṣáde-é, o woman; the interjectional particles may also


Those fem. nouns, which end in a consonant but do not add $e$ in the Format. Sing. (§. 51, b), add likewise e in the Vocative,


[^22]
## B. The Vocative Plural.

The Vocative Plural of both genders in throughout identical with the Formative Plural, with or without the interjectional particles.
§. 66.
We let now follow a general survey of the Paṣ̂to declensional process, according to the different terminations of nouns. In the first paradigm all the cases will be pat down, in the following only the Nominative, Formative and Vocative Sing. and the Nominative and Formative Plural will be exhibited, as from these the several cases can easily be made up by means of the prefixes and postfixes, which are the same for the Singular and the Plural.
§. 67.

## I. Masculine nouns.

1) Nouns ending in a consonant. (§. 42 ; 60 ; 63, 1.)
a) With the Plural termination $\bar{a} n$.

Sing.
Nom. مَلك málik, a chieftain.
Ascus. مُلك malik, a chieftain.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Format. } \\ \text { Instrum. }\end{array}\right\}$ مَلك malik, by a chieftain.
Genit. da malik, of a chieftain.
 va malik vatah; مَلكع تَه malik tab*); مَلك وتْت
*) The most common postfixes now in use are تَ تَرْ
 to a chieftain.
 tar malika; malik nah.
 from a chieftain.

Locat. مَلْكَ pah pah malik; palik kọ̀e, in a chieftain.


## Plural.

Nom. مَلْكَان málik-ān, chieftains.
Accus. مَلْكَان malik-ān, chieftains.

Genit. مَلَكَانوُ tains.

Dative. $\quad$ مَلَكَانوَ $\quad$ va malik-ān-ō, va malik-ān-ō tah, زبلكو تَ ; va malik-ō tah etc. etc. to chieftains.



de (da) malik-ān-б; chieftains.

Local.


b) With the Plural termination $\overline{\text { una }} \mathrm{n}$.

Sing.
Nom. $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$ ass, horse.
Format.
Vocal. IT ásáh.
Plur.
Nom. ${ }^{\text {IT }}$ ās- û́nah, horses.

c) With the Plural termination ah and ejection of

Sing.
Nom. ${ }^{\frac{\pi}{x}} \gamma_{0}^{a}$, thief.

Vocal. آتى غلَّه ai $\gamma 1$-ah.
Plur.


d) With the Plural termination ahandinternalvowelchange.

Sing.
Nom. شُيون š̆pūn, shepherd.
Format. شْبَانَ špān-ah.
Vocal. in ai špán-áh.
Plur.
Nom. شَيَانَx špān-ah, shepherds.
Format. شْبَانو sipān-o.
e) With the Plural terminationahār.

Sing.
Nom. تَتْبَ trap, a bump.
Format. ترتب trap.
Plur.
Nom. $\quad$ كترجّهار trap-ahár, bumps.

f) With change of final to a.

Sing.
Nom. سَخَتر spar, a stone.
Format. سْخَ sizar.
Vocal. ${ }^{\text {on }}$

> Plur.

Nom. $\quad$ win s uar, stones.


## - 95 -

g) With the Plural termination ah, in connexion with numerals.

## Plur.

Nom. (لدرى) كَالَه (drē) kāl-ah, (three) years. Format. كَالوَ kāl-ō or كَالُونو kāl-ūn-ō.
§. 68.
2) Nouns ending in ai (§. 43. 60, b; 62, b).

Sing.
Nom. كَوْانَهَى gavānḍai, neighbour.

Voc. تَوَأنحِيْ x gavánḍi-áh.

> Plur.

Nom. تَوَان

§. 69.
3) Nouns ending in $\bar{a}(\S .44 ; 60, c ; 63, ~ c)$.
a)

Sing.
Nom. كَ كَرَا gadā, beggar.
Format.


> Plur.

Nom. كَحَآيَان gadat-y-ān.
Format.

## $-96-$

b)

Sing.
Nom. مَامَا māmā, (paternal) uncle.
Format. مَ
Vocat.
Plur.
Nom. مَامَاكَكَان mámā-gān.

§. 70.
4) Nouns ending in $\bar{o}$ (§. 45 ; 60, c ; 63, d).
a)

Sing.
Nom. $\hat{\text { mex }}$ سَّاء saqqáō, water-carrier.


Plur.
Nom. $\quad$ سَعَّاءرُان

b)
Sing.

Nom. بِيزو bīzō, monkey.

Vocat. $\hat{\text { íce ai bīzō. }}$

Plur.
Nom.
بيزرُّكَا bízō-gān, monkeys.

c) , Sing.

Nom. $\quad$ سكو skō, stitch.
Format. $\hat{\text { n }}$ mkō.
Plur.
Nom. سكخرُونَّه skō-ūnah, stitches.

§. 71.
5) Nouns endinginab (§. 46; 60, c; 63, e).
a)

Sing.
Nom. وينبتّه vẹ̄̂tah, hair.
Format. وגمنتْنَ veṣitah.

Plur.


b)

Sing.
Nom. ليوَه lēvah, a wolf.
Format. ليوَهو lēah.
Vocat. أَ لبِوَه ai lēvăh.
Plur.



c)

Sing.
Nom. $\quad$ z_ ; zrạh , heart.
Format. ${ }_{8}^{\prime}$; zrạah.
Vocat. آَ ai zrab.

## Plur.



d)

Sing.
Nom. $\quad$ غوْبُ $\gamma$ ōbăh, cowherd.
Format. $\gamma$ غ $\gamma$ غَbah.
Vocat. آَى غوبَهُ ai rōbah.
Plur.


§. 72.
6) Nounsending ine (§. 47; 60, c; 63, f).
a)
Sing.

Nom. آشنَاب ãšáe, friend.



Plur.


b)

Sing.
Nom. دروى doe, custom.
Format. دوى doe.
Plur.
Nom. $\quad$ dōy-ûnah, customs.

§. 73.
7) Nouns ending in $\overline{1}$ (§. 48; 60, $\mathbf{c}$; 63, f).

Sing.
Nom. سِبِّاهِى sipàhī, soldier.
Format. سیَياهیى sipāhī.

Plur.


§. 74.
8) Nouns ending in $\bar{u}$.
a)

Sing.
Nom. كَندُ, kándū, a corn-bin.
Format. كَنُخ kandū.

Plur.
Nom. كَنْخُوْان , kándu-ān, corn-bins.
Format. كَخُدَاْورُ kandu-án-ō.
b)

Sing.
Nom. بَاهُو bathū, bracelet, ornament for the arm. Format. بَاهُو bāhū.

Plur.
Nom. بَاْوُوَانَا báhū-gān, bracelets.
Format. بَاهُوَكاذوَ bāhu-gán-ō.

## §. 75.

9) Nouns ending in au. (§. $50 ; 60, c ; 63, f)$.
a)
Sing.

Nom. $\quad$ pairan, a follower.
Format.

Plur.
Nom. pairau-ān, followers.

b)

> Sing.

Nom.

Plur.
Nom. جَورنَ čau-ūnah.


## II. Feminine nouns.

§. 76.

1) Nouns ending in a consonant. (§. 51).
a) Nouns adding $e$ in the Formative Sing. and Nom.

Plur. (§ 51, a; 61, a; 64, a).
Sing.

Format.
Vocal. آَ جَ جَنِّ ai jane.
Plur.


b) Nouns with irregular Plural formation. (§. 51, b;

$$
61, a ; 64, a) .
$$

Sing.
Nom. $\quad \hat{ر} \chi^{\overline{0} r, ~ s i s t e r . ~}$
Format. $\quad \chi \overline{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{r}$.

Plur.
Nom. $\quad \chi^{\text {vênd-e, sisters. }}$

2) Nouns ending in $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$. (§. $52 ; 61, \mathrm{~b}$; 64 b ).
a)
Sing.

Nom. نِبَا niā, grandmother.

Format.
Vocat. آَى نِبَا ai niā.

## Plur.

Nom. نِبْاكَانِ niā-gáne.
Format. لِبَاكَانوَ niā-gãn-ō.
b)

Sing.
Nom. بَبَلا balá, misfortune.
Format. بَلَّ balā.
Plur.

Format. بَلَاءر balâ-ō, بَلَارو balá-vō.
§. 78.
3) Nouns ending in ăh. (§. 53 ; 61, c; 64, c).

Sing.
Nom. بَهَه báḍ-ắh, bribe.
Format. بَهِى bádèe
Vocat. آَى بَهِى ai báḍ-ē.
Plur.
Nom. بَهِى báḍ-é, bribes.

§. 79.
4) Nouns ending in $\overline{0}$. (§. $54 ; 60$, c; 64, d).

Sing.


Format. يِيششو pǐsō.
Vocat. آَى جِيششو ai pīsō.
Plur.


§. 80.
5) Nouns ending in ī. (§. 55 ; 61, e; 64, e).
a)

Sing.
Nom. سْهيلى sahêlī, a handmaid.



## Plur.

Nom. sahêlaī handmaids.

b)

Sing.
Nom. Uأیى dáí, nurse.
Format. لَائى dáí.
Vocat. آَى دَائىى ai dái.
Plur.
Nom. قَائبِبَانِن daī-gáne, nurses.

c)

Sing.
Nom. هَادِى hádĩ, a female guide.

Format. هَادِى hādī.
Vocat. آَتْ هَادِى ai hâdī.
Plur.
Nom. هَادِيَّانِ hādi-ăne, guides.
Format. هَادِنَانوَ hādi-án-ō.
d)

Sing.
Nom. سِبِنىی síznī, a swaddling band.
Format. سِيزِنى sīznī.
Plur.
Nom. سِبرنَّقُى sfznaī, swaddling bands.

§. 81.
6) Nouns ending in aî. (§. 56 ; $61, f ; 64$ f).

Sing.
Nom. بيرْتُى béraì, boat.
Format. بِيُئُـى bēraī.


Plur.
Nom. بِيْرُمِى béraī, boats.

§. 82.
7) Nouns ending in e.
a)

Sing.
Nom. نَارى nâve, bride (نَارِ)
Format. نَارى nāve.

Plur.


b)

Sing.
Nom. تروْرى tróre, aunt.
Format. توْرُى trōre.

Plur.


c) Sing.

Format. سَركوزیى sarkû́žī.
Vocat. سُ سُ, markúzī.

> Plur.


§. 83.
8) Nouns ending in $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$. (§. 58 ; 61, h ; 64 , h ).

Sing.
Nom. ميلُو mílū, a female bear.
Format. مبيلو mīlū.
Vocat. مِيلُوَا mī mīlu-ā̀.
Plur.
Nom. مِيلْوَكانِ. mīlu-gañe.
Format. مِيْكُوكَانوَ mīlū-gā́n-ō.
§. 84.
The Adjective and its flexion.
In the flexion of the substantive that of the adjective is in the main already comprised; we have here to consider only those rules, which apply peculiarly to the adjective.

As regards the position of the adjective, it is placed on the whole before its substantive, but it may also follow it, especially in poëtry. One adjective may also precede its substantive and another follow it; even two adjectives may follow (without a conjunction) a substantive, especially if the first be a pronominal adjective, as:


I do not see with the (my) eyes the whole clear truth. (Gulsh. II, 52, 3).

We have to notice here especially the formation of the gender, further the peculiarities, to which some adjectives are subject in reference to the formation of the Plural and the Formative Sing. and Plur.
§. 85.

1) Adjectives ending in a consonant.

These form, like the substantives ( $\$ .39,1$ ), the feminine by


When two adjectives are joined together by the copula $\bar{\delta}$ (cf. §. 28, 1), they are considered and treated as one word and therefore only to the latter the fem. termination is added, as: خُوشَعْـال , $\chi$ ušlīāl- $\overline{0}-\chi$ ursand-ăh, fem., happy and content. The same is the case, when an adjective is repeated with the preposition
 tār-ăh; but compounds, formed by means of $x_{\eta}{ }_{\eta}$ are commonly used in an adverbial sense and therefore not inflected at all.

When an adjective is intensified by another, both must be inflected, if not joined together by the copula $\bar{o}$, as tōr (or نَور خَبَ) quite black, fem.


## Paradigm.

Sing.

Masc.
Nom. تَ gaḍ, mixed.
Format. كَ gad.
Vocat. كَحَّ gáḍáh.

Fem.
كَّهَ gád-ắh.
كَى gàd-é.
گَ gád-ē.

## Plur.

Nom.
Format. كَ وَلهو gádo.
When the adjective is used in the sense of a substantive, it adds the Plur. termination ān, as
 and then the pure adjective is used with the Plur. termination of a substantive (i. e. ān, ānō). So says, for instance, Rahímān:

On account of tyrannical governors house and fire and Peshāwar, all three are one (thing). (Gulsh. II, 28, 1).
Some adjectives as: mayan, in love, and all formed by
 , when used substantively (§. 67, f).

Some adjectives are inflected irregularly; as mar, dead,






In some adverbial phrases the adjective remains unin-

 to another direction, somewhere else.
§. 86.
Monosyllabic adjectives with secondary $\overline{0}, \bar{u}, \bar{i}^{*}$ )
There is a certain number of monosyllabic adjectives with secondary $\bar{o}, \bar{u}, \bar{i}$, which form the Sing. feminine, the Formative Sing. masc., the Nom. Plur. masc. and the Formative Plur. masc. in an irregular way.

The following adjectives, in which original $\bar{a}$ has been deepened to $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$, restore it again, but shortened, before the fem. termination ăh, which is considered half-accented (cf. §. 39, 1), as


[^23] mative Sing. masc. is pā $\bar{\chi} \chi$ ah, after the analogy of the substantives noticed in §. 60, a; the Nom. Plur. masc. likewise pā̃ah (§. 42, d), but the Format. Plur. masc. (in contradistinction
 which quite coincides with the Format. Plur. fem. In the Vocative Sing. $\bar{a}$ is likewise shortened to $a$, the Vocative affix ah being

 Plur. masc. سرهر sr-ah. - Some adjectives also change $\overline{0}$ to va ; see the list.

Some adjectives, which contain $\overline{1}$, change it in a similar way to $a$, as ترِيدخ trī $\chi$, bitter, fem. تَرخَ tár $\chi$-áh, Plur. fem.




Paradigm. I.
Sing.
Masc.
Nom. $\quad \hat{خ}$ خे


Fem.
荌 fárb-ắh.
خَرْبى tárb-é.
غَرْبى tárb-é.
Plur.
Nom. $\quad$ بَاربَ tárb-ah.
خَخْى tárb-é.

[^24]Format. A tárb-ó شَربو tárb-ō.

Vocat. $\hat{\text { خِ }}$ tárb-ō. شَربو tárb-ō.

## Paradigm. II.

## Sing.

Masc.
Nom. ترِهو trīv, acid; sour.

Vocat. تَرْور tárv-áal.

## Plur.


Format. تَرْورو tárv-ō.
Vocat. تَرْور tárv-ō.

تَرّْى tárv-é.
Fem.
تَرْوْ tárv-áh
تَروى tárv-ê.
تَرّْ tárv-é. تَرْتْ tárv-o.

تَرْور tárv-ō.

The following adjectives are inflected after the two preceding paradigms; the others are regular and not subject to any vowelchange in the formation of the gender or number.

Sing. masc.
Sing. fem.
 prōt-ăh.

x pa $\chi$-ăh.

تَرخَ $\operatorname{tar} \chi$-ăh.
تَرْوَ tarv-ăh.
خَرْبَهِ tarb-ăh.
ترِيخ trī , bitter.
تريو trīv, sour.
خْورب țōrb, fat.

Plur. masc.
چֵرَّاتَه prāt-ah.
pā $\gamma$-ah.


рāst-ah.)
$x \stackrel{\pi}{\dot{\leftrightarrows}} \operatorname{tar} \chi$-ah.



 , درون drūn درُرند drūnd روّست rōst, rotten. rūnr, bright
, rānd, blind.
زور zōr, old.

سور sūr, red.
سور sōr, cold.
ثنين sī̀n, green.
كو kṑ, crooked.
كؤر kōnr, deaf.
'وūnd, damp.
مؤر mōr, satiated. sgōr, small.


درَّهِ dran-ăh.
حرَآنه drān-ah.
رستَّه rast-ăh.
, rímāst-ah.

رَّرْرَ ranr-ăh. $\}$
رَاذَّهَ rānrah.
هَخَ rand-ăh.






سره sar-ăh.
mr-ah.
${ }^{8}$ \%
شُنَ šn-ăh.


هَدْ land-ăh.
8 \% mar-ăh.
gry var-ăh.

سَارَهر sār-ah.
شín šn-ah.

كَانَهُ



§. 87.
2) Adjectives ending in ai.
a) Adjectives ending in ai form generally their feminine by changing ai to aī (§. 39, 2). In their inflexion they are regular.

## Paradigm.

Sing.
Masc.
Fem.
Nom. ${ }^{\text {رُנنبَى }}$ vrúmbai, the first.

Format. وُنبِى vrúmbī.

Vocat. وُنبْى vrúmbī.
وُرْنبَتى vrrúmbaī.
Plur.
Nom. ورُنبیى vrúmbī.
وُرْبَتُى vrúmbaī.
Format. ورْذبيبو vrúmbi-0


, vrúmb-ō
ورْنبو vrúmb-ō.

When the adjective is used substantively, the Vocative Sing. may affix the syllable ah, as ${ }^{\prime}$, ${ }^{\prime}$, rrúmbi-áh, o first one!
b) The following adjectives form their feminine by changing ai to $e$ and not to aī.


أَوْىَ alavai, burnt;
" آلَوِ alave.
'روتَى ūnai, babbling.
" ونبِّ ūne.
", èvādai, alone.
بروىی barvai, adhesive.

بُورَى būrai, clipped.
بيبرّنتى bēpartai , gluttonous.
קָּ palai, on foot.

خِّ
" بُرِّ barve.
" balmage.
" بُو būre.
" بييّرْتِ bēparte.
"

". pūtai, little, small.
Eス tažai, thirsty.
تُورى tōrai, simple; plain.
©


©.', h'arāmūnai, bastard.



ज́s; zärai, young (of cattle).
(5) sārai, equal.
starai, fatigued.


©́, kasūrai, distressed.

fem.
" چ̛̣وتِ pūte.
$"$ تَتِ F taže.
"

" جُونَكِ čānke.
" خَيْوُ tapōle.
$"$ حَرَّمونِّ híarāmūne.
" خَرْرِ خarōre.


" sí $^{\text {j zāre. }}$
" سَارِ sāre.
"

" شَاورل
" كُسْور kasūre.
" كَشِ kaše, only daughter.

كُوبنَلَّیْ kūṣalai, pretty.
fem. كُونبْل kūṣ̌ale.
oj mazai, strong.
" maze.


نَوَ naval, new.
" نَ

" in važe.
? "
yavastave.
c) All possessive compound adjectives (§. 38, 4. c) and all adjectives with the diminutive affixes $k a i(\S .35,2)$, karaj, garai (§. 37, 8.), ōtai and ūṭai (§. 37, 10).


d) All participles present and perfect and all compound nouns, the last member of which is a participle.


For the adjectives noticed under b) c) d) the following may serve as paradigm.
*) Of course only occurring in the Plural.

Sing.
Masc.
Fem.
Nom. كنْبِلَى kṣ̆ulai, pretty.
Format. $\qquad$
كنْـِ kṣule.
كنُلِى kṣŭlī.

Vocal.
كنُبلى kṣ̆ulī.

Plur.
Nom. كنُبلى
كنُلِّى kṣ̆ult.
Format.


Vocal.

,
§. 88.
3) Adjectives ending in $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$.

Adjectives ending in $\bar{a}$ remain unaltered in both genders, as well in the Singular as in the Plural. In the Format. Pl. they add the affix $\bar{o}$, which however is occasionally dropped in poetry.

## Paradigm.

Sing.
Masc.
Fem.
Nom. $\quad$ Uānā, wise.
.
Format.
.
Vocal.
دَزْنَا dānā.

Nom.



نَا



When an adjective is used substantively, it takes, according


§. 89.
4) Adjectives ending in $\overline{0}$.

The few adjectives ending in $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$ remain unaltered in both genders; in the Formative Plur. they add the affix $\overline{0}$ (with euphonic $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}-\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ ), which however may be left out altogether.

## Paradigm. <br> Sing.

## Masc.

Fem.
Nom. $\hat{\text { ुَ }}$ pātō, left; remaining. چِّانو pātō.

Vocat.
Plur.





páto-v-ō (جَّ جَّ
§. 90.
5) Adjectives ending in a h.

The adjectives ending in ah form their feminine by changing ah to ăh (cf. §. 39, 4). Their flexion is quite regular.

## - 117 -

## Paradigm.

Sing.

Masc.
Nom. ri,j údah, aslecp.
Format. s $\bar{j} j$ īdah.
Vocat. $\quad$ vili ūdah.

Fem.
 'i ù ué. أُودى údê.

Plur.
Nom. $\quad$ gú údah.

Vocat. رُو í ừō.

 $\hat{g} \hat{g}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ûdō.

Adjectives, which are borrowed from the Persian, either directly or with a little modification, generally undergo no change, neither for geuder nor number; they only add the affix $\bar{o}$ in the Format. Pl., as: شَ شَا
 s, آشنكار .

This is also the case with the pronominal adjectives: ج

 hamah, all, (Form. Pl. $\hat{\text { هو }}$ hamo ); but they may also dispense with the Formative Pl. affix $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$,


[^25]§. 91.

## 6) Adjectives ending in e.

These form their feminine by adding the termination ăh, before which final $e$ is changed, for euphony's sake, to $y$. Some few adjectives undergo no change neither for gender nor number, as: خَاتِّ paste, left.

## Paradigm.

Sing.

Masc.

Format.
Vocal. lōe.

Fem.

لُوْحِ lơy-ê.
Sháy-é.
Plur.
Nom.


int lồy-ê.

今,

When the adjective is used substantively, it may follow the flexion of the substantives ending in e (see §. 72).
§. 92.
7) Adjectives ending in $\overline{1}$ and $\bar{u}$.

Adjectives ending in $\overline{1}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ undergo no change for gender or number; in the Format. Pl. they may optionally add $\overline{0}$.

> Paradigm.

Sing.

Masc.
Nom.


Fem.


Vocal. in خْبَارى خuārī.
Plur.
Nom. $\quad \chi^{\text {namārī. }}$


"

8) Adjectives ending in a $u$.

These are very few in number and nearly all taken from the Persian; they form the feminine by adding the termination ah. In the Formative Plur. they add the affix $\delta$, which however may also be dropped. On the declension of gan, one, see §. 94.

## Paradigm.

Sing.
Masc.
Fem.


تبيرُروْى tézraué (tēzrav-ē).
Vocal. تيْزَوْ tēzrau-áh.
كيرْرْتى tếzraué.

## Plur.

Nom. تيررور tēzraa.
تببرْرُى
Format. كبيررورو tézrau-ō.
تبيز, têzrau-ō (tērrav-ō).
§. 93.

## Comparison of Adjectives.

The Paṣ̆to does no longer possess a comparative and superlative degree, as the modern Persian, but is compelled to express the idea of a Comparative and Superlative iu the same way, as the modern Indian Präkrit tongues do, which have likewise lost the power to form a comparative and superlative degree by means of affixes added to the base of the adjectives*).

The Comparative is formed by putting the compared ob'ject in the Ablative by means of the case-particles. $\alpha$ j , $x \bar{j}-\alpha$ or (تَ- $\overline{\text { G }}$ ), the adjective itself remaining in the Positive. The same is the case, if a Persian comparative form be used, which is now and then met with ${ }^{* *}$ ).

His intellect and understanding was greater than can be told, his sagacity and wisdom beyond measure. (Gulsh. I, p. 159) Gulistān.

The idea of the Comparative may be intensified by putting before the adjective هِير dēr, much (which however must agree with the subject in number and gender), $\bar{y}$ lā, still, even. The object, with which the sabject is compared, may not be mentioned and must then be gathered from the context.


Much better than the intimacy of an ignorant man it is, if a basilisk be one's bosom-friend. (Gulsh. II. p. 7. 1.) Rah mān.
*) The method now followed in Paṣsto and the Indian vernaculars has apparently been brought about by the influence of the Sauskrit. For the compared object is also put in the Ablative already in Sanskrit and this may have been considered sufficient for a comparison.
**) The Persian Comparative is made up by adding to the Positives the affix تَتَ تَرِن tarīn.

But those, who are rich, are still more needy than the poor. (Gulsh. II, p. 44, 3) Xušh āl.

The Superlative is formed in the same way as the Comparative, ouly for similar expressions as "َ; tar hadda, beyond measure etc. are put before the object, with which the subject is compared.

The idea of the Superlative is often only hinted at by putting Sve dēr, much, either alone or jointly with an adjective. Often there is no outward indication of the idea of the Superlative at all and it must be gathered solely from the context.

In appearance man is much better than all creatures (man is the very best of all creatures). Gulistān.

It is the grief about the separation from the friend, which is the most difficult thing. (Gulsh. II, p. 40, 3.) Xušh'ā.

Who is the greatest in the kingdom of heaven? Matth. 18, 1.


If a high dignity be of use to any one: the highest station in this world is justice. (Gulsh. II, p. 8). Rah mān.

The manner in which two sentences are compared together, see in the Syntax, §. 187.

## IV. Section.

## The Numerals.

§. 94.

1) Cardinal numbers.
"a yau, one, fem. 8~̈ yau-ăh.
Uوَ dvah, two, fem.

, talōr, four.
$x \stackrel{f}{\sim}$ pindah, five.
شick špaぞ, sixe.
اوروه ovah, seven.
آتَّ atah, eight.

jَ las, ten.


دَنَانَّس diārlas, thirteen.
خغَّآلّس țārlas, fourteen.
pindahlas, fifteen.

 atahlas, eighteen.
nuhlas, نُ لُسْس nūnas*), nineteen.
شֶ šil, twenty.
يَو وِششت yau, vīš, twenty one.
dvah višt, twenty two.
drē višt, twenty three.


Mindah vīst, twenty five.

اوروَه وِيشت
آَّه ويششت atah višt, twenty eight.

ليرش dērš, thirty.
بوّ حيرش yau dērš, thirty one.

درى ديرش dre dērš, thirty three.
*) نُولَّس is a euphonic change for

خَكْور ديرش etc. etc. etc.
. talvēṣ̆t, forty.
بَ yau talvēṣt, forty one.
dō talvēṣt, forty two.
د dre talvẹṣt, forty three.
etc. etc. etc.
~

أَوْبَا aviā seventy (indelin.).
آتبَا atiā, cighty (indeclin.).
نَوِى navē,
$\ell \sim$ sil (also written $\lambda$ sil or $\lambda^{\prime}$ sal), hundred. وس
mil dvah, hundred and two.
 etc. etc. etc.

In the numbers the Paş̣to very closely approaches the Zendic forms. yau, one, Zcnd aēva, whereas the Persian and the Indian Präkrit idioms recur to the Sansk. एक.

The declension of ${ }^{\circ}$ gau is somewhat irregular.
Sing.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Masc. } & \text { Fem. } \\
\text { Nom. } \quad \text { بي yau, one. } & \text { يو yaú-ắh. }
\end{array}
$$

```
Format. بيوَه yau-ah. yau-ē.
Vocat. yau-ah. yaur yaur.
```

The masc. form $\operatorname{sg}$ dvalı, two, may also be used for the feminine. In the Format. Pl. it is $\hat{g}$ د dvō, but J may also be used.
$\Leftrightarrow$ © dre, three (Sansk. नि, Zend thri) has in the Form. Pl. dre- $\overline{0}$, though,$\nu$ is also in use.
, $\hat{\mu}$ talōr, four (Sansk. चत्वा\}, Zend čathvār), has in the

 Zend khšvas), "
今َ at-ō.
xj nŏh, nine (Sansk. नवन्, Zend navan) remains unchanged in the Format. Plur.
umj las, ten (Sansk. दशन्, Zend daśan, (in Paṣ̌tō d=1), Format. Pl. $\hat{\text { g لَسْ }}$ las $\overline{0}$.
 initial syllable vi is thrown off and शंति changed to šil, by transition of final $t$ to $l$ ) is only used when standing alone*); in the compound numbers 21, 22 etc. the full form $\underset{\sim}{4}$ gisist (Persian
 arly formed by adding the affix $\overline{0}$.

دجرش , dērš, thirty, is contracted from ديُزنشَت , dēršt (Sansk.
*) ) is also used as a substantive in the sense of .,a score",


निंशत्, Zend thriśata); خْربِينْبت talvẹ̌st, forty, is likewise a contraction, Sansk. चतारिंश्र, Zend čathvaresata; instead of
 tion دوَ نِلَّ dvah šilah, two scores (two times twenty), similarly

 $t$ being dropped in Paṣ̣̆to.
 Zendic form khšvasti, Sansk. षषि, with transition of v to p. -
 Sansk. सम्नति, Zend haptāiti, which is assimilated in Paṣṣto first to app $\bar{a}$ and thence to avv $\bar{a}$, and with euphonic i inserted, avviā, the final syllable iti being dropped altogether. آَبَبَا atiā, eighty, Sansk. चश्रीति, Zend astāiti, which is assimilated in Paṣito to attā, and with enphonic $i$ inserted atiā (instead of attiā). نَبْى, ninety, Sansk. नवति, Zend navaiti (Sindhī and Hindī also नवे).
U. sil, hundred (also written and pronounced $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{m}}$ sal) is the Sansk. श्रत, Zend sata (Persian $\mathrm{v}_{\text {) }}$ ), with transition of $t$ to $l$. سِ is only used of one hundred (up to 199)*). When the noun, with which $\mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{w}}$ is connected, stands in the Formative, it takes
 When two or more hundreds are to be expressed, the numeral


[^26] the constracted form wh sū sū in is also used, before which some units take a different form.

درى سَعَهْ
talorr savah or : ڭَلوَر سَوَه



atah savah or: آَتَ سْوَه atah sū, eight hnndred.

When hundreds are to be expressed generally, (Format. سملُُونون silgūnō) is employed.

زَ j zar, thousand ( is also in use).
, zaar (Sansk. सहस, Zend hazãra) is, like man a a regular
 numerals: ${ }_{8}^{\text {f }}$; zar-ah, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { درى }{ }^{\text {s/ }} \text { dre zar-ah, three thousands. } \\
& \text { etc. etc. etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^27]When thousands are to be expressed generally,


For a hundred thousand the Indian word 心j lak (IIindī लाख्, Sansk. लक्ष) is used, the regular Plural of which is $x{ }_{x}{ }^{j} x^{\prime} \bar{J}^{\prime}$ lakūnah, but in connexion with numerals xَरَلَ lakah (laka), as:

درَه لَرَx drah lakah, two Lakhs = two hundred thousands.
 * شُل

Also the Hindī $\hat{2} \hat{,}, \overline{5}$ karōr (Sansk. कोटि), one hundred Lakhs $=10$ millions, is found in Paṣstō.
§. 95.
2) Ordinal numbers.

The ordinals are formed in Paṣto, as in Persian, by adding the affix am, before which final ah is dropped; only the first cardinal number makes an exception.


حرِّدم
خَرِّور: talōram, the fourth.
Fing pinḍam, the fifth.

[^28]Pas in spažam, the sixth.
اوْوم ōvam, the seventh.
آَتم atam, the eighth.
نُهر nuham, the ninth.
لَسَم lasam; the tenth.
yaulasam, the eleventh. etc. etc. etc.

شَّم silam, the twentieth.
zanvisitam, the twenty-first.
etc. etc.
لهرشَمر , dēršam, the thirtieth.
غipētam, the sixtieth.
aviāyam, the seventieth.
آتبَاءَمَ atiāyam, the eightieth.
نَوِيَم $n$ naveyam, the ninetieth.
سَّم
sil wrumbai, the handred and first.
sil dvayam, the hundred and second. etc. etc.

دوَه سوم dvah savam, the two hundredth.
dvah sava dreyam, the two hundred and third. etc. etc.

In compound numbers only the last numeral is formed into an ordinal.

دوَ زَرْ
lakam, the handred thousandth.
For expressing the years of the era the cardinals are employed and not the ordinals; see Syntax, §. 188, 3.

## §. 96.

3) Distributive numbers.

Distribution is formed in Paṣ̆to by repeating the cardinal
 pindah, five by five.

But if the distribution is only to be expressed generally, the




If the distribution is to be fixed exactly (so many and not more), the cardinal number is repeated with the prefix xy, as:

§. 97.

## 4) Multiplicativenumbers.

Multiplication is expressed in various ways. A cardinal number is added to the substantive بَر bray, layer, fold, which however remains in the Singular, as $\mathrm{\nu}$ dre bra $\gamma$, threefold, treple; this expression is made use of when referring to strata, layers, folds etc.

When crops, products etc. are spoken of, the prefix $\times \underset{\sim}{-}$ is placed before the cardinal number (remaining in this case in the Nominative), by which the multiplication is to take place, the first
 a handredfold. Similar expressions are: : شَ manifold.


They (the seeds) brought forth fruit, some hundredfold, some sixtyfold, some thirtyfold. (Matth. 13, 8).

A hundredfold more than first her breast was burnt by the fire of love. Dorn, Pushtō Chrest. p. 190.,

The Afghāns also use such like Persian expressions, as:
位 a thousandfold. iso is used with an indefinite pronoun, as ${ }_{\text {خُ }}^{\text {خَنْل }}$ manifold.

In counting the multiplication is not expressed by a particular word, but the cardinal number, which is to be multiplied by the following, is simply put before it, as: : درى درَ three (times) two.

Multiplication of time is expressed by putting the cardinal

 times? It is to be noticed, that and $8, \%, \%$, also when governed
*) هَو
**) Or an indefinite or interrogative pronoun.
***) But يَلَلَ is also used for the Singular, as يَ time.
by prefixes and postfixes, remain in the Nominative, as: ز اوُوْه كَلَه هُور up to seven times.

$$
\text { §. } 98 .
$$

5) Fractional numbers.

A half ( $1 / 2$ ) ) nīm (adj.).


 upon a quarter one (in addition).

One and a half ( 1 1/2) ) yau nīm.
One and three quarters $(13 / 4)$ ) a quarter less than two.

Two and a quarter ( $21 / 4$ ) ( upon a quarter two (in addition).
 literally: a quarter less than four.

Without special reference to measure and weight, fraction or portion is expressed by $\underset{\sim}{x}$, as : دِيَّهَ حصَّ لَسْهَx حصًّ
*) $\hat{\text { * }}$ is only used with reference to measure aud weight, also of a $1 / 4$ Rupee.

## - 133 -

## V. Section.

## Pronouns.

§. 99.

## I. Personal Pronouns.

The personal pronouns of the Paṣ̣̆to are either absolute personal pronouns or pronominal suffixes. The latter class of pronouns the Paṣ̆to uses very extensively and coincides in this respect quite with the Persian and even more so with the Sindhī, the only Ārian languages, which, besides the Paṣ̆tō, know the use of pronominal suffixes.

## 1) Absolute personal pronouns.

Absolute personal pronouns the Paṣto only possesses for the first and second person; for the third person a proximate or remote Demonstrative is employed as required iby circumstances *).
a) First personal pronoun.

## Sing.

Nom. $\quad \quad_{j}^{j}$ zah, I.
Accus. $\mathrm{L}^{-\bar{o}} \mathrm{ma}$, me.
Format.
Li me.
 my ; mine.
*) The only remnant of a personal pronoun of the third person is ,g var, to him, to them; see below, §. 101.
**) When is connected with a noun, which is constructed with a postfix or prefix (or both together), these must follow $\log ^{-1}$, as:

 تَ $i$; ra rah, x $x^{j}$ yo la lan, to me.
Ablat.


Plur.
 مُونگَ mūngah (eastern), we.
 mūngah, us.
 Instrum. mūugah, by us *).



Dat. مُونك تَّ


 is constructed.
*) In Raverty's Gulshan-i Rōh I have also met the Formative
 both; I have hitherto not found any other instance of it. Raverty himself mentions nothing of it in his Grammar nor Dr. Bellew.
**) Instead of $\begin{gathered}\text { تَ the other prefixes and postfixes may be equally }\end{gathered}$ used as in the Sing.
mūng tah ,, ana lah, to us.

 from us.

\% zah approaches the Zendic azem (Persian ${ }^{\circ}$ and dialectically az, as also in the Ossetic); مَ corresponds to the Sansk. Acc. मा.
 explain, as it leaves the traces of the Zend (vaēm) again; it may be compared with the Lithuanian mes, Genitive and Ablative musū, which corresponds to the Sansk. असे, the letters sm being transposed to mas, which is already partly the case in Prākrit尹्ठम्हे (compare also the Greck $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \varsigma$, Aeolian $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \mu \varepsilon \varsigma$ ).

Curious is the form $\dot{j}^{\circ}$ rā, used in the Dative Sing. (far more rarely in the Dative Plur.); similar is the Dative form of the second person $\mathbf{y}$ dar and of the third person $\boldsymbol{j}$ (both used in the Sing. and Plur.). There can be hardly a doubt, that J dar and
 Persian Dative postix $;$, $=$ Paṣṣo $\begin{aligned} & \text { J́ }) \text {, having been retained in }\end{aligned}$ these cases for euphony's sake, instead of $\bar{j}$ da-lah *), x ${ }^{j}$, va-lah and final ah dropped at the same time. This etymology of $\bar{\nu}$ and $;$ will throw the necessary light on $\dot{j}^{\prime}$ also; $\dot{j}$, rā would thus be identical with the Persian ma-rā, to me, initial m having

[^29]been dropped. For this supposition pleads the circumstance, that $\overline{5}^{\circ}$ (as well as ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) is originally used without any postfix and
 the addition of postfixes to $\bar{j}^{\prime}$ ( $)^{\circ}$ ) having come into use in later times, when the language was no longer conscious of the original Dative-signification of $\bar{j}$, $\bar{j}$ and $)$ and commenced to look on these forms as Formatives, which might be followed by postfixes; for with prefixes they are never constructed. It is

 euphonic change takes place with $\bar{y}$ and,$\dot{j}$, when followed by $x{ }^{j}$ and s $^{\prime \prime}$, as will presently be seen.
§. 100.
b) The second personal pronoun.

Sing.
Nom. $\quad \underset{x}{ }$ tan, thou.
Accuse. تَ tan, thee.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Format. } \\ \text { Instrum. }\end{array}\right\}$ 广َ tā, by thee.
Genit. $\quad$ نَ jo da tā; stat (also شتَا štā); of thee, thy; thine.

 to thee.

Ablat. لَّ
Local. Lَ $x \underset{\sim}{x}$ pah tax, in thee.


## Plural.


$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Format. } \\ \text { Instrum. }\end{array}\right\}$ خَاسی tāse; تَاسُ tāsū, by you.

 your; yours.

Dat.



Local. تَاسَى pah tāse etc. in you.
Vocal. تَاسِى آَى ai tāse, vol '
The Paṣ̌tō تَ approaches more closely the Prākrit form $\pi$ than the Zendic tūm (Sank. त्वम्). The Format. Sing. تَ points to the Sansk. Accus. ता, Rend thvā (Greek $\tau \hat{\varepsilon}$, Latin te). In the Genit. Sing. (نَتَا) ستَا s (s) is only a euphonic addition, like خ in

The Plur. forms تَاسَ , تَاسیى have no analogies in the cognate idioms. They are apparently derived from the base تَى (تَا) with
the affixed (original) pronoun स, which is similarly employed in Präkrit, as Prāk. तुम्हे you = तुस्मे. In this case sm has been assimilated in Paṣṣo to s (ss), and not transposed as in
§. 101.
c) The remnant of the third personal pronoun.

We have noticed already, that of the third personal pronoun only the form, gar has been preserved, which is equally used for the Sing. and the Plural, see §. 99. When preposed to a verb, 9 (without a postix) always expresses the Dative, to him to her, to
 sitions it takes a postfix, being considered as a Formative Sing. or Plural, as: وَرَّه var tah, to him, to them; وَ

 va larah.

$$
\text { §. } 102
$$

2) Pronominal suffixes.

The pronominal suffixes may represent every case, the No minative and Vocative excepted; they may be optionally linked to any noun in the sentence (though strictly referring to another), but those, which represent a possessive pronoun, generally precede or follow the noun, to which they beloug.

It is to be noticed as a peculiarity of the Paṣ̃to system of writing, that these pronominal suffixes are not added to nouns and verbs, as is the case in Persian and Sindhï, but written separately, a few prefixes and postixes excepted, with which coalesces.

Sing.
I. Pers. pe or me, me, by me, my etc.
II. Pers. $\Delta$ de or J de, thee, by thee, thy etc.
III. Pers. تُ é e, him, her, by him, his, her etc.

Plur.


III. Pers. $\overline{\mathrm{E}}$ : them, by them, their etc.

The first pronominal suffix $p$ me is identical with the Sindhī मि me, Persian $\ldots$ am, Sansk.- Prākrit Genit. मे.

The second pronominal suffix $\Delta$ de corresponds to the Persian - at, Sindhī e ( $=$ te, t being elided), Sansk.-Prāk. Genit. ते.

The pronominal suffix of the third person Sing. and Plur. $\bar{e}$ is peculiar to the Paṣṣto and not to be found in this form neither in Persian nor in Sindhī. Its etymology seems to be the following.

In Präkrit we have still the cartailed form of the Genit. Sing. से his (Zend hē), (which is to be referred to the base स्व and not to be identified with the Genitive ₹स्य; Latin sui, se) and of the Genit. Plur. सिम् (= Prāk. ताए, Sansk. तेषाम्), their. In Paṣ̌tō both Genitives, से and सिम् have been contracted into one form and the distincton of the Sing. and Plur. lost thereby. The Persian pronominal suffix $\omega$ - aš and the Sindhī सि se point both to the same Prākrit से; in Paṣ̣̆̆̄o (as in Zend) s has been changed to h (hē) and then dropped altogether $=\overline{\mathrm{e}}$. The Persian Plur. suffix إشَانر points again to the Sansk. एषіं and the Sindhī -ne to the Prākrit ऐEि; see my Sindhī Gram. §. 39.

The pronominal suffix of the I. pers. Plur. 'ر mū, x' or vum corresponds to the Persian Lo, which points back to the Prāk. Genit. Plur. ₹्रम्ह (cf. the Greck $\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu \notin \omega \nu)$.

The pronominal suffix of the II. pers. Plur. now coincides outwardly with that of the I. pers. Plural, so that only the context can decide, which of both is intended. It is greatly mutilated, the initial syllable yuṣ̆- having been dropped altogether (Persian Zend yūṣ̆mākem, Sansk. युष्माकम्); the Greek, though also greatly cartailed, is still more original $i \mu \mu \varepsilon \omega \nu$ (cf. Bopp, Compar. Gram. §. 332).

It has been already alluded to, that the pronominal suffix of the III. pers. Sing. and Plur. coalesces with a few prefixes and postfixes. These prefixes are $\bar{y}$,


 end already in $e$, the pronominal suffix ${ }^{3}$ coalesces with them totally, so that its addition can only be gathered from the context.

Another curious coalition takes place between the Ablative
 remnant of an old demonstrative pronoun ( $\bar{\pi}$ ); the only form in use now is تَنَه تَنَا tinah or (also written تَبَّ tinah), from him, her, them.

I am not afraid of the calamities the world, since thou art on my head, o protector from misfortune on every side! (Gulsh. II, 113, 2) Xavājah Muh'ammad.


A certain enemy of thine the great God has taken away from the world. He said (by him it was said): hast thou heard, that he will give up me? (Gulsh. I, p. 186) Gulistān.

This world is a mangy goat; what pleasure is there in keeping her? (Gulsh. II, 36, 3) Xušȟāal.

 شَهx نُور بَه هَاْخَيخُو وَ اَوْسَس تَه
What work on earth had you done, that such degrees were allotted to you? They will say: our works were these, that when the call to prayer passed our ear, then we ased to rise for ablution. Favāid-uš-šarī̄ăh.

كَx غَائبب تِ يَوْ سَاعَت شَم مُسْنوْجِب دَ عَغْبَنت شَم
If I am absent from him one hour, I deserve punishment. (Dorn, Chrest. p. 202).


On them were many rare pearls, which were glittering like moonshine. (Dorn, Chrest. p. 206).
§. 103.

## II. Demonstrative pronouns.

1) ${ }^{\text {o }}$ hayah, that, remote demonstrative pronoun.

Sing.
Nom. $\quad$ hayah, com., that.
Accus. ${ }^{\text {هَ }}$ hayah, that.

Genit.

Dat. $\quad$ هَغَx تَx hayah tah, masc. هوi- hi $\gamma$ ēh tah, fem. $\}$ to that.
Ablat. $\quad$ هَ $\overline{\text { هَ }}$ lah hayah, masc.




## Nom.


Accus. ${ }^{\Phi}$ hayah, com., those.
Format.
Instrum.

Genit.
Dat. تَغغَ نَّ hayō tah, to those.
Ablat. لَّ هُغؤ lah hayō, from those.
Locat.
鄓 is often shortened, especially in poëtry, to $\begin{gathered}\text { غ , Format. Pl. }\end{gathered}$ $\hat{y}$ غ $\gamma \overline{0}$. In the modern language the Singular of this pronoun is often connected with a noun in the Plural, which is not the case
 . noun in the Formative, as : وَغْه وْارُ گَه to all those.
*) This form is only used, when the noun following is put in the Formative.


The etymology of this pronoun is rather doubtful; it seems to be compounded of ha- $\gamma$ a. In this case ha would correspond to the Indian ho ( $=$ Sansk. सो) and $\gamma$ a to the adjective affix क.
§. 104.
2) $\begin{aligned} \ddot{\text { un }} \text {, this, proximate demonstrative pronoun. }\end{aligned}$

Sing.
Nom. $\begin{gathered}\text { Uَ dayah, com. this. }\end{gathered}$
Accus. لَغَx dayah, com. this.




Dat. $\quad$ لَغx تَx dayah tah, masc. دغى تَه

Ablat.
لَّ لَ


Plur.
Nom. $\overline{\text { 亏َ }}$ dayah, com., these.
Accus. $\overline{\text { ַَ }}$ dayah, com., these.

[^30]$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Format. } \\ \text { Instrum. }\end{array}\right\} \dot{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{M}$ dayo${ }^{*}$ *), by these.
Genit. $\hat{y}$ خَ j da da $\overline{0}$, of these.
Dat. دَغوَ دَ دَx dayō tah, to these.
Ablat. تَ
Locat. دَغَ pah dayō, in these.
دَ seems to be compounded of the pronominal base da (= $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ) and the adjective affix क. In signification it does not differ from lus this, both pronouns being frequently interchanged.
§. 105.
3) 今́ this**), proximate demonstrative pronoun.

Sing.
Nom. is dā, this (com.).
Accus. ī dā, this.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Format. } \\ \text { Instrum. }\end{array}\right\} \therefore \bar{\partial}$ dah; — $\overline{\mathrm{s}}$ dā- , by this.





 be joined, as: هَسیى dā hasē, such a one; or هَسیى may in such compounds also be shortened to ,سیى , as: d̄̀ sē.

## Plur.

Nom. iv dā, these (com.)
Accus. iv dā, these.



Ablat. لَّ دَيـوْ lah dēō; -
Locat.
10 da generally points to something immediately preceding, more rarely to something following (Latin hic).

When $1 \overline{5}$ dā is used independently (without a following noun) it is written ${ }_{8}$ dah in the Formative, but when followed by a noun it remains generally quite unaltered in the Singular and Plural, though in the Plural it may also take the inflected form (ديور, , ديور) when connected with a noun in the Formative.

The trees of the garden his slaves will pull out.

The name of their liberality and justice remains till now, (though) $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \bar{a}+\underline{i} \mathrm{~m}$ Tāī and Nūsī̀rvān have passed away from this world. (Galsh. II, p. 117, 3) Xavājah Muhíammad.

As so many fair faces are in this dust, in the grave, when 1 go to them, the grave is a laradise to me. (Gulsh. II, p. 68, 4). Xušlía.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 146 \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sit thou a moment together with poor Xušh'āl in quietness, that his heart may be a little liberated from these many anxieties. (Gulch. II, p. 69, 2) Xušh‘̄āl.
§. 106.
4) de, this, proximate demonstrative pronoun.

Sing.
Nom. Ur de (د), this; he, she.
Ecus. de , this.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Format. } \\ \text { Instram. }\end{array}\right\}$, de, by this.
Genit. ज J da dep, of this.
Dat. لیى تَه dee aah, to this.
Ablat.
Local. val dee, in this.
Plur.
Nom. 'ردِّى dūī; HO de-, these, they.
Accuse. ذُوثِى dā̄̄ ; دیى de-, these, them.


 these.

Ablat.
 from these.

Locat.
 in these.

טی de corresponds in signification to the Latin is, pointing to an object not far distant, without special reference to something preceding or following.

When $\mathbf{~}$ remain unaltered through all cases.
§. 107.
5) ${ }^{\text {ه }}$ hāyah, this one here; proximate demonstrative pronoun.
 at; it is only found in the Nomin. Sing. and Plural ${ }^{*}$ ).
§. 108.

## III. The Relative pronoun.

The Paṣ̆to has only one relative pronoun $x \underset{y}{c}$ čeh, corresponding to the Persian as, which is also now and then used. It is not subject to any inflexion and only points out the relation, which must be taken up and nearer defined by a subsequent pronominal suffix, just like the Hebrew sixu․
 but I have never been able to detect a trace of it.

Etymologically $x \geqslant$ corresponds to the Sansk. relative , Prākrit जो (Sindhī and Hindī likewise जो); in Paṣ̀sto the media j has passed into the tenuis $\mathbf{c}$ (similarly in Persian, $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{c}$ ).


Every word and every talk of this world is nonsensical chat, which the people of the world make (it). (Gush. II, p. 9, 2) Rah'mān.

May somebody show me a single man with the finger, whose action is after the rules of sincerity. (Gush. II, p. 89) H‘amid.


He who has kissed the dust of thy threshold, is honoured in both worlds. (Gulsh. II, p. 118, 2) Xaväjah Muhammad.
§. 109.
IV. The reflexive خبَل $\chi \mathrm{pal}^{*}$ ), own,

The reflexive adjective خبَل always refers to the subject of the sentence and may therefore be translated by: my, thy or his, her, their, as the case may be; see $\S .189,5$. 2 . Its flexion is quite regular.

Sing.

Masc.
Nom. خبَ خ pal, own.
Format.

Plur.
Nom. خ~~ خ pal.
Format. $\hat{\text { خ }}$ خ par alto.

Fem.


 verbially, sua sponte, by one self, of one's own accord.

The Paṣ̆to is not possessed of a proper reflexive pronoun,

 head), which is also used adverbially, in the sense of خَx خَبْهَ. When the accent is laid upon the reflexive, خبَ is is added to


$$
\text { §. } 110 .
$$

## V. Interrogative pronouns.

1) $\ddagger$ خ $\ddagger \mathrm{fk}$, who?

Sing. and Plur. (com.).
Nom. $\quad$ خْtōk, who?
Format.
This interrogative is only used substantively and not adjectively and has reference to persons or living beings only. It has sprung from the Sansk. base क (को), Hindī कोन् (=Sansk. Acc. कम्). Final $k$ of the Nominative, which in the Formative is again dropped, is rather curious. (The Indefinite sce $\S .113,1$ ).
2) $x \dot{x}$ ţah, what? which?
$x \dot{\delta}$ only refers to inanimate objects; it may be used independently or in connexion with a substantive; Hindī किन्ञा, Sindhī छा, Sansk. विम्).

Sing. and Plur.
Nom. $\dot{x}$ tah, what? which?

Format. $\underset{\text { s }}{ }$ tab.

What excuse shall I make to him and what shall I say to my hushand? (Gulsh. I, p 119) Kalīlah ō Damanah.

 manner, how? contracted also to (without a postfix) signifies now and then why?
$x$ assumes also the signification what $a$, in the sense of an exclamation; in this case it may be connected with a substantive or adjective.

Reflect in thy heart, what a king Suleimān was. (Gulsh. II, p. 53, 3) Xušh āl.

If thy heart were a little compassionate, how beautiful it would be! (Gulsh. II, p. 54, 3) Xušh'āl.

 rally connected with a substantive. Their flexion is quite regular.
 direction) from whence? تَر كُوَّx, whereto? whither? how far?
has also now and then the sense of $x \dot{\xi}$, how?, as:

What excellence is in this thy question, how does it behove thee to speak such things? (Gulsh. I, p. 92) Kalīlah ō Damanah.
 These interrogatives, which are compomeded of and and $\begin{aligned} & \text {, are } \\ & \text { as well used absolutely as in connexion with a sub- }\end{aligned}$
stantive．They refer to persons and things，but are only used in the Singular．Both parts are inflected as follows（zn agreeing with 9 ga

Sing．

Masc．

Format．كُومَx يَوْ kūmah yauăh资 amah yauah $\}$

Fem．



Thou，who art so rosy－cheeked，of what rose－garden art thou a rose？（Darn，Chrest．p．189）Yusuf and Zulai $\chi \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ．

Who of those two did the will of the father？Matth．21， 31.

## §． 111.


$\hat{\text { § }}$ to $\bar{o}$ does not undergo any change for gender，number or case．It is derived from the Sansk．कियत्（Latin quot），Hindi किता or कितना．
$\hat{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}$ is also used in exclamations with the sense of：how much！how many！


How much power hast thou to bear torments？tell me！commit also sins according to that estimate！（Gush．II，p．111，2）Xavajah Muhammad．

With how many troubles wast thou reared up by me! how many, many nights were illuminated (i. e. made days) by me! (Dorn, Chrest. p. 183) Yusuf and Zulaix $\bar{a}$.

To be noticed is the expression: $\hat{\text { خَ }}$ in how many ways) how?

How shall those sleep on the bed without care, Who are aware of the tremulation of the firmament ( $=$ destiny)? (Gulsh. II, 49, 2) Xušh āal.
خ $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ is also used adverbially in the sense of: how long?


does not undergo any change for gender, number or case, like خغ

 like خذو and خورو a

## §. 112.

## VI. Correlative pronouns.

Properly speaking there is only one correlative pronoun in



[^31] hōmrah.
 a more remote one: هُغَه هوَنبَرْ hayah hōmbarah, that much.

It is understood, that هورنبَره etc. may also be used without standing in correlationship with $\hat{\text { خ }}$ etc.

With reference to the position of these correlatives in a sentence, it is to observed, that هs هؤبْرٌ usually precedes and is followed by $\hat{\mathrm{g}}$ etc., but the inverted position is also admissible.

I am not so much afraid of the bear and hog, as I am afraid in my heart of the ignorant. (Gulsh. II, p. 53, 2). Xušhãal.
 is also used; هوَنبَرْ8 may even be omitted in the coordinate sen-


Fire and cotton near (each other) is not good; the more distant (from each other), the better it is. (Gulsh. II, 174) Yusuf and Zulaizā.

As many, many enjoyments there are, so many cares there are; He is well off, on whose belly a little log of wood is enough. (Gulsh. II, p. 68, 2.) Xušh āl.
When reference is made more especially to the manner and
 , لؤونْبرٌ , as:


By thy sight my burnt heart has become fresh in such a manner, as the Basil cools the oppressed of heat.
(Gulsh. II, p. 156, 1). Ašraf $\chi^{\text {ān. }}$



§. 113.

## VII. Indefinite pronouns.

The Paṣ̀to is possessed of a great number of indefinite pronouns, as many of the Interrogatives are used at the same time as indefinite.
1)

As an indefinite pronoun خذوك is mostly referred to persons, but also to things; it may be used absolutely or connected with a noun as adjective. Its flexion is the same as that of the Interrogative.
x (they - who); if a person or thing is to be made more prominent, هَغَغ may be added, as: : the position is often inverted to is always put in that case, in which the Relative ought properly to stand.
 has no corresponding interrogative (qualis) in Paṣ̌to.

## $-155-$

When will he understand the measure of my burning,
Who has not tried the fire of separation.
(Gulch. II, 156, 2) Ašraf $\chi^{\bar{a}} \mathrm{n}$.
خئركَ - once or more repeated signifies: one - another (Lat. alius - alias).

Every stone and clod of this earth, that is seen, Are all skulls, one of a king, another of a beggar. (Gulsh. II, p. 5. 2.) Rah'mān.
2) $x \dot{x}$ dah, something, anything; any one; some.
$x$ has usually reference to things, far more rarely to persons. It may be used absolutely or in connexion with a noun.


If I say any thing, what shall I say of separation? what shall I say of this incurable pain? (Gulch. II, p. 14) Rah 'mān.

If there is a man in the world, surely it is that, who has no need (of any thing) in this world. (Gulsh. II, p. 9, 1.) Rah 'mān.

Some of them were killed by him, some of them ascended the mountain. (Gulsh. I, 16) Tārī $\chi$-e-murassaz.

In a negative sentence, in which $x^{\dot{\delta}}$ is not the subject,

only intensifies the negations. Similarly, when in a negative sentence is used adjectively, it must be translated by: not any or none at all.

The standing of a man in this world is not at all less than the tumbling of drunken people. (Gulsh. II, p. 8) Rahímān.

In (my) lifetime he has not bestowed any kindness on me; of what use is it, if he bestow it after (my) death, death? (Gulsh. II, p. 116, 3) Xavājah Muhíammad.

After the demonstratives $\bar{x} \dot{\bar{\phi}}$, $\overline{0}$ etc. is occasionally added to generalize more the meaning, as nearer defined, something like that).

Thou speakest well to thyself, but doest not act well; that thou sayst and this thou doest. (Gulsh. II, p. 85, 2) H'amid.
$x \geqslant \underset{~}{x}$ signifies: any thing that, whatever (quodcunquc); its position is often inverted to $\times \underset{\text { x }}{x}$.

Whatever comes out of the mouth, by that man becomes polluted. Matth. 15, 11.

Whatever he had appointed (for their livelihood), he stopped.
(without any thing) signifies idiomatically: without reason.
3) $\hat{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{o}}$, some one; some.
 repeated, signifies: many, various, different.

God has made by his own power either one great or another small; Not that every town becomes Dehli or Lahore. Rah 'man.

On the face of this earth were different famous men; what has become of them? (Gulch. I, p. 120) Bābū Jān.
(fem. خَخْنَ which signifies: manifold.

By love a manifold punishment has been given to me; for when have I ever minded exhortation from any one? (Gulsh. II, p. 90, 2.) $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ amid.
with the following relative signifies: as much as, as many as, as long as; now and then $x \underset{Y}{ }$ is also dropped and only $\hat{\text { خ }}$ used in the sense given.

As many days as he was in prison, they all served him. (Gulch. I, p. 177) Gulistān.


- dine is always used with a Plural signification; it is
 signifies: some -some, some -others, like $\underset{1}{\text { خ in }}$ -

The same meaning as has himin (with the emphatic ī), but with this difference, that dini remains unchanged in


All these men are originally connected together; some, some exercise dominion, another is plundered. (Gulsh. II, p. 125) Mīrzā Xīn Ansārī.

(The earth) produces variegated green plants, from some the eye draws advantage, from others the nose. Gulistan.
5)


It is only used with a Plur. signification and always referred to persons. In the Format. Plur. it either remains unaltered or is formed into بُعض:.
6) فَلَلانَى falānai or palānai (Arab.), a certain one.



He is the son of a certain man, who was from among thy old servants. (Gulsh. I, p. 101). Kalīlah ō Damanah.
7) ${ }^{\text {7 }}$, hīt, auy one, something, anything.

هينَ may be used absolutely or connected with a noun; it has generally reference to things, but now and then also to persons. It is usually joined wilh a negation and signifies then: nobody, nothing, not at all. But also without a negation

كينّ denotes often nobody, nothing, as indicated by the whole tenor of the sentence.

خ. noun in the Singular, but in poëtry the Format. Plur. وهيخڭو hīto is also met with.


They cannot give him any medicine; down, down look the physicians. (Gulsh. II, p: 77, \&) H'amìd.

As the pomp and pride of the world is called a nothing, will any man be proud of this nothing? (Gulsh. II, p. 81, 4) $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ amīd.

If before the acquaintance separation would be seen, no servant of God would be mixed up with this business. (Gulsh. II, p. 15, 3) Kah'mān.

Who puts his hope on a lying, deceitful woman, he makes by this foolishness a firebrand out of nothings. (Gulsh. II, p. 129, 1) Mīrzā Xān Ansārī.

## §. 144.

Beside these the Paṣ̆to uses a number of compound-indefinite pronouns.
a) Indefinite pronounscompounded with $\operatorname{\text {har}}$ (every).
 Format. masc.

3) ${ }^{\text {g }}$ har ṭah, whatever, every thing.
4) har yau, fem. لَّر يَوْ harăh yauăh; Format. masc.
 yaue ; every one. It is only used in the Singular and properly a pronominal adjective.

Of those, who had become labourers at the eleventh hour, every one received one Paisā. Matth. 20, 9.
b) Indefinite pronouns compounded with ${ }_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{f}}$ بُal, another, and $\hat{\text { ن }}$ ن nōr, another.
 بَّل

 balē yauē. It is only used in the Singular and properly a pronominal adjective.
*) هو is also used in an adverbial sense: how much soever; .
**) takes also the termination all for cuphony's sake. in order to conform with بَوَ yauah.
3) 3 x $x$ ¢بَ bal tah, something else, other thing.

 one - another; some - another.

6) ${ }^{\text {n }}$ nōr hīt , anybody else; anything else.
c) Indefinite pronouns compounded with كَم
 Format. m. Sing. كُوم چَا.
2) كُ كُم jective and inflected in the same way as the interrogative §. $110,4$.
 ذَهِ بَهِ بَد حَال رَّقِـفَ نَّ وِى

Of whatever kind my livelihood may be amongst strange people, nobody will be aware of my good or bad condition (Gulsh. I, p. 168) Gulistān.
d) Indefinite pronouns compounded with orocit.
 one; it may also be referred to things: any (thing); with a negation: nobody, nothing. خغوَك only is inflected.



In the same way other indefinite pronouns. or pronominal adjectives may be joined with هِينخ (with a negation) as none at all.

The only thing is the name, that remains, nothing else will remain. (Gulsh. II, p. 53, 3) Xus̄h̄̄al.
e) Indefinite pronouns compounded with gá yan (one).
 It is only used in a Plural sense and is not subject to any change for gender, number or case.
2) yau tar balah or لَوَ yau da balah, one after theother, or one with the other, amongst each other. It remains quite unchanged and the case, in which يٌ should stand, is taken up by the pronominal suffix ${ }_{\text {: }}^{\text {: }}$ by him (her, them) or by a noun in the Instrumental.

On every branch of its rose-bush were different noises. (Gulsh. II, p. 142, 3) Kādim $\chi^{\bar{a} n .}$




To be short, the Afghãns had divided amongst themselves the country of Qandahār, the portion of the Tarīns had fallen between Kand and Lamand; one after the other did not come to the assistence of both brothers *). (Gulsh. I, p. 5) 'Tārī - -e murassas.
§. 115.

## VIII. Pronominal adjectives.

Besides the pronominal adjectives, which have partly been mentioned already, the Paṣ̣to uses the following:

بَّ bal, fem. بَلَه balăh, another **).

جُهَه jumlah, all (com.).

Nج هِيُهَ بْلَx

نور nōrt

*) I. e. the two brothers did not come to the assistance of each other.
**) Also pronounced bul. It is apparently the Sansk. पर. ***) هُ $\hat{\mathrm{s}}$ is the Sindhī टोलो, society, band, Hindī टोल्. It seems to be non-ārian.
†) ریֶ is the Sindhī-Hindī ढेह, heap; very likely non-ārian.
 with abscission of initial a and change of v to n .

هَ har, fem.
x hamah, all (com.), only Plur.
 others are regular adjectives and inflected according to their several terminations.

## VI. Section.

The Verb.
§. 116.

## I. Formation of the verbal themes.

We have seen already (§. 14), that the Infinitive of all Paṣisto verbs terminates in al and is, properly speaking, a verbal noun. The Infinitive as such does therefore not exhibit the root of the verb, this mast be looked for in the lmperative, which alone contains the pure base of the verb.

It cannot be seen therefore from the Infinitive as such, of what class a verb is, but only from its signification.

There are three classes of verbs in Paṣisto, viz: intransitive (or neuter), transitive (or active) and causal verbs.

1) Intransitive or neuter verbs.

According to their formation we must distinguish three classes of intrans. verbs:
a) Such as add to the verbal theme itself the Infinitive termination al, as: خَتَ $\chi^{a t-a l, ~ t o ~ a s c e n d, ~ v a t-a l, ~ t o ~ i s s u e, ~}$ S ${ }^{\text {r mr-al }}$, to die.
*) 8 8 1 ' $\%$ is the Sansk. सर्व, (Hindī सारा), by the medium of the Zendic haurva (Paṣ̆tō au $=\overline{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{v}$ ).
b) Such, the theme of which ends in -ēd and which retain this through all the tenses and moods. These are of two kinds; they are either primitive neuter verbs (with a passive form), or they are originally derivative verbs (as mentioned under c), the nominal theme of which has either become obsolete or which have become primitive verbs by usage. We shall therefore call them primitive intransitive verbs, ending in -ed There is a great number of them, e. g. Jị́ bah-ēd-al, to flow (Sindhī वहWु),

c) Proper derivative verbs, which are compounded of a substantive or adjective and the verbal theme of which necessarily ends in -ēd, as: بَخَليَخَ badal-ēd-al, to be changed (from
 s., information). In this way from most adjectives and from a great number of substantives derivate verbs are formed, which are quite peculiar to the Paṣtō. It is to be noticed, that those adjectives, which in the feminine shorten $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ and $\bar{\delta}$ to a ( $(86$ ), are subject to the same vowel-change before the termination -ēd, as $J \bar{\lambda}_{-2} ;$

 In the same way some other adjectives, which retain $\bar{\sigma}$ in the
 ray-ēd-al, to get better, to become healthy, from $\dot{\varepsilon} \hat{y}$ (fem. رؤغَ rō $\gamma$-ăl). The monosyllabic adjectives however, which contain the vowel $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$, retain the same before the termination -èd, as ${ }^{2}$ trī $\chi$-èd-al, to become bitter, from تـرِّ
 tarv-ăh).

When the substantive or adjective ends in a vowel or in ah, ăh, compositions are generally avoided and the auxiliary verb كبَّ kēd-al, to be made, is employed, to make up the idea of a
 to go to sleep; سَتَبَّا كِيَّى lagiā kēd-al, to be applied. But such like forms are also found (especially in poëtry), as: نيريخَل tēr-ēd-al, to be sharpened
 tēr-ēd-ąl, to pass, from created, from 1
 (besides وَآَد

With reference to the last two classes of intrans. verbs ending in ēd-ă ${ }^{*}$ ), there can be hardly a doubt, that the termination -ēd constitates a verb by itself. The Imperative (Present, Subjunctive and Future) of these verbs ends, as we shall see hereafter, in ēz else but the Sindhì verb किज of करणु, to make (instead of किर्जयु kir-j-āṇu or कर्जाएु kar-j-anua), $r$ having been elided before the palatal $j$ (the sign of the passive). When the verbal theme kij- is added to the noun, an elision of $k$ takes place according to a common Prākrit rule, so that $\mathrm{ij}=\mathrm{ez}$ remains. In the Infinitive (as in the Imperfect) -ež ( $=$ kežz) has been hardened to -ēd-al ( $=$ kēd-al). But the Sindhī itself changes frequently j to dy, which in Paṣ̣tō has become simple d. Thence it comes, that it may be optionally said in
 and signification.

[^32]In the same way the primitive intransitive verbs ending in - $\bar{e} d$ are to be explained, though now in most cases it cannot be shown, how they are compounded. But also with reference to these the Sindhī gives us a hint. In Sindhī many intransitive verbs take the passive termination Fi̧ j-anu, without any change in signification; similarly in Paṣ̆tō some intrans. verbs have still two terminations, one in al and one in ēd-al, as:


## §. 117.

2) Transitive verbs.

The theme of most trans. verbs ends in a consonant (or even in a conjunct consonant), more rarely in a semivowel; e. g. مُونíd mūnd-al, to obtain; كنْبَ kṣ̆-al, to draw (instead of كنْبَ kiṣ-al); ذئبؤ nīv-al, to take; vay-al, to speak.

All trans. verbs end in al, with the exception of three, which end in -ēd-al ; these are: Jía ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$
 formation is curious, as the termination -ēd- cannot be considered as an integral part of the verbal theme, because it is dropped again in the Imperative.

Many verbs are considered as transitive in Paṣ̆to, which we are wont to look upon as intransitive; e.g. Jiij $\chi$ and-al, to laugh; $j_{3}^{\prime} ;$ žar-al, to lament ; $\dot{j}$ jang-al, to jump etc.
§. 118.
3) Causal verbs.

Nearly from every intransitive or transitive verb a causal may be formed, by adding the termination -av-al to the verbal theme.
A) From intrans. verbs the causal is formed in the following way:
a) Those intrans. verbs ending in al, which do not change the final consonant in the Imperative, simply add the termination -av-al to the verbal theme, after dropping the Infinitive termination al, as:
 make flee.
 to make fall in, to pull down.
b) But those intrans. verbs, which in the Imperative change the verbal theme, add the causal termination -av-al to the theme thus changed, as:
 ālvaz-av-al, to cause to fly.
 $\chi \overline{\mathrm{e} z ̌-a v-a l}$, to cause to ascend.
 caus. كínẹ̀ē-n-av-ąl, to make sit down.
c) Those intrans. verbs, the theme of which ends in - $\overline{e d}-\mathrm{al}$, be they primitive or derivative, drop -ēd-al before the termination -av-al, as:
 to make wallow.
 cause to rain.
مَاذْوْ māt-av-al, to break.
B) Transitive verbs add the caus. termination -av-al to the verbal root, as exhibited in the Imperative. Those verbs however, which lengthen a in the Imperative, shorten it again before the caus. termination. E. g.
 $\chi$ and-av-al, to make laugh.

#  to cause to hear. 

 to make read.

Defective verbs, which substitute in the Imperative another verbal root, form their causal, as far as it is used, from the Infinitive, as:

آغستَوَل $\bar{a} \gamma u s t-a v-a l$, to cause to clothe.
يْنَوْل yaṣ̆-al, to place (not used in the Imper.), caus. يُنْبل yaṣ̆-av-al, to cause to place.

In the formation of the causal verb too the Paṣṣto has had recourse to a composition and totally abandoned the old causal formation, the remnants of which we still find in the Sindhī - Hindī and in the Persian ( $\overline{\mathrm{a}}, \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{n}$ ). The causal termination -av-al is nothing else but the verb kav-al, to make, to do, initial k being elided (as in the case of 5 ), when added to a verbal theme. This may be clearly seen from the circumstance, that the form -av-al is generally not added to such nouns, which end in a vowel or ah and ăh, but in this case the full form $\quad$ Kav-al is
 to make a promise; but we find also $\overline{5}$, vād-av-al, to cause to marry (cf. 116, 1, c).

The etymology of seems to be the following. We have in Paṣ̣̆to two verbs, which are quite identical in signification, 5
 Pers. كرد (Sansk. कृi); in Siidhī already r may be dropped, as कजि ka-je, do thou (for r may be also dissolved into a in Prākrit),
and so it has been likewise elided in Paṣ̣to, so that ka-al stands for kr -al. For euphony's sake v is inserted $=\mathrm{ka}-\mathrm{v}$-al ${ }^{*}$ ).

There is also a number of pure causals in Paṣisto, i. e. such verbs, which are only preserved in the causal form, as: آجَوْك āč-av-al, to throw, لَمسْوْل lams-av-al, to instigate etc.

The Paṣsto is no longer possessed of a proper passive voice, but must have recourse to a composition, like the Persian, as will be seen in its proper place.
§. 119.
4) Verbal prefixes.

With primitive verbs prefixes (prepositions or adverbs) may be comected, as in Persian, which more or less modify the original siguification of a verb. The prefixes, as a rule, quite coalesce with the verb, but some of them may again be separated from the verbal root in some tenses (by the interposition of the negative adverb $\alpha \dot{\alpha}$, not, or a pronominal suffix, see $\S(171)$. These prefixes are:
 inseparable prefix.
$\bar{\Pi} a \bar{l}$, only joined to $\quad$, to come out, as: as anl-vat-al, to fly; caus. ك آل̄al-vaz-av-al, to make fly; inseparable prefix. Its etymology is obscure.
 biã-mūnd-al, to get, to recover; separable prefix (Pers. ;َبَ, Sansk. पय्यात्).
Iُ prā, only used in prā-nat-al, to loosen, to open.
 prefix.
 (Sansk. नी).


 drop, to fall down. (فر) (فِ), Zend fra, Sansk. $\overline{\text { P }}$, on, onward. Separable prefix.
جَار jār, back, only used in the two verbs: جَارتَرتَ jār-vat-al, to return and جَارَسْتَر jār-yast-al, to turn or bring back. It has already so thoroughly coalesced with the verbal root, that in the Imperative the prefix ', may be used with these verbs. Its etymology is obscure. Inseparable prefix.

كیى kke, in. It is joined to verbs denoting to sit, to put, as: كبَبَبَاسَل kṣè-bās-al, to insert, to implant, كنَّ كبنبينَاستَر
 to thrust in, etc. It corresponds to the Sansk. नि, Persian ni ( $n a, n u$ ); in Paṣ̣̂to final $i$ of ni has been dropped. Inseparable prefix.
¿ֹ nana, within (adv.), only used with the two verbs
 insert, to introduce. It is perhaps a mutilation of the Sansk. ₹न्तर् (Pers. آنْa). Separable prefix.

[^33]
 is used only in a few verbs, correspouds to the Persian ,i,j
 Sansk. ₹ff (on, towards). Inseparable pretix.

Like the preceding prefixes the pronouns i, (to me, to us), (to thee, to you) and, her, them) are also joined to verbs, forming thereby a sort of compound verb, as: rā-kav-al to make to me=to give (to me), give to thee, $\boldsymbol{y}$, var-kav-al, to give to him, her, them. Some verbs, compounded with 1 , and,; have already received a fixed signification, without special reference being had to the pronoun,
 to come (to go to me); ور;تّلَ var-tl-al, to go (to go to him, them), , ورغَلَ var- $\gamma l-\mathrm{al}$, to go (to lim, them); rā-nīv-al, to seize (to take to me); رُ rā-vr-al, to bring (to me, us); rā-vast-al, to bring here (to me, us), وروستَّتَ $\quad$ var-vast-al, to bring or send there (to him, them). In the modern language $i$, and, are thus frequently prefixed to verbs, to indicate the direction, as: so ascend (there, in that direction).
 them etc.).
§. 120.

## II. Formation of the Imperative.

The root of the Pasṣtō verb, as noticed already, must be sought for in the Imperative, which exlibits the pure verbal theme. From the Imperative is derived the Present, the Subjunctive Present and the Future.

The Paṣ̆to Imperative has only two persons, the II. pers. Sing. and the 1l. pers. Plural. The II. pers. Sing. is formed by the termination ah, the II. pers. Plaral by the termination $a_{i}{ }^{*}$ ). Instead of aī the termination ānraī, which corresponds somewhat more to a Precative, is also now and then met with, before which the final consonaut of the verbal root must be dropped, as: وَاخَانَـُتُى

 'وَكْوُـى transitive verbs. The origin of this termination is doabtful, as the cognate idioms offer no analogies.

Both these terminations, ah and aī are added to the root of the verb, after the Infinitive affix ah has been dropped, as:

angēr-al, to suppose, Imper. Sing. آنكيبرَ angēr-ah, Plur. آنكبيرُّىى angēr-aī.
But as the formation of the Imperative is subject to a great many irregularities, as in Persian, we must enter into particulars.

$$
\text { §. } 121 .
$$

## A. The Imperative of intransitive verbs.

1) Primitive verbsending in al.

These verbs we have to divide into the following classes:
a) Such, as do not change the verbal theme in the Imperative. They are proportionally few in number, as:

[^34]drab-al, to fall in, Imp. دربَر drab-ah.
درُرومَ
خَّهْ

J mr-al, to die, makes so far an exception, that the cerebral r is changed to a dental, Imp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ofr} \mathrm{mr}$-ah.
b) Those verbs, the theme of which ends in $ت$, change the same in the Imperative to $j$, as:
 the compounds of ${ }^{\circ}$, as:
皙 al-val-al, to fly, Imp.
prē-vat-al, to fall down, Imp. ${ }^{\text {هر }}$

An exception from this rule is:
$\chi^{\text {خat-al, }}$ خَتَل
This verb however is shortened from the Persian خاستَن خi and has therefore in the Imperative likewise recourse to the Persian Imper. خير, with transition of $;$ to $j$.

The following verbs are irregular and cannot be broaght under any rule:


Tbis verb (Sindhī जलणु, Sansk. जबए) recurs to the Persian Imper. سُوختَّن (سُ) (سْ)
already (with the exception of the Sindhï). The Plur. termination ai corresponds to the Prākrit ha, Sausk. Є, Sindhī and Hindī ö.
 ejection of the semivowel $v$, instead of شوَ siv-ah.



$$
\text { §. } 122 .
$$

2) Verbsending in ēd-ąl.
a) Primitive verbs.

These form the Imperative by changing -ēd to ēz̃ (ēg), to which the personal terminations are added, as:



That the termination -èd-al is originally a separate verb ( $=$ = , as shown in $\S .116$, is further seen from the circumstance, that a certain number of primitive verbs ending in -ēd-al drep the termination $\bar{e} \bar{z}$ ( $\bar{g} g$ ) again in the Imperative (and consequently in the Present, the Subjanctive Present and the Future). These are:

لاوربحَ






خَخْخَيَل taţēd-al, to leek, Imp.








لَشَشَدَ yaš-ēd-al, to bubble, Imp. yaš-ah.
But all primitive verbs ending in -èd-al, may drop the ter mination -ed (i. e. kēd-al), when the action is only generally referred to or not actually occurring in the presence of the speaker. But when the action is represented as occurring actually in the presence of the speaker or when it has a proximate application, the termination -ēd- ( c z-)must be used, the verbs exhibited in the preceding list alone being excepted.
b) Derivative verbs.

These form their Imperative either like the primitives, by changing -ed- to $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{z}-$ ( $\overline{\mathrm{c}} \dot{\mathrm{g}})$. This, as a rule, is the case, when the negation $x \rho^{\circ}$ lest (nc) be joined with the Imperative; but this form of the Imperative is also used in the absense of $x \cdot \bar{x}$, especially in the more modern levelling language. Or they dissolve themselves into their constituent parts and join to the noun (substantive or adjective) the Imperative of the auxiliary verb شَؤ , to become,

[^35]namely شَه shah, Plur. كَهِ which is not in use. (§. 164). E. g.
 mall badal-ē̃-ah, be not changed; else: بَخَل شَه badah šah, Plur. بَخَل شَشُى badal šaī (from بَلَّ s. m. change).
S Plur.

 become ye old.
According to $\S .124$ the use of the Imperative prefix ', vo is not allowed in these cases.

It is understood, that the adjective, with which the derivative verb is compounded, must agree with its subject, as implied by the auxiliary verb, in gender and number. But also substanfives, when ending in a consonant, are often treated as adjectives (especially in poetry) and may assume a feminine termination (ăh), as:

Bahrām, V. 389.

From this finger-ring it became known, that he is a prince;
Make known the state of his heart, become acquainted therewith!
Many derivatives, especially those, which are compounded with a substantive, only use the termination -ēz-ah in the Imperiative,

 to itch (from خَارِبنـت, the itch); pōhēd-al, to be informed, to understand (from $\hat{\text { a }}$, knowing, adj.), but the Imperative秋 $\hat{x}$ is also in use. Usage alone is herein a sure guide.
trump, Afgh. Grammar. 12

## §. 123.

## 3) Defective verbs.

There is a number of defective verbs, which substitute a different root in the Imperative (and partly also in the other tenses), whereby the conjugation of the verb is rendered somewhat complicate. These are:
to go. Imp. a . x -ah, which is to be referred to the
J部 tār-al Hindī जाना, to go. From the (obsolete) theme ( עَ praeterite, gone). The Imperative of Hith $^{\text {withed }}$ the prefixed pronoun ور شَه : ور var is also substituted for any of these roots, as var šah, go (to him etc.), Plur. ور شَشَثُى var šaī.

The compounds of تلَ $\operatorname{H}$ are treated in the same way, as:
 خَر شَم var-šah, go; dar dam, I come to thee, or dar šam.



 inserted e.


kṣ̂ē-nāst-ēd-al
كنَبينَاستَلر kṣē-nāst-al $\}$ kṣ̀ē-n-ah.


§. 124.
The Imperative prefix ; vo.
The prefix ', vŏ, $\mathrm{r}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}^{*}$ ) voh is usually (bat not necessarily) pat before the Imperative, as in Persian $ب \underset{y}{\boldsymbol{y}}$, which, though short,
*) It is difficult to say, what the etymology of this prefix is We believe, that the prefix of the Imperative is not identical with the prefix of the lmperfect and Aorist, though it outwardly quite coincides with it (as also in Persian). The prefix of the Imperative seems to have sprung from the Sanskrit みT्य, Pers. بَإستّن. The Pārsī
 pronunciation, which, according to the sequence of the vowels, is often pronounced bu (بُغُ bu-gū, say, not بُشُو bi-gū), Cf. \&. 133, note.
 vớ kav-ah, make, but تَتْتَتَ, vŏ táṣ̌t-ah, flee! When a verb commences with long a, the prefix '; coalesces with it to $v$ - $\bar{a}$, as:

 to plaster.

With some verbs however the prefix ; is never used; these are:
 خَهْلَّل

All verbs, which are compounded with a prefix**) (§. 119), do not take the prefix ', as: كنبينَه sit, come in. The verbs compounded with the prefixes $\bar{i}, \bar{\Pi}$, and $\mathcal{L}$ are alone excepted, as the language no longer treats them as prefixes, but as an integral part of the verbal root. When the pronouns $i, \mu$, $\bar{j}$ and retain strictly their pronominal signification, they do not affect the verb in any way and the prefix ;' may be used as with any other primitive verb, but when $1 ;$, and have given up their strict pronominal signification, they are considered as (separable) prefixes and the use of the prefix ',
 (رَ)
*) When the verb contains two short syllables, the accent is drawn on ', but when a syllable of the verb is long (by nature or position), the accent is laid upon that.
**) When $\alpha^{-0}$, lest, is used with the Imperative, the prefix' ${ }^{\prime}$ is strictly excluded, as two prefixes cannot be admitted in the Imperative; the Imperative of the Passive makes an exception from this rule, see §. 171.

Derivative verbs, when forming the Imperative by ex do generally not take the prefix ', , but those, which are already treated as primitives (especially those compounded with substantives) may take it, as they are no longer considered as derinatives in this case.
§. 125.

## B. The Imperative of Transitive verbs.

We may here distinguish the following classes:

1) This class, which comprises the greatest number of the regular trans. verbs, forms the Imperative by adding the personal terminations to the last radical, which is either a consonant or a semivowel, verbs ending in $t$ or d alone being excepted. As:



An exception from this rale makes:

2) This class differs from the preceding by lengthening short $a$ in the Imperative. They are all monosyllabic verbs, the number of which is fixed. The most common are:




لَنْبَل lamb-al, to wash, Imp. 'لَاْنبَ ' vo lāmb-ah.

3) This class comprises those verbs, the last (single) radical of which is - . This is changed in the Imperative partly to ; partly to $\dot{\dot{\delta}}$, partly to $\boldsymbol{j}$ and partly to $\underset{z}{ }$; usage alone can guide
as to the change of the final letter but is not quite fixed, as in some verbs the orthography is vacillant.

prā-nat-al, to open, Imp. práánad-ah.


Exceptions from this rule are:
سَاتُر sāt-al, to preserve, Imp. وسَمْ vor sāt-ah.

Both these verbs preserve final $\mathbf{t}$ in the Imperative.
Irregular is:
نَجْتَل changes final $t$ to $n$.
4) This class comprises those verbs, the final radical of which is $d$; this is altogether dropped in the Imperative. The three trans. verbs, ending in -edd-al, drop likewise the whole termination -ed in the Imperative.







[^36]Some verbs however retain final $d$, as:



Irregular is:

It is a curious phenomenon, that the verbs ending in final $d$ drop it, as a rale, in the Imperative. Bat on nearer investigating the verbs in question we find, that this final d is originally not a constituent part of the verbal root, but that dal, edal is a verbal affix similar to the Persian Infinitive termination dan ( $\tan$ ) $\overline{1}-\mathrm{dan}$ *), which in Paṣto has come into use with a small number of verbs.
 corresponds to the Sansk. 큥 + 访 $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$-šru (š being first changed
*) Vullers (Institutiones Linguae Persicac, §. 219) identifies the Persian termination tan, dan, with the affix of the Sansk. Infinitive तुम्. But it would be really wonderful, if this Sansk. Infinitive affix, which has been dropped as well in Paṣ̆to as in all the Präkrit tongues of India (the old Infinitive ending in तुम् being now replaced by the verbal noun ending in ana, Sindhī aṇu, Marāṭhī aṇẽ̃, Hindī nā, Paṣto al), would have been preserved in Persian. The fact, that the Paṣisto , the oldest intermediate language between the Indian and Irānian family of languages, no longer knows the Infinitive affix तुम्, speaks very strongly against such a supposition. It would be further a very curious and inexplicable phenomenon, that the Persian should form its Preterite from the Infinitive, by dropping of the Infinitive termination only an (and not the whole affix). How should in this wise a Preterite be formed in an Ārian language, the general laws of which have been laid down by the Sanskrit? But we believe, that this difficulty is easily solved. The Persian Infinitive termination dan (tan) idan does not correspond to the Sansk. Infinitive affix तुम्, but is the affix of the Preterite passive, which in the neuter (tam, त्त) forms verbal nouns, like the affix Fन (see, Benfey, Sansk. Gr. 8. 333. I, 1. a). This will easily explain, why in the Preterite of the Persian the termination an is dropped and $d(t)$, id is or must be retained.
 is identical with the Hindhī बिलोना (Sindhī more original बिलोडणु, Sansk. विलोडन); $s$ is a euphonic insertion and -ēd-al $=$ Persian idan (whereas in the form جلمُوَّ d is preserved

 Similarly $J \dot{J} \boldsymbol{j}$, to weave, Pers. $\bar{\prime}$, Sansk. ऊय् (Sindhī
 $(l=r)$; $\operatorname{sig}$ laud-al, to utter, Sindhī लवणु, Sansk. लप् $(p=b=v)$. nā), Sansk. प्रतिज्ञान; ${ }^{\prime} j_{5}$, to swallow, Hindī निगलना, Sansk. नि + ग.
5) This class comprises those verbs, the last two radicals of which are st. But these consonants are dropped and I substituted in their lieu.


skust-al, to shear, Imp.
lvast-al, to read, Imp. 'رُوَّرَّهَر
Irregalar are:
رَاغْنَغ v-ā $\gamma u n d-a h$, which points to the Persian آَغَنَنَ, whereas the form cَغستَل corresponds to the Persian أغستن.
lavast-al, to disperse, Imp. لَوْسَتَر
The formation of this Imperative is difficult to explain, the more so, as the etymology of most of the verbs alleged is more or less obscure.

But the termination of the Infinitive seems also in this case to be stale, corresponding to the Persian stan; s would thus be a euphonic insertion, as in Persian, with the only difference, that in the Paṣsto Imperative $t$ has been retained, whereas it is dropped in Persian. In Paṣ̦to final $t$ is changed to 1 , as shown in §. 5 , sub 1 .
6) This class contains a small number of verbs, which end in Sit; in the Imperative both consonants are dropped and r (partly also $\delta^{\text {( }}$ ) substituted in their place.



Irregular is:

This formation is to be explained in the same way as the preceding; the reason, why $\dot{\sim}$ (cerebral) is here euphonically inserted, seems to be this, that final t was originally cerebral${ }^{* *}$ ); thence also the change of $t$ (instead of that to l) to $r$ (or nr).
§. 126.

## Irregular verbs.

The following transit. verbs cannot be brought under any fixed rule:
bal-al, to call, Imp. بَبَل '; vo bōl-ah (Hindī बोलना).

 a defective verb.
**) سخْنبتَل is very likely to be referred to the Sindhi कटपु to cut (Sansk. कर्तनन) and غَ to बटणु; that $v$ may pass into $g(y)$, is well known from the Persian. آَبنبتَ $\bar{\prime} \bar{a}+$ vuṣ̆t-al points to the same root.

لِيْوَ bīv-al, to lead, Imp.

 Sank. मृभ्.





§. 127.

## Defective verbs.

There is a number of synonymous defective verbs in Paṣ़̀to, of which one or the other is made use of in the Imperative. Some substitute in the Imperative another root, which is not found in the Infinitive. The most common of them are:

*) The verbal theme وتسَ is never used by itself, but always with the prefixes ${ }^{\circ}$; on or


In the same way the Imperative of the compounds of ${ }^{\text {linuْش etc. }}$
 similarly the Imperative of $\arg$ prē-ǐv-al to give up (which is


جَارَسَتَل : as am e same method follow the compounds of jār-yast-al, to turn back, Imp. وَجَاربَاسَx vt jār-bās-ah;
 prē-yast-al or prē-vast-al, to throw, Imp.



§. 128.
The Imperative prefix',
We have seen already (§. 124), that the prefix; is usually put before the Imperative, except the verb be compounded with a verbal prefix. With the following trans. verbs the use of the prefix ', is prohibited:

بَائلَر báel-al, to lose (at play), to forfeit, Imp. بَاءُلَه báel-ah.

بِّبول bī̄-al, to lead, Imp. بِبْاءَx biāy-ah.


, yōs-al, to bear, to carry, Imp. yōs-ah.

## §. 129.

## C. The Imperative of causal verbs.

1) The Imperative of those causals, which are derived from a primitive verb, is formed quite regularly by dropping the Infinitive affix al and adding the personal terminations of the Imperative:

, rap-av-al, to shake, Imp. ©
2) The Imperative of the derivative cansals is formed by dissolving the verb into its constituent parts and by adding to the substantive or adjective the Imperative of كَك , i. e. or of
 posite; e. g.

The adjective must agree with the object referred to in gender and number; substantives ending in a consonant, are also often (but not necessarily) treated like adjectives and can take a feminine termination (cf. §. 122).

When the prohibitive particle $x x^{\circ}$ is used with the Imperative, the derivative causals form their Imperative in the usual way without being dissolved into their component parts, as: بَ بَحَلَوَه do not make a change! مَx لَنْحَوْنَ do not shorten!

But also in the absence of the prohibitive particle $x$ os the regular Imperative is often used, especially in the modern language, but always without the prefix ', the language still being conscious, that the verb is composite. Those derivative causals, which are compounded with a substantive, are already frequently treated as primitives and may then even take the prefix 'g.


Inform us out of the occult science, what the condition of the Ulus (tribe, clan) will be. Tārī $\chi$-e murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 7).

They said to their women: commence ye songs! (ibid. Gulsh. I, p. 11).

Spend a night with us, o Bahram! otherwise it will be a chance, that we shall see you again. Bahrām, V. 182.

Every morning and evening sigh to God! shed tears and blood from the depth of the heart! Xavājah Muh'ammad.
(Gulsh. II, p. 112. 2).

> *) خَوش = arab. the bypochondria, the loins.
§. 130.
III. The formation of the tenses and moods.

The tenses and moods of the Paṣisto verb are of three kinds:

1) such, as are derived from the root of the verb, as exhibited in the Imperative.
2) such, as are formed by means of the participle preterite.
3) such, as are formed by means of the participle perfect and the auxiliary verb tobe or tobecome.

## A. Tenses and moods derived from the Imperative.

From the verbal root, as coming forth in the Imperative two tenses and one mood are derived, the Present, the Subjunctive Present and the Future.

$$
\text { §. } 131 .
$$

## 1) The Present.

The Present of intrans., trans. and causal verbs is derived from the root of the verb by adding to it the flexional terminations of the Present. These are:




咅 $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{ai}$, you go.
备 d-1, they go.

The personal pronouns may be pat before the verb, when a stress is laid upon them, otherwise they are not required, the person being expressed already by the verbal termination.

The flexional termination of the I pers. Sing. am (amah, ama is only used in the eastern dialect and in the modern language) corresponds to the Persian am (Sansk. and Prākrit āmi), Sindhī ă, Hindī $\tilde{u}$; the termination of the II pers. Sing. ē corresponds to
the Persian ī, Hindī and Sindhī ẽ (ē nasalised), Sansk. and Prāk. asi. In Paṣ̀to, Persian, Hindī and Sindhī $s$ is elided and a-i contracted to $\overline{\mathrm{e}}, \overline{\mathrm{i}}$. The termination of the III pers. $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ corresponds to the Hindī-Sindhī ē (Sansk. ati, Prākrit adi or with elision of $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{i}=\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ ), whereas the Persian termination ad is more original.

The termination of the $I$ pers. Plur. $\bar{u}$ (now and then the termination ūnah, ūna is also found in older writings or in poëtry), corresponds to the Sindhī ũ (Hindī ẽ), Sansk. àmah, Prāk. āma, Persian im; the termination of the II pers. Plur. corresponds to the Sindhī-Hindī o, Sansk. athah, Präk. aha, Persian id; the termination of the III pers. Plur. ì corresponds to the Sindhī ine (ane), Sansk.-Prākrit anti, Persian and, Hindī ẽ̃. Besides ī the Paṣ̦̣̆o has preserved also the termination ina, which approaches very closely the Sindhī; but this is now considered antiquated and only met with in poëtry or older compositions. It is a curious phenomenon, that this termination ina has been also transferred to the III pers. Sing., very likely from no other reason but that both terminations end now in i.

The primitive verbs ending in ēd-al have in the Present either the full termination -ēzz-am (ēg-am) or they may drop -ēz (èg) and affix the flexional terminations to the root of the verb (see §. 122, a).

The derivative verbs ending in ēd-al have in the Present always the full termination - $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{z}-\mathrm{am}$ etc. (see the paradigms, Appendix II.). The derivative causals have likewise in the Present always the termination -av-am etc.


I go alone to that enemy; what need we go all to him? Bahrām V. 205.


Sometimes they strike one hand upon the other, sometimes they wheel about, sometimes they move backwards and forwards. Ma $\chi^{z a n-i}$ paṣ̣̣to (Gulsh. I, p. 137).
§. 132.
2) The Subjunctive Present*).

The Subjunctive is formed, after the analogy of the Persian, by putting the prefix, ', $\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{g}^{\prime}\right)$ before the Present. If a verb commence with a long a, the prefix, coalesces with it to $v-\bar{a}$, as $v-\bar{a} c ̌-a v-a m$, that I may throw.

Those verbs, which do not take the prefix ', in the Imperative, refuse it also in the Subjunctive Present.

The derivatives, the intransitive as well as the causal, are dissolved in the Subjunctive Present into their component parts**) and add to the substantive or adjective the Subjunctive Present of the auxiliary verb شَّ
 these verbs being composite. In the case of intrans. verbs the adjective must agree with the subject implied by the auxiliary, and in the case of causal derivatives, with the object. Substantives ending in a consonant may, after the manner of adjectives, take a feminine termination (cf. §. 129).

The III. pers. Sing. and Plur. of the Subjunctive Present may also take the prefix $د$ de***), whereby a sort of Jussive or
*) About the use of the Subjunctive see §. 194.
**) Not unfrequently the undissolved form of the Subjunciive (i. e. ēz-am and -av-am) is used, when the prefix $\mathcal{S}$ precedes it, but always without the prefix ', which is not admissible in such verbs.
***) The prefiy $\cup$ is apparently the Imperative of an obsolete root dā, to give (Pers. $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$, Sindhī $\overline{\mathbf{\xi}}$ ). In a similar way the Persian uses كُو روَنـل : say, they should go $=$ they should go.

Trumpp, Afgh. Grammar.
 they should flee.

As regards the position of this prefix $\Delta$, it is to be noticed, that it may precede or follow the prefix '. As a rule $u$ precedes,' (separated from it occassionally by one, two or more words), if a demonstrative pronoun is connected with the
 if the verb is not accompanied by a demonstrative pronoun, as: , they should see. When the use of the prefix ; is prohibited in the Imperative, $د$ precedes the verb either immediately or is separated from it by one or more nouns, as:


If he have two wives, one rich and the other poor, he shall make them equal in every right. Favāid uš-šarī̆ăh (Gulsh. I, p. 74).

It is to be noticed, that when $\cup$ precedes the Subjunctive, the prefix ' $'$ is frequently dropped as superfluous, especially in poëtry; this is also the case in common prose, when a substantive with a verb forms a sort of compound verb, as: نَظَر كَرَ , to look to, etc.


Kings should look after their own business and their own administration. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 83).
§. 133.
3) The Future.

The Future is derived from the Subjunctive Present by the addition of the prefix a bah *). If the Subjunctive is not preceded

[^37]
## - 195 -.

by the prefix ', the prefix $\times$ بَ is either immediately put before the verb or may precede it, separated (from the verb) by some intervening words. But when the Subjunctive is preceded by the prefix ', the following is to be noticed with referrence to the position of the prefix بَ.

When a personal pronoun or demonstrative is connected with the verb, x; usually precedes the prefix '; as:
 strative be connected with the verb, usually follows the prefix ', as: وَ بَ رَس , I I shall arrive. But the prefix very frequently precedes the verb, separated from it by some intervening words, be the verb connected with a personal pronoun (demonstrative) or not *).

Sometimes only $x^{\circ}$ is used with the Future and ,' dropped even in such cases, where its use is fully admitted; this is done chiefly in poëtry, but also sometimes in prose, the use of the prefix; not being considered as absolutely essential for the formation of the Future.
to this root points also the Paṣ̆to adjective بوپ̣ , necessary. This would sufficiently explain, why the Future prefix requires the Subjunctive and not the Present tense. The Persian Subjunctive prefix du is apparently identical with the Paṣ̆to بَ and would be fully explained by our supposition, The Imperative prefix ; and the Future prefix ب; though now somewhat differing in form, are no doubt identical.
*) Only in poëtry $x$ is now and then put after the verb (s. 195 d ).


Under the reign of Aurang (zēb) justice is not meted out to me; I, Xavājah Muh́ammad shall go to another quarter.

Xavājah Muh́ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 106, 2).
If a verb is compounded with a separable prefix (cf. §. 119) or commences with along syllable, the Future prefix is very frequently (but not necessarily) inserted between the verbal prefix or the long initial syllable and the verb (or the rest of the verb); but when the verb commences with long a, only $\bar{a}$ is cut off, which with the prefix', coalesces to $v-\bar{a}$, and the prefix is put after it. Those verbs, which cut off the initial long syllable and put the prefix $\times{ }^{-}$between it and the rest of the verbal root, do not take the Subjunctive prefix ;'*), as little as those, which are compounded with separable prefixes; only those verbs, which commence with long a and which cat it off from the rest of the root in the Future, may take the prefix ,', if its use be not otherwise prohibited. E. g. كنَّى بَxَ ذُم kṣ̆ē bah n-am, I shall sit down






The derivatives, intransitive and causal, are dissolved in the Future as in the Subjunctive Present, into their component

[^38]parts and add to the substantive or adjective the Future of the auxiliary verbs شُؤل without the prefix ', , usually preceding the noun and the
 The full (undissolved) form however is often met with in the Future, but without the Subjunctive prefix ', as : بَ حَاضْريَرْ , I shall be present, instead of: بَه حَاضْروٌم ;بَه حَاضِر شَم instead of: بَه حَاضِر كَمْ (see Appendix II; IV).

## §. 134.

## B) Tenses and moods formed by means of the participle preterite.

By means of the participle preterite four tenses and one mood are formed, viz: 1) The Imperfect 2) The habitual Imperfect 3) The Conditional or Optative of the Imperfect. 4) The Aorist (or Preterite) 5) The habitual Aorist.

The Paṣ̣to has gone its own way in forming an Imperfect. All the modern Indian vernaculars of Sanskrit origin have had recourse to compositions and the Persian forms the Imperfect from the Aorist by prefixing the adverb ${ }^{\text {, }}$, or shortened ${ }^{\text {, }}$, which in Pārsī still retains its original signification 'always', so that the Persian Imperfect is originally only a habitual Aorist. The Paṣ̆to has made ase of the original participle preterite in order to form an Imperfect. But thus it was compelled to find a different form for the proper participle preterite, which it has made up by adding a different termination to it, which we call, in contradistinction to the participle preterite, the participle perfect (§. 18), as it is now only used in the Perfect, though, as regards its origin, identical with the participle preterite, as will be seen in the formation of the passive voice*).

But as in these tenses formed by means of the participle preterite there is an essential difference between the intransitive

verbs on the one hand and the transitive and causal on the other, the former being constructed actively and the latter passively, we must, for the sake of a more easy survey, treat of the two classes separately.
a) Intransitive verbs.
§. 135.

1) The Imperfect.

The Imperfect is formed by adding to the verbal theme, as exhibited by the Infinitive, the substantive verb $\mathrm{F}^{-i}$ yam *), I am, etc. for the I. and II. pers. Sing. and Plural, euphonic initial y of yam however being dropped in these compositions; e. g. تنَّبل

 you fled.
 يروْهِخَلُو
 erstood.

This al however, to which the substantive verb is added, cannot be the affix of the verbal noun or Infinitive ( $=$ ₹न), though it outwardly quite coincides with it, as this would be quite contrary to the analogy of the Ārian idioms. How should it be possible, to form an Imperfect or Aorist by affixing the Present of the substantive verb 'to be' to the verbal noun? We have therefore every reason to conclude, that this termination al is not identical with the Infinitive alfix ald, but that it is the Sansk. affix $\Pi$, by means of which the participle past is formed in Sanskrit. That $t$ frequently passes into 1 in Paṣ̂to , we have seen already §. 5,

[^39]sub l; a (a) is only a conjunctive vowel. It is well known, that also in Marāthī $t$ has been changed to $l$ in the participle past.

In a number of verbs this affix al has been dissolved to ah, i. e. the affix $\bar{\pi}(=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{l})$ has been, after the analogy of the Prākrit, elided, so that only a (ab) has remained, as in the modern Präkrit tongues of India (Hindī: $\bar{a}$, Sindhī: $\overline{\text { }}$ ). This affix ah is, in order to avoid the hiatus, dropped altogether before the substantive verb*), though for the I. and II. pers. Sing. and Plur. the full from -al-am etc. is also in use. E. g. هَ́á


 pōh-êd-ū (instead of : pōh-êd-ăh-ū) or
 pōh-êd-al-aî.

Some verbs have gone even so far, that they have also dropped the affix ah in the participle past; but in the I. and II. pers. Sing. and Plur. the full termination -al-am may be optionally used ${ }^{* *}$ ).

In the III. pers. Sing., to which the substantive verb (دَّ ) could not well be added, as little as in Persian, the affix al is never used for the masculino, but either ah is substituted for it or the affix agh is dropped altogether. In the Feminine ah is changed to ăh, and where the affix ah has been dropped already in the Masculine, either the feminine termination ăh is added or the original affix al is restored before the feminine termination in

[^40]

 when in the participle past, after the rejection of the affix ah for the Masculine, a vowel-change also taken has place, the Feminine is not formed from this form but from the verbal

 vat-ăh or تَتَلَ

In the III. pers. Plur. the participle past either ends in al al*) or in ah, the latter especially, when ah is already used in the

 لتر vat-al, they came out, recourse being had in such like formations to the verbal theme itself, as shown in the derivation of the Feminine. The Feminine Plural is always derived from the
 كنَبلى tộ-al-ē, Plural, they fled.

The Persian differs from the Paṣ̣̆to in the III. pers. Plural, adding, as it does, the substantive verb to the participle past and forming thereby a flexional termination ( $\lambda, \stackrel{-0}{-0}$, raft-and, they went). A similar flexional termination we meet also in Paṣṣto in older compositions, viz: ana or anah ( $=$ and) which however is only affixed to such participles as end in ab ${ }^{* * *}$ ), and which is used, like
*) In لبْu i is a euphonic insertion, as tṣ̀ (after the rejection of $\underset{i}{a h})$ could not be pronounced; but for the Feminine no such euphonic insertion of a vowel is required. See § 136, 1 , a.
**) The affix al, like that of the Infinitive, is always considered and treated as implying a Plural; cf. \&. 42, f; §. 46.
${ }^{* * *)}$ ) In the Plur. masc. (which ends in al or ah) ana may therefore always be added, as it is originally a Plural termination.
nah, for the Masculine Singular and Plural; e. g. pōhēd-ana, he or they understood.

The flexional terminations of the Imperfect are therefore:
Sing. I. perse. -al-am, am.
II. " -ale, ē.
III. " The participle past masc. and fem.

Plur. I. perse. -al- $\overline{0}$, $\overline{\text { un }}$.
II. " -al-aī, aī.
III. " The participle past masc. and fem. (-ana, -anal, masc.).
Paradigm. Infin. سوّ sv-al, to burn.

## Sing.

I. pars.
 sv-al-am or سوم sv•am, I burnt.
II. " سوكَى sv-al-e or wot voe, thou burntest.


## Plur.

I. pars. سؤر sv-ă, we burnt.
II. " سوْتْمُى sv-al-ai or سوْكُى sv-aī, you burnt.

But as the formation of the participle preterite, as used in the Masculine Sing. (for the Masculine Plur. always ends in al or ah) admits of some variations, we must treat of the several classes of verbs separately.
§. 136.
Formation of the participle preterite.
We have to distinguish three classes:

1) Verbsending in al.
a) Those verbs, the theme of which ends in a consonant, drop in the Masculine Sing. the participial affix ah altogether (after the analogy of the Persian). If the verbal theme has no inherent vowel, i. e. if it consists of two conjunct consonants, euphonic i is inserted between the two, to facilitate the pronounciation, and if the latter of the conjunct consonants be $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{a}$ is inserted for the same purpose.


 cāād-al-ē.
$\int_{\delta^{\circ}}^{\circ} \mathrm{mr}-\mathrm{al}$, to die, p. p. $\mathrm{s}^{\circ} \mathrm{mar}^{*}$ ) (with euphonic a), fem. ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{8}$


Exceptions from this rule are:
رتَلَ vat-al, to come out, and its compounds, as: to fly,人 ورُت
 (روت , being treated like an adjective §. 86) or vat-al;


b) A few verbs with radical short a lengthen the same in the participle past and add at the same time the affix ab, as:
*) Or $\times \overline{\text { شे }}$

سَسْهِّ


 drop the radical semivowel in the part. past and add the affix ah,
 Plur. masc. سؤل سؤ sv-al or sv-ah, fem. سؤ sv-al-ē. In the same manner is formed $\alpha$ شَ š-ah ( $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{g} v-\mathrm{ah}$ ),



Irregular is $\int_{\text {ghe }}^{j}$ zōv-al, to be born; p. p. (only used in


2) Verbs ending in -ēd-al.

The primitive as well as the derivative verbs ending in ēd-al, add in the part. past the affix ah, as:

Jَدَ

 darēd-al-ē.
 About the form of the derivatives in the Aorist, see §. 139.
3) Defective verbs.

These derive the participle past regularly from the verbal theme (the Infinitive), though they may be irregular or defective in the Present.



In the I. and II. pers. Sing. and Plur. both forms are in use, (تَّه t1-am. In the Aorist the form as might be expected, is not used bat a new root is substituted ( $j_{2} \bar{y}, j_{3} j_{j}^{j}$;) from which is formed the part. past ${ }_{8} \bar{y}$ lār or ${ }_{3}{ }_{j}$ g, volār (besides
 the same rule. (See Appendix VI).


 , rآنَلَم rā-tl-al-am.
From the compound verb $\quad$, vorrayl-al ( $=$ vorāā- $\gamma \mathrm{l}$-alal) to come, no participial form is used for the Imperfect. In the





[^41] rā- $\gamma \mathrm{l}-\mathrm{am}$ and , 1 , rā- $\gamma \mathrm{l}$-al-am etc. (See Appendix VII).



What doest thou say to me? what was thy state in separation? I did not heed any thing else, I burnt, I burnt, I burnt in my heart. Xušh'āl (Galsh. II, p. 51, 2).

The son of Nūh (Noah), who sat together with wicked people, became degenerate by the society of the wicked. Xušh'al (Gulsh. I, p. 158).
§. 137.

## 2) The habitual Imperfect.

From the simple Imperfect the Paṣ̣̃ō forms a habitual Imperfect, denoting repeated lasting action*), by means of the particle ${ }_{x}$ bah ${ }^{* *}$ ), which may either precede (separated by

[^42]one or more words) the verb or follow it, as: خَتَتَم بx or بَx خَتَلَم I ascended repeatedly or I used to ascend.

The staff used to go straight on mountains and rivers. Bābū Jān (Gulsh. I, p. 126).

After that time the Afghāns used to come down from that mountain and to pillage the peasantry.
§. 138.
3) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.

From the Imperfect the Paṣ̆to derives a Conditional mood, which may also stand for an 0 ptative , if preceded by a particle denoting a wish or desire, as: كانشكى kāškē, would that! The Conditional is formed by adding to the Imperfect, instead of the flexional terminations, the termination ai, $\bar{e}, \bar{a} \bar{e}$, which remains the same for all persons and number*), as: ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{p}$


 to point out the person and number the personal pronouns must be always added, if they be not otherwise indicated.

The derivative verbs are usually (but not necessarily) dissolved into their component parts and the Conditional of the

[^43]
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 is added tho the noun.

Sometimes also a Conditional of the Aorist it met with, i. e. the prefix of the Aorist '; is put before it, especially in optative sentences. (See §. 198).

The Conditional or Optative of the Imperfect is in common use through all persons only with intransitive verbs; it is also found in transitive verbs (§. 145), but far more rarely and only in the third person Sing. and Plural. On the syntactical use of the Conditional of the Imperfect, see §. 198; 216, 2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { نَ قَضَما لَه لَآنَ كُوم لُورِى تَّ خَم }
\end{aligned}
$$

If I would be freed by flight, I would have been freed from it; From the hand of destiny whither shall I go?

Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 50, 1)


His eyes rose towards the morning (saying) : that it once would become morning, that I would get out of this house!
§. 139.
4) The Aorist.

The Aorist is formed by patting the prefix ,' vŏ (og vŏh)
 he fled. But all verbs, which in the Imperative do not admit the prefix ', (cf. §. 124), refuse it also in the Aorist.

Some verbs optionally take or drop, in the Aorist, as: ~َا

Derivative verbs are always dissolved into their component parts in the Aorist and the Aorist of $\underset{\text { in }}{\text { is }}$ isoined to the ad-
jective, which ingender andnumber must agree with the subject implied by the auxiliary, as: تبرحيَّل tēr-èd-al, to pass by, Aorist

 I was remembered, fem. غَآَغ شْوَ yād-ăh s̃v-am (from גَاد s. m. memory), substantives ending in a consonant, also being optionally treated like adjectives.

Many derivatives however (especially those compounded with a substantive) form their Aorist like primitives, without being dissolved into their component parts.


I came different times to the battle, Sir, (but) I could not at all defeat him in the battles (Bahrām V. 199).

I was afraid, that they will make a design to kill me out of their own fear. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 162).



He (the fox) rose from the ambush of the cock and rushed on the drum; the cock took to flight and escaped from the perdition of the fox. Kalilah $\delta$ Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 105).

To be short, when the Afghāns fled, they entered the valleys of the mountains of Kābul. Tārī $\chi$-i-murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 7).
§. 140 .

## 5) The habitual Aorist*).

In the same manner as from the Imperfect, so also from the Aorist a habitual Aorist is derived by means of the particle xy, which may either precede the verb (separated by one or more words) or follow it. When the verb does not admit the prefix'; in the Aorist, the habitual Aorist outwardly coincides with the habitual Imperfect and only the context can decide, which tense is intended. The habitual Aorist denotes a repeated action in past time (§. 200), as: زَ j , I was (often) afraid; I used to fall (or: I fell repeatedly at a certain time).



It is told, that at that time there was also this custom amongst the Afghāns, that when their sons arrived at the age of puberty, they used to divide the property of father and mother amongst each other and that also to father and mother an adequate portion was given by them. Tārī -i-murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 4).

When the cattle of the Yusufzais used to come to the plain to pasture, they pillaged it (every time). Ibid. (Gulsh. I, p. 11).
*) The Sindhī also has a habitual Aorist, formed by the particle \#े. In Persian too we find some remnants of a habitual Aorist, as occasionally is put before the prefix of of the Aorist.
§. 141.

## b) Transitive and causal verbs.

As the participle past of transitive and cansal verbs has necessarily a passive signification, as in Sanskrit and the modern Präkrit tongues of India, the tenses formed. by means of this participle are constructed passively, the proper subject (or agent) being put in the Instrumental and the object in the Nominative*). These tenses can therefore only then be employed, when the agent (in the Instramental) is expressed by a noun or by a pronominal suffix; if the agent is not known or expressed, the proper Passive must be resorted to ( $\$ .170$ ). The flexional terminations are the same as those of intransitive verbs (§. 135).

## §. 142.

## 1) The Imperfect.

In reference to the formation of the Imperfect the same rales hold good, which we have already laid down in §. 135. In the first and second person Sing. and Plural the flexional terminations always accede to the participial affix -al, in the third person Sing. and Plural the participle past alone is used, as mentioned already. For the elucidation of the rules given the following paradigm may serve.

Sing.


III. " وَغَ hayah c̄ šār-ă was expelled by him.篂
*) The Sindhī forms from active verbs the same tense, implying a passive signification, as the Paṣ̌to does; compare my Sindhī Gram. §. 48. 2. b. In Hindī, Marāthī, etc. the formation of this tense is not known.

Plur.


III. " ${ }^{\text {O }}$ hayah è šar-al, m.

居
But as the formation of the participle past of transitive verbs (the causals are regular) offers many varieties and partly irregularities, we must enter into particulars.',
§. 143.
The formation of the participle past.
We have to consider here the following classes:

1) Those verbs, which end in a consonant or semi-vowel (single t and to a certain degree d excepted), add to the verbal theme the affix ah (= t-ah, see §. 135), lengthening at the same time short radical a (bat only in the Singular masc.). E. g.

بَّرَ bal-al, to call, p. p. بَّ
masc. بَبَ bal-al, fem.

نِبِّ nīv-al, to take, p. p.


*) Verbs, which end in $v$, as well as all causals, change the termination åh commonly to $v$ - $u h, \quad v$ - $o \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{v} \overline{\mathrm{o}}$ or $\mathrm{v} \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ in the castern part of Afghānistān, as: ${ }^{\prime}$

 māt-av-al, fem. مَاتَوْكَى māt-av-al-ē.

Those verbs, the radical short a of which is long by position, may or may not lengthen it, according to usage, as:


Those verbs, the theme of which consists only of one single consonant or of two conjanct consonants, simply add the termination ah, as:

Js kr-al, to do, p. p. ós kr-ah.
ولَر vl-al, to wash, p. p. vi-ah *).
But a number of verbs of this kind drops already the termination ah, especially if the verbal theme consists of two conjunct consonants. In this case a euphonic $\mathbf{i}$ is inserted between the two consonants, and $a$, if the latter consonant be $r$ ( $c f . \S .136,1, a$ ).

J ك kr-al, to do, p. p.
lēṣ-al, to load, p. p. ليْنَل


It is to be noticed, that those verbs, which lengthen short a in the Imperative (cf. §. 125, 2), commonly have the participle past in the Plural, as they imply a plurality of action, as:
*) $\bar{\mu}$ l-al is shortened from lv -al, $\operatorname{Sindhī}$ लवणु, Sansk. लप्.
**) ولَّل و is derived from the Sansk. घाब् (caus.), root हु, by transition of $p$ to $v$.



وَّ vay-al, to speak, وَّل vay-al ${ }^{2}$ *).
Besides these verbs, which lengthen short a in the Imperative, many other verbs, when not referred to a special object, take the Plural of the participle past (masc.), as a plurality of action is implied in them; such are:



farmāy-al, to command, p. p. فَرمَايَلِ farmāy-al.
كتَر kat-al, to see, p. p.

رَ man-al, to mind, p. p.
mīt-al, to piss, p. p. mīt-al.
, viral, to wash, p. p. ولَ vl-al.

The following three verbs form their participle past irregalary:
 bāel-al-ăh.
*) The p. p. , ve or ג́zily vāy-ah is also in use.
 trapl-ah).

2) Those verbs, which end in $\underset{\text { and }}{ } \downarrow$, drop the termination ah, as:
a) prā-nat-al, to open, p. p.


Excepted from this rule are:
نَّ natal, to carry off, p. p. نَاتَّ nāt-ąh.



Si īd-al, to weave, p. p. in ind.

The termination ah is however often added to verbs ending in $d$, so that it is more or less optional to add or to drop it. Those verbs ending in $d$, which have radical short' a, usually lengthen it, as:

3) Those verbs, which end in the termination ash altogether in the participle past, as:
lvast-al, to read, p. p. لَوَستَ l vast.



The participle past of defective verbs.
The participle past is sometimes derived from several defective themes at the same time, sometimes only from one. We let here follow a survey of the most common defective synonymous verbs, to show thereby, from which verbal theme a participle past may be formed and from which not.



Compound verbs (with the prefixes and

prê-şv-al



*) Those verbs, which have no participle past, usually also want the participle perfect.



But the compounds of ${ }^{2}$; may form the p. p. regularly, as:








*) In , stands euphorically for $\mathfrak{A}$, both verbal themes being the same.

كَندَا




Though I was called by some one mad, (yet) I wandered about happy. Yusuf and Zulaixā (Dorn, Chr. p. 214).

Zulaix $\bar{a}$ kept the secret of her love concealed in her heart. Yusuf and Zulaixa (Dorn, Chr. p. 181).

Amazed and perplexed he swang in the swing of reflection on the action of Shuturbah. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, 110).
تَا دوَستَانَ زَهِيرِوَل دَ دَه دَهِاَرَه

Thou didst aggrieve thy friends for his sake.
Bābū Jān (Gulsh. I, p. 181).

He put that remaining corn in another place.
Kalīlah $\overline{0}$ Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 90).

is here the Aorist (in form identical with the Imperfect).
§. 144.
2) The habitual Imperfect.

The habitual Imperfect is formed, as shown already, by puting before or after the verb the particle ${ }^{\circ}$.


The people of Kābul were very much oppressed by them; they used to seize their cattle and to do unbecoming things.
'「ārī $\chi$-i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 7).

They concealed their cattle before each other and ate them. ibid. (Gulsh. I, p. 17).
§. 145.
3) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.

As noticed already in §. 138, the Conditional (and Optative) of the Imperfect is in regular use only with intrans. verbs, from trans. verbs it is seldom formed and only in the III. pers. Sing. and Plural, the habitual Imperfect being commonly substituted in its place (cf. §. 46, 2), as the terminations of the Conditional of trans. verbs are liable to be mistaken. It is understood, that the Conditional (and Optative) of the Imperfect of trans. verbs must be constructed passively and that the agent must accompany the verb in the Instrumental. The terminations are the same as those of intrans. verbs (cf. §. 138) and do not undergo any change for gender and number.

In Optative sentences the Aorist prefix ', also may be put before the Optative of the Imperfect, if the action is to be represented either as past already or as passing quickly.




If I had not been ambitious to get disciples, I would not have been deceived by the sweet words of the thief, who concealed my clothes when he found an opportunity; and if the fox had not been greedy and had not polluted his mouth by blood-eating, nobody would have torn up his belly.

Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 114).



He said: Would that (once) the rosy-bodied would be seen (by me) with the eyes!
I have coloured my clothes for her sake.
Bahrām, V. 350.
§. 146.
4) The Aorist.

The Aorist is formed by putting the prefix'; vŏ before the Imperfect. When in the Aorist the agent is expressed by the pronominal suffix ${ }^{\text {, }}$, by him (her), them, it is frequently inserted between the prefix,', and the verb, as: : , ${ }^{\prime}$, vo ē ve, he said. Further it is to be noticed, that when a verb commencing with a long syllable has the agent expressed by the pronominal suffix دُ, the first syllable may be soparated from the verbal theme and the pronominal suffix ${ }^{5}$ inserted between it and the remainder of the verb, but only when preceded by the prefix ; and followed by the



Those verbs, which do not take the prefix ${ }_{\prime}$ in the Imperative, forego it also in the Aorist. In the Aorist of $\boldsymbol{g}$ (p. p. of وَّبَ) and ${ }^{\circ}$ (p. p. of $5_{5^{\wedge}}^{\prime}$ ) the prefix ', may be optionally dropped.

The causal derivatives are usually dissolved in the Aorist into their component parts and join with the noun in question the Aorist of كَّ (cf. §. 165, 6), as: zah è jor kr -am, I was made whole by him. The prefix, is never used with them, the verb being considered composite. The adjective must agree with its subject in gender and number, but also substantives, euding in a consonant, usually take the fem. termination.

Some defective verbs have a separate form for the Aorist, as shown in §. 143.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ذورُ دُى ذِ, }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then she made a slit in the tent and said: come, look! She called Zulaiy $\bar{a}$ and placed her there.

Yusuf and Zulaigā (Dorn, Chr. p. 209).


Why were we not informed by you of this accident, that happened?
Tārī $\chi$-i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 7).

بِى ونَائَيْ بَغَازَه كَهَه

His younger brother thought: wealth has directed its face towards the end (i. e. will cease) and heaven has commenced the practice of unfaithfulness. Kalīlah ó Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 86).


The road (was) narrow, the night dark and the Mughals without guide; they missed the road and ran into perdition.
§. 147.
5) The habitual Aorist.

This tense is formed by adding to the Aorist the particle $x^{\prime}$, which may precede or follow it. The habitual Aorist of those verbs, which do not take the prefix ; in the Aorist, outwardly coincides with the habitual Imperfect, so that the proper tense must be gathered from the context.

That woman, who was well known amongst the people by her wickedness and villany, used to take slave-girls for the sake of prostitution. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 111).


When did ever the Sheikh discontinue love from his head? His hand does not reach it and (so) there is abstinence. Xušh āl (Gulsh. 1I, p. 56, 2).

## C. Tenses and moods, which are formed by means of the participle perfect and the auxiliary ,to be"c.

By means of the participle perfect and the auxiliary "to be" three tenses and three moods are formed, viz: 1) The Perfect 2) The Subjunctive of the Perfect 3) The Pluperfect 4) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect 5) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect 6) The Future past.

But as the intrans. and trans. verbs follow a different construction in these tenses (and moods), we must treat of both classes separately.
§. 148.

## I. Intransitive verbs.

1) The Perfect.

The Perfect is formed by the participle perfect (see §. 18) and the auxiliary verb ${ }^{-\quad}$ yam*), I $^{*}$ am etc. (cf. §. 162,1 ), the participle agreeing with its subject in gender and number, as: نينَلَحَ tṣ̆-al-ai yam, masc., نَبْلَ يُم tṣ ̆-al-e yam, fem, I have fled; Plur.


Some verbs connect a synonymous adjective with the auxiliary يُ etc. instead of the participle perfect, as he has died
 seated (instead of the heavy كنبينَاستَلَى גَم , which is not used),


The intrans. derivatives are dissolved into their component parts in the Perfect and add to the noun the auxiliary نَوْى يُم etc. (cf. §. 163, 9). The adjective must agree with its subject in gender and number, but the substantive also, if it end in a consonant, may be treated like an adjective. Many derivatives are already treated like primitives, without being dissolved into their component parts; with some both forms are in use, as , I have understood.

This pestilence has stuck to every house, to every abode. $H^{\prime}$ amīd (Gulch. II, p. 94).


* The auxiliary may follow or precede the participle.

In our time calumny has become much, the noses of the people have been filled of smell, they do not understand that smell. Favāid uš-šarī̄̆ăh (Gush. I; p. 59).


Friendship has been changed to enmity by the words of evilspeaking people.

Kalīlah oo Damanah (Gush. I, p. 84).
§. 149.
2) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.

This mood is only used in the III. pers. Sing. and Plur. and is formed in the same way as the Indicative of the Perfect, only or شَوى وِی, the Subjunctive of the auxiliary (see §. 162, 2; §. 163,10 , being substituted. About the use of this mood see §. 202.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { كُنِل وِى دَلنَّه رَاغلَى تَا لِيحَلَىْ }
\end{aligned}
$$

He is a beautiful prince, Bahrām is his name, Perhaps he has come here and was seen by thee. Bahrām, V. 535.

$$
\text { §. } 150 .
$$

3) The Pluperfect.

The Pluperfect is formed in the same way as the Perfect, only the auxiliary ومُ etc. (§. 162, 4) or (\$. 163, 11)
 become level.

On that day, when Babran was gone out for hunting, Another deer had risen before his face. Bahrām, V. 514.
§. 151.
4) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.

The Paṣ̆to forms also a Subjunctive of the Pluperfect by means of the prefix a ${ }^{-}$, which is either inserted between the participle perfect and the auxiliary, as: نَبَتَتَلَى بَه ورم, I would have been entangled, or which may precede the participle (separated by one
 On the use of this mood see §. 216, 3; 204.


Ere now the house of my body would have been burnt by love, If wailing ha not come to my assistance. Abd-ul-H amid.

$$
\text { §. } 152 .
$$

5) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.

The Conditional of the Pluperfect is formed by the participle
 uninflected (§. 162, 6). The derivatives add to the noun شوّى (§) etc. (§. 163, 13); the adjective (and the participle must agree with its subject in gender and number; substantives also, ending in a consonant, may take the fem. termination, as noticed already (§. 149).

This mood is also used in optative sentences, with or without an optative particle. On the use of the Conditional of the Pluperfect see §. 205; 216, 3.

Would, that I had not been born, that I had not come into the world! Yusuf and Zulai$\chi \bar{a} \bar{a}$ (Dorn, Chrest. p. 198).
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\&. 154.
6) The past Future.

This tense is formed by the participle perfect and the auxiliary etc. (§. 163, 14). The prefix verb, separated by one or more words.

On the use of this tense see $\S .206$.


Besides God not one knows them; on earth they will not have come into the heart of anybody.

Favāid uš-šarī̄̌̆h (Gulsh. I, p. 75).

$$
\text { §. } 155 .
$$

## II. Transitive (and causal) verbs.

Transitive and causal verbs have in all the past tenses a passive signification and must therefore be constructed accordingly, the agent being pat in the Instrumental. Where the agent is not expressed the (proper) passive voice must be resorted to. But in the Perfect, Pluperfect and past Futare (i. e. in the tenses made up by means of the participle perfect) the agent is often not mentioned, as : رُّ , , it has been said (Pers. (آْرُرَ آند, so that the construction of these tenses approaches to some extent that of the Passive.

The causal derivatives are usually dissolved in the Perfect etc. into their component parts (cf. §. 18, c) and connect with the adjective or substantive the participle perfect of $S_{\Sigma}$, , to which the auxiliary verb is added in the same way as to the


In the modern language however they are frequently treated like primitive causals. It is understood, that the participle perfect must agree with its subject in gender and number. In the case of the derivative causals the adjective also (and partly the substantive) must conform to the gender and number of the sabject.
§. 156.

## 1) The Perfect.

This tense is formed by the participle perfect and the auxiliary (§. 165, 9) respectively. Those verbs, which take the Plural in the Imperfect (cf. §. 143, 1), are also constracted with the Plural in the Perfect (Pluperfect etc.), as : خَنَلْىَ يُى טِى he has laughed, كَ ثَرْمَايَلِى دِى, he has commanded. The auxiliary may follow or precede the participle.

Say to him: I have been sent by Gul-andāmah; disclose to my thy circumstances, o Qalandar! Bahrām, V. 890.


No sensible man has changed ready money for the repose of credit. Kalīlah $\overline{0}$ Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 96).

$$
\text { §. } 157 .
$$

2) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.

This mood, which is only used in the III. pers. Sing. and Plural, is formed in the same way as the Perfect Indicative, only etc. being substituted as auxiliary.

#  

Perhaps this letter is written by some one as a jokc.
Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 97).

$$
\text { §. } 158 .
$$

3) The Pluperfect.
 as auxiliary.

He had not yet seen battles, no beard was on his face.
Tārī $\nless-$-i murassaz ((Gulsh. I, p. 46).


Bāzindah said: I had heard, that in travelling experience is gained. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Dorn, Chrest. p. 13).

The king explained a scheme, which he had prepared in his heart. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 182).
§. 159.
4) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.

This mood is formed by substituting the auxiliary ${ }^{\prime}$ gx etc. The prefix $\begin{gathered}\text { بَ usually precedes the participle, the auxiliary following }\end{gathered}$ it, as: بَه مَا لِبََنَى وُ, he would have been seen by me, but the auxiliary may also precede the participle, as: بَّ میى وُه لبَخَلَى When the auxiliary follows the participle, the prefix may also



If a mountain of iron had been before him, he would also have severed it. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 180).

If the rein would be in my hand, I would have freed my back from the burden and thy foot from going.

Kalīlah ס Damanah (Dorn, Chrest. p. 12).
§. 160.
5) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.
 On its use see §. 205; 216, 3.



If thou also hadst seen such (things), as I have seen, perhaps you also would have left the desert out of grief about them. Kalīlah $\bar{o}$ Damanah (Dorn, Chrest. p. 16).
§. 161.

## The past Future.

This tense is formed by substituting the auxiliary etc. The prefix usually precedes the participle, the auxiliary following
 auxiliary may also precede the participle, as: زَه بَع يُى يُم لِبََِلَى On the use of this tense see §. 206.


Some assembly of men said: it will be some talisman; for the sake of the preservation of the treasure something will (= may) have been written by him.

Kalīlah ō Damanah (Dorn, Chrest. p. 4:

This nobody will have said, that in separation the heart is quiet. Ah'mad Shāh (Gulsh. II, p. 212).
§. 162.

## IV. The auxiliary verbs,

In order to complete the conjugational process, the Paṣ̆to requires different auxiliary verbs, which have been partly pointed out already. As they offer many irregularities in their conjagation, they mast here be treated separately.

> I. The auxiliary verb "to be".

This verb has no infinitive; in its lieu is substituted, whenever neccssary, the verbal theme joms onseed-al, to exist, to remain (Sansk. ₹्रास्). Thence also is taken :

The Imperative.

Plur. اوَسْتُى ōs-aī, be ye.
The Imperative does not admit the prefix '; see §. 124.

## 1）The Present．

Sing．
م ${ }^{2}$ ；zah yam，I am．
＊）（Aُ


## Plur．

مُوي i＇mū⿱丷天 yū，we are．
 you are．

The forms yam，yē or $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ quite agree with the Persian substantive verb；in Paṣ̣̆to euphonic y is added，which however disappears again，when it is enclitically joined to another verb （cf．§．135）．The III，pers．Sing．دَى（fem．כֹ）is rather curious． The Sindhī uses ॠ्रय（Prāk．ऋतिथ and enclitically तिय），
 Plural טِ the termination－nah ${ }^{* *}$ ）is also added，but only in the older language and in poëtry．
＊）In some Mss．this $\mathbf{~ i s ~ o n l y ~ e x p r e s s e d ~ b y ~ K a s r , ~ w h i c h ~ m u s t ~}$
 be thankful，that thou belongest to the religion of the prophet Muh＇ammad． Gulsh．II，p．116， 2.
＊＊）Raverty in his Grammar，§．283，quotes an example from the Tavallud nāmah，in which the termination nah is also added to the

Besides for the Sing. and the Plural (com.), which is identical with the Persian סَسْت (Sansk. root ₹स्, to be), initial h being only a euphonic addition, which is already found in the Pārsī (Pārsī
 as regards its etymology, there is now this difference in signification, that only expresses the copula, whereas شِّ , شَّ always refers to something existing. Occasionally both are used together, as: شَتَه טَى etc. In the II. pers. Plur. we find also, besides
 in which initial ya has been lengthened. The negative form ذيست
2) The Subjunctive.

The Paṣ̆to forms the Subjunctive from the root ${ }^{2}$, like the Persian (بُوم), but it is only employed in the III. pers. Sing. and Plural, as:

## Sing. and Plural.

وِى vī (وِيَّ vī-nah), he, she, they may be.
gu de vī, he, she, they should be.
II. pers. Sing., the only instance I have ever met with; the words are:
 (= prophet). For the present this point must be left in abeyance, as I have no means of comparing the quotation from the Tavallud-Nāmah with a Mss.
*) But the pronunciation nahštah is also in use. In poëtry also نشتَه خَى is met with.

If a Subjunctive should be absolutely necessary for the other
 (without the prefix ', cf. §. 124).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ڭِوُ خْبَهْ شَرْ تَر خْولى يَسْتَتَى نَّ وِى }
\end{aligned}
$$

As long as a man may not have let out a word from his mouth, every vice and virtue is *) hidden in him. Gulistān (Gush. I, p. 155).

يْو وِى
Madād and Madad also spoke thus to them: but the chief of the foot-soldiers should be one from us. Tärīz-i murassa3 (Gulsh. I, p. 8).

## 3) The Future.

As the Subjunctive is not used in the I. and II. pars. Sing. and Plural, the corresponding persons of the Present are employed, but in the III. pers. Sing. and Plural the Subjunctive is replaced.

Sing.

 ( $\mathrm{\sigma}$ ha yah ba vī (vīnah), he, she will be.

## Plur.



[^44] (west.), you will be.

4) The Aorist.

The Paṣ̣to possesses no Imperfect of the auxiliary verb „to be", but only an Aorist, like the Persian, which is derived from the Sansk. root $\boldsymbol{\text { मू. In Prākrit }} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ is changed to hō (hava, Varar. VIII, 1), and this form is made use of in the modern Prākrit idioms of India, but the Paṣ̣̣to (like the Persian) has preserved initial (but unaspirated) $b=v$ (vu-m, Pers. بُـوذَم bū-dam*).

Sing.

g̦
(') ('ر) masc. hayah vuh, he
هَغَx وَ، fem. hayah văh, she $\}$ was.

Plur.
مُور وُ mūz vã, we were.

*) The Paṣ̆to ru-m corresponds to the Sindhī होसि, I was, see my Sindhi Gram. p. 304, Annot. The Persian ${ }^{\text {mas }}$ is to be referred to the Sansk. past part. यूत.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (و) masc. hayah vū (vū-nah) }
\end{aligned}
$$

He had also so many slaves, who were all beautiful;
All had golden girdles, in their face they were fairer than the moon. Yusuf and Zulai $\overline{\bar{a}}$ (Dorn, Chrest. p. 205).
5) The habitual Aorist.

This tense is formed by means of the particle x, which is added to the Aorist, as: ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} x^{-} \times x^{-}$, I I was or I used to be. This tense is also used to express the Subjunctive of the Imperfect in the main part of a conditional sentence. It has been shown already, that, joined as auxiliary to a participle perfect, it serves to express the Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.

It is to be noticed, that ${ }^{\prime}$ g' x etc., when used in the main part of a conditional sentence, the dependent part of which contains the Conditional or the Subjunctive of the Pluperfect (with the conjunction $\times \bar{\Sigma}$ ), is to be likewise translated as a Subjanctive of the Pluperfect.

*) The form ${ }^{\text {g }}$ gum is properly a contraction from vu-am, the initial a of the flexional termination (am) being dropped; in on the other hand the radical $u$ has been ejected, as before all the person had to be fixed; the Plural gg (instead of vu-al) is a curious


When he came from Karbūyah to Sūniālah, a company used to be with him, he always made predatory inroads. Tārī $\chi$-i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 42).

لَا بَه بَهِ وِه كَه دِ حَال تَر دَا بَتَّت وِى

Respecting the pain about thee the physician says to Rah'mān: It would be still better, if thy state were worse than it is. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 27, 2).



If thou also hadst been asleep, it would have been much better than that thou didst fall into the criticism of others. Gulistān.
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Aorist.

As this auxiliary is not possessed of an Imperfect, the Conditional is derived from the Aorist, which is not the case with any other verb. This mood is used in the dependent part of a conditional sentence*) (with the conjunction $\times$ ), in optative sentences and not unfrequently also in final sentences, governed by the final conjunction $x \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{Y}$ (in order that), see §. 198, 3.

Sing.


> Plur.
 (If) we, you, they, were, or: would that we etc. were!

[^45]

Then said Kanjur: Bahrām is not here;
If he were, every body would surely know it. Bahrām, V. 564.



If we had been in the time of our fathers, we would not have taken part with them in the blood of the prophets. Matth. 23, 30.

Would that I were the dust and ashes of thy door, that thy foot might be always on my head!

> Rah mān (Gulsh. II, p. 27, 2.)

It is remarable, that the Paṣ̌to, like the Sindhī, has not formed a Perfect and Pluperfect from this root (みू); in most cases the Aorist is substitated in their stead or some other circumscription of these tenses is resorted to, when necessary.
§. 163.
II. The auxiliary verb شَوْ šv-al, to become*).

شؤك is not only used as auxiliary with derivative verbs, but is also employed in the formation of the Passive §. 170.
 is still found in Paṣ̣̂to; also the Persian auxiliary signifies originally 'to go'. It is very interesting, that in Hindi, Panjābi etc. the passive voice is likewise made up by means of an auxiliary, which signifies 'to go' (جَانَ).

Many adjectives and substantives, when connected with شـوْل, express the idea of a verb, without being moulded into a regular derivative verb, as: رْآن شوَل ravān šv-al, to depart, فَكْر شوَل frār šv-al, to flee etc.

The Imperative.
Sing.


Plur.
š-aī or or vo sìaī, become ye.

1) The Present.

Sing.
;َ zah š-am I become. لَx شَى tah š-ē thou becomest. कَغَه شِشى hayah si-ī, he, she becomes.

Plur. مُور شُو mūz تَاسِى شَتُى tāse š-aī you become.

2) The Subjunctive of the Present.

This mood may be formed with or without the prefix ', but when etc. forms the Subjunctive of a derivative verb
(§. 132), the prefix ${ }^{\prime}$ is prohibited; the same is generally the case, when a noun with $\dot{\text { in }}$ expresses the idea of a verb.

Sing.





## Plur.

'rو2 mūz̃ suū, vơ šū, we may become. تَاسِى شَشُمُى , وُ شَبُى



It is becoming, that I should kill them, o beloved!
That this way may become safe from this trouble. Bahrām, V. 171.

A maund of scented oil becomes fetid by one fly:
The bad should not become for one time the companion of any one. Kalīlah $\overline{0}$ Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 84).

## 3) The Future.

This tense is formed from the Subjunctive by means of the prefix بَ.

Sing.
和 zah bah šam, zah bah vŏ šam, I shall become.

 she will become.

Plur.

 you will become.
 will become.


A great war of yours will take place with Mīrzā, bat the victory will be yours. Tārī $\chi$-i marassas (Gulsh. I, p. 8).

After death all will be alike together, if it be a beggar, a banker or a king. Xavājah Muh' ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 107, 3).
4) TheImperfect.

Sing.

 xt ayah si -ah, he

Plur.

تَاسِى شوَلْتِى , شَوْتِى tāse šv-al-aī, šv-aī, you became.

švū (švūnah), $m$. they became.



Day and night thou wentst in search of this transitory (world), thou turnedst thy back on eternity, o indiscreet one! Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, 111, 1).

## 5) The habitual Imperfect.

This tense is derived from the Imperfect, by means of the particle 4 , which may precede or follow the verb.

Sing.
aah bah šv-al-am, bah šv-am, I used to become etc. etc. (quite like the Imperfect).

Our works were these, that we used to be present in the mosque at such a time, when the summons to prayer were heard there. Favāid uš-šarī̄̆̄̆h (Gulsh. I, 70).
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.

Sing.


I, thon, he, she should become, or: would, I, thon, he, she would become!

Plur.

We, you, they should become, or: would, we, you, they would become!

Would that after death my grave would be in such a place, that there would be on it always the way of the fair ones, how beautiful would it be! Xušhāal (Gulsh. II, p. 54, 3).

> 7) The Aorist.

The Aorist may or may not take the prefix, vor; with a derivative verb the prefix ; is prohibited (§. 139).

Sing.
zah v̌ šv-al-am, vǒ šv-am or:
zah ṡv-al-am, šv-am
etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).

## 8) The habitual Aorist.

The habitual Aorist is formed from the simple Aorist by means of the particle $\underset{x}{-}$. When the Aorist is not preceded by the prefix ', the habitual Aorist and the habitual Imperfect outwardly coincide and only the context can decide the tense.

Sing.
范 zah bah vǒ švalam, bah vŏ švam or:
zah bah švalam, bah švam
I used to become; ctc. etc.

I used to teach him the kalimah; if he became a Musalmān, I used to give him quarter, if not, I killed him. Tārī -i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 42). $^{\text {a }}$

## - 243 -

9) The Perfect.

Sing.


Plur.


I have not become enamoured with thee from my own account, from thy side the call had been made on me. Rah 'mān. (Gush. II, p. 5, 3).
10) The Subjunctive Perfect.

Sing.

Plur.
(com.) šavī vī, they may have become.
*) The other form شوّْىْ invalai (fem. شوّلى sivale) is not much in use.

## 11) Pluperfect.

Sing.


## Plur.


12) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.
Sing.

 follows the auxiliary, as: شَوْى ومْ بُه

Plur.

13) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sing. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(If) I, thou, he, she bad become; or: would, that I etc. had become!
(If) we, you, they had become; or: would that we etc. had become!
14) The past Future.

Sing.



## - 246 -

Plur.

§. 164.

## III. The auxiliary كبی́ kēd-al, to be made.

This auxiliary is chiefly used in the formation of the Passive voice. It is regular but defective, being only used in the Present, Future and Imperfect; for the other tenses and moods شُؤ is substituted. This auxiliary is important also for this reason, that it furnishes the terminations for the intrans. verbs ending in ēd-al, initial $k$ only being dropped (cf. §. 116, c).

1) The Present.

Sing.
; ; zah kēzz-am, I am made.

ى

Plur.




## - 247 -

2) The Future.

Sing.
.
etc. etc. (like the Present).
3) The Imperfect.

Sing.
; زَ


هَ هَغَ كبَحَه mase., hayah kēd-ąh, he was made. هَغَه كبَدَلَه, ,بَحَه fem., hayah kēd-al-ăh, kēd-ăh, she was made.

Plur.

 , كبيَنَ © fem., hayah kēd-al-ē, kēd-ē they were made.
4) The habitual Imperfect.

> Sing.
 to be made, etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
*) The prefix', is never used with the Future, there being no Subjunctive of the Present in use.

§. 165.
IV. The auxiliary $\int_{\delta}^{\text {s }} \mathrm{kr}$-al, to make, to do.

This auxiliary is regular and complete.
Imperative.

Plur.
When $\int_{5}$ sis used as an auxiliary (with causal derivatives), the prefix ', is not used in the Imperative (§. 129).

## 1) The Present.

## Sing.



Gayah kr-ī, he, she does.

## Plur.

' mūzz kr-ū, we do.
تَاسِى كَّكِّى

2) The Subjunctive of the Present.

Sing.
مَ
和 tah vŏ kr-ē, thou mayst do.
.

Plur.
, مُو, mūz̃ vơ kr-ū, we may do.
تَاسِى و'كَكْتَى tāse vo kr-aī, you may do.



## 3) The Future.

Sing.




## Plur.

, مو, mūz̃ bah vŏ kr-ū, we shall do.



 the Subjunctive prefix is frequently dropped, especially in poëtry, as:


## - 250 -

4) The Imperfect.
(Passive construction).
Sing.
涪 tah è kr-è, thou wast made by him. m., hayah è kar, kr-ah, he was made by him.


Plur.
, mūzz ē kr-ū, we were made by him.


5) The habitual Imperfect.

## Sing.

zah bah è kr-am, I used to be made by him, etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
 It is understood, that the pronoun ${ }^{\text {J. }}$ does not belong to the conjugation itself, but is only added, to show the construction of these tenses. Any other agent (be it a noun, a personal pronoun, demonstrative etc.) in the Instrumental may take its place.
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect ${ }^{*}$ ).

(If) by me, thee, him, her, us, you, them (he, she, they) would be made; or: would, that by me etc. would be made!

> 7) The Aorist.
> Sing.
 (like the Imperfect).

> 8) The habitual Aorist.

Sing.
 him, etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).


They also told stories (and) narratives of every country. If those used to mention Rūm, she called to mind the name of Egypt. Yusuf and Zulaix $\bar{a}$ (Dorn, Chrest. p. 190).
*) This mood however is seldom used; كمَلَّى etc. may be referred to the Sing. and Plur. masc. and fem., as it remains unchanged.
**) مـصـر ذُوم is, properly speaking, a grammatical mistake; we should expect either نُوم مصر :or ذَ مصر ذُوم.

One artifice he had concealed from me; by that artifice I was put down to-day by him. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 180).

I have done wrong, that thou wast oppressed by me without a fault (innocently). Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 178).
9) The Perfect.

Sing.
zah ē karai (kare f.) yam, I lave been made by him.
" made by him.
 by him.
© by him.

Plur.
'A muž̃ ē kaṛī yū, we have been made by him.


10) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.

Sing.
 may have been made by him.
*) The other participial form كـك

Plur.
 by him.
11) The Pluperfect.

Sing.
 made by him.
gl " made by him.
 by him.
 by him.

## Plur.


 m. ha yah en kari vul, (they had been made

12) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.

Sing.
z ah bah er karaj (kaye f.) vim, I would have been made by him, etc. etc. (like the Pluperfect).
13) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.

Sing.


" " " " " "xích ha yah" " " " "
(If) I, thou, he, she would have been made by him, or: would that I, thou, he, she would have been made by him!

Plur.

(If) we, you, they would have been made by him, or: would that we, you, they would have been made by him!
14) The past Future.

Sing.

In "
" " " "
I, thou, he, she will have been made by him.

## - 255 —

Plur.

CE تَا
وِ ", , , hamah bah ", " vii.
We, you, they will have been made by him.
§. 166.
V. The auxiliary كَ $k$ كav-al, to do, to make.

This auxiliary is partly irregular and defective.
Imperative.


Plur.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {,' ' vớ kav-aī }
\end{aligned}
$$

The prefix ', is prohibited, when $\bar{j} \bar{\xi}$, in connexion with an adjective or substantive forms a causal derivative (§. 129), otherwise it may be optionally used or dropped.

## 1) The Present.

Sing.
jj zn aah kav-am, I do.
تَ تَ كَوى

*) ', is more a Precative; see \&. 120.

## Plur．

＇مو2 mūz̃ kav－ū，we do．
تَاسى كَبْثِى
＊）

2）The Subjunctive of the Present．

> Sing.

符 rah cor kav－am，vol k－am，（that）I do，I may do．

 vo ka，vol k－ī，vo kānde，（that）he，she do．
（تَغْx د ，＇كِوى＊＊）ha yah de vol kavī，etc．，he，she，should do．

## Plur．



 vŏ ka，vŏ k－ī，vo kānde，that they do．

[^46]
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 گَ سَرَّ وُ كَا
Don't give so much power to a friend, that, if by chance he become an enemy, he may compete with thee.

Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 181).
 هَم بَآ كَا
. Every one should refrain from such an aberration, all the other Afghans too should remember (this). Maұzan-i Paṣtō.
(Gulsh. I, 136 and 137).
3) The Future.

Sing.
*) زَ zn بَx , rah bah vo kav-am, rah bah vo k k-am, I shall do, etc. etc. (like the Subjunctive)
4) The Imperfect ${ }^{* *}$ ).
(Passive construction).
Sing.
كَ , كَ was done by him.
 done by him.

## Plur.


*) The prefix ' is often dropped.
**) Of كَوْ the personal passive form is not used in the I. and II. person Sing. and Plural, instead of them the Imperfect of $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{S}}$ is substituted.

## 5) The habitual Imperfect.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { كَ } \\
& \text { he (it) used to be done by him ct. } \\
& \text { (like the Imperfect). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The prince warded off his stroke with fine art, in different manners he practised manly skill. Babrām, V. 240.

> That oppressor was talking with his friends (saying): I do not comprehend, from whence this fire came upon my house.
> Gulistān (Gush. I, p. 179).

By the gain of those she used to get her subsistence. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulch. I, p. 111).
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect*).

(If) by me, thee, him, her, us, you, them, he, she, they would be done, or: would that by me etc. would be done!
*) This mood is seldom used; كَوْلَى etc. undergoes no change for gender or number.

## 7) The Aorist.

## Sing.

 $k \bar{a}$, vŏ kaī, he (it) was done by him.
 was done by him.

> Plur.
vŏ $k-a$, , vŏ $k a \bar{a}$,

It has been narrated, that God made a revelation to the prophet Mūsā. Favāid uš-šarī̄̌̆ăh (Gulsh. I, 58).


I did not inflict upon him any defeat in the battles.

$$
\text { Bahrām, V. } 190 .
$$

The friends passed their turns in succession. 3Abd-ul-Qādir (Gulsh. II, p. 197, 3).
*) In the Aorist the forms ${ }^{\text {*) }}$ ' but not so much as the short ones. In the fem. Plural

8) The habitual Aorist.
 vŏ $k \bar{a}$, vŏ kai, he (it) used to be done by him. etc. etc. (like the Aorist).
Of of it the participle perfect of $J_{5}^{5}$ is substituted, but a conjunctive participle past (in connexion with شنوَ, to be able) is derived from it (كَوْتَى). In poëtry a participle perfect is occasionally met with, as:

If no information about Bahrām is (was) brought by thee to me,
0 Shabrang, thy head will be cut off! Bahrām, V. 530.
But in a personal passive construction (I. II. pers. Sing. and Plur.) only $\underset{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}{\square}$ is used.

$$
\text { §. } 169 .
$$

## V. The compound verb.

The Paṣ̌to has not quite lost the power to form two verbs into one by putting the first in the conjunctive participle past, as it is so frequently done in the modern Indian Prākrit idioms. But this junction of two verbs is no longer in general use in Paṣ̣to, but restricted to compositions with the verb $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{*}}$ *), to be able, whereas even the Persian has retained the power to join the
 خوَاستَن to one (grammatical) whole**).
 past conjunctive.
**) In Persian the rule is generally put down thus, that with the verbs quoted the final $\cup^{-}$of the Infinitive is rejected. But this is

The signification of $\underset{5}{5}$ in such connexions is rather carious. We have seen already, that شوَل ignifies 'to go', 'to become', like the Persian شُخَن, but in a compound verb, which denotes 'to be able', this meaning is inadmissible. As this whole formation points to the Sindhī, so also very likely the etymology of شوَّ must be sought in Sindhī. The Sindhī uses for this purpose सघणु sagh-anu, to be able, Hindī सकना sak-nā (Sansk. एक्त); from this root sagh first sag has sprung, thence, owing to the predilection of the Paṣ̆to for conjunct consonants, sg, and with transition of g to v (which is not uncommon even in Persian) sv-al (or šv-al, initial spassing at the same time into š). For this etymology speaks also this peculiar circumstance, that شُؤ, when signifying 'to be able', is constructed as a transitive verb in the past tense, when compounded with a transitive verb, just like सघणु in Sindhī. Both verbs, to go, to become and to be able, though identical in outward form, must therefore be well distinguished from each other.

As in Sindhī so also in Paṣ̣̆̆ onother verb is joined with Sí to be able, by being put in the past conjunctive participle. The termination of the past conj. participle is in Sindhī yō (Sansk. य and Prākrit इत्ञ) and analogously in Paṣṣo ai or lengthened ${ }^{-} \bar{a} \bar{e}$, or al-ai, al-ā $\bar{e} *$ ). As regards the formation of the past conjunctive participle it concides with that

[^47]of the participle perfect, with the only but natural difference, that the past conjunctive participle does not andergo any change for gender or number, as little as in Sindhī.

Any verb may thus be joined in the past conj. participle with شؤ , except the derivatives, which cannot form a simple participle perfect. In the case of the intrans derivatives a circumscription must be resorted to, where necessary, by such
 power or تُوَانيََل may form a past conj. participle or the causal derivatives may form a regular participle perfect. E. g. who can create? (Gulch. II, p. 29, 2).
 make green.

The Pasto uses this compound verb only in the Present, the Future, the Imperfect and Aorist; the other tenses and moods, if absolutely required, must be circumscribed, as pointed out. In the Present and Future there is no difference between intrans. and transitive (causal) verbs, both being formed in the same way.

1) The Present.

Sing.

I, thou, be (she) can arrive.

Plur.


We, you, they can arrive.
2) The Future.

Sing.
 be able to arrive etc. (like the Present).

Who can ascend from earth to heaven? this place he has given to Jesus.
Who can speak with God? with this dignity he has honoured Moses. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 29, 2).

If thou eatest anything, thou art pained as by poison; thou canst not eat, thou canst not drink anything in old age. Rah'mān.
(Gulsh. II, p. 20, 2.)

[^48]

Soul and faith must be entrusted to him, whose order has become binding on every one. Rah' man (Gulsh. II, p. 20, 2).


At the ascension of the dead he will not be able to go, if he have littleness of effort in his heart. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 69, 3).
3) The Imperfect.
4) The Aorist.

Both tenses coincide, the prefix ', not being used with the Aorist. Intransitive verbs are constructed personally, but transitive (causal), as noticed already, passively, the agent being put in the Instrumental; we must therefore consider both separately.

## a) Intransitive verbs.

Sing.


I, thou, he, she could arrive.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Plur. } \\
, \quad \text { mūž rasēdalai (etc.) švū }
\end{gathered}
$$

| شنوبِى | رَسِحَهِّ | تَاسِى tāse | " | ṡvaī |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| شٌول | " | xغَ̇ m. hayah | " | šval |
| شوّكِ | " | هِغ f. hayah | " | šval-ē |

We, you, they could arrive.


Up to Balar they came after him at some distance, near him they could not come. Tārī $\chi$-i murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 49).
b) Transitive and causal verbs.

It is to be noticed, that in this tense (Imperfect and Aorist) only the third person Sing. and Plural can be employed, according to the following paradigm:

## Sing.


He , she could be seen by me etc.

## Plur.


They could be seen by me etc.
*) Instead of or or all the pronouns (by thee, him etc.) or any agent may of course be used.

He remained within the tombs and by nobody he could be bound even with chains. Mark. 5, 3.

And in that place a miracle could not be done by him. Mark 6, 5.

That artifice, which he had concealed, he brought upon him, by (his) disciple it could not be warded off ${ }^{* *}$ ). Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 180).

## VI. The Passive Voice.

## §. 170.

The Paș̌to is not possessed of a proper Passive voice as the Sindhī and partly the Panjābī, but it must resort to a composition in order to form a Passive. For this purpose the participle preterite and the participle perfect is employed, which are connected with the auxiliary $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{w}}$ and (more rarely) with Jís, the participle agreeing with its subject in gender and number. The participle preterite (cf. §. 143) is only used in the simple tenses, i. e. in the Present, the Subjunctive Present, the Future, the Imperfect and Aorist, in the compound tenses only the participle perfect is used, which however may be equally used in the simple tenses also.

[^49]The Passive is distinguished from the passive construction of active and causal verbs (in the past tenses) by the absence of an agent; as soon as the agent is added, the (proper) Passive can no longer be used ${ }^{*}$ ). It is understood, that a Passive can only be formed from active and causal verbs.

## The Imperative.

The Imperative is formed with the participle perfect or preterite and the Imperative of شَوَّل is not in use. The prefix ', is put before the participle (as also in the Subjunctive present and in the Aorist) or it may be omitted. In the Imperative of causal derivatives the prefix'; is not admitted, if the participleperfect (compound) be used, but also when the participle preterite is used, the prefix ', is rarely added. See the paradigm of the Passive, II. Appendix, V.


Be not caught in the friendship of the world, of the people, these shameless, faithless, impudent people. H'amìd (Gulsh. II, p. 91,1 ).

*) The instrumentality may be expressed in the Passive by the preposition $\times$ (see §. 174, 6), which is also referred to animate beings, but it must not be lost sight of, that in this case the stress is laid on the instrument, by means of which any thing is done, not on the agent proper. The preposition , $\bar{j}$, when used with a passive verb in the Present, Subjunctive present and Future, denotes properly the agent, else it implies: from the part, from the side of; cf. §. 174, 17.
**) About the prcfix '; in comnexion with $x^{\circ}$, see §. 171.
${ }^{* * * *}$ On the use of the Imperative, see $\S .192$.

When thou art not profited by the acquaintance of a friend, this useless, foolish bargain should not be made. H'amīd (Gulsh. H, p. 81, 1 ).

## 1) The Present.

This tense is formed by the participle perfect or preterite and the Present of the auxiliary كَبَّل or ciple perfect of causal derivatives only ${ }^{\text {in }}$ is connected, as: هؤ كْمَ شَمْ


If one illuminate mosques with lamps, to him are always forgiven the sins of seventy thousand years. Favāid uš-šarī iăh (Gulsh. I, p. 71).


Every man, who in the account is unscrupulous, on him blame is pronounced in every direction. Rah mān (Gulsh. II, p. 17, 3).


Make provision for the resurrection, o Bābū Jān! the hour (time) of the world is passed in every state (a man may be in). Bābū Jān, (Gulsh. I, p. 121).
2) The Subjunctive of the Present.

In this mood only the auxiliary شوَل is employed, as there is no Subjunctive of Jín (§. 164). The prefix ', is put before the participle, but when the participle perfect of causal derivatives is used, the prefix ${ }^{\prime}$, is prohibited.
 وَاخِست شِّى
Also before this now and then such an event took place, in order that advice should be taken from it. Kalīlah o Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 84).

## 3) The Future.

In this tense شيخل may be used with the participle perfect and preterite, but with the participle perfect of causal derivatives only is is connected. The prefix ', may be optionally used or omitted (cf. 163, 3) in the Future. (About كـيـخi see §. 164).



When this way may arrive at the end of thy travelling, the flower of (thy) desire will be put on thy head. Kalīlah ob Damanah (Gulch. I, p. 96).

Some little (Pl.) will be written in this place on their genealogy. Tārī $\chi$-i murassaz (Gulch. I, p. 36).


Every one, who puts dependance on this transitory breath, (he shall know): not is the wind bound with a chain. Rah 'man. (Gush. II, p. 5, 2).

## 4) The Imperfect.

This tense is formed with the participle perfect or preterite and with the auxiliaries شنوّ or of causal derivatives only شوّ is connected.


In the love of Laila he was so much captivated, that on his tongue the name of Laila was written. Bahrām V. 471.
5) The habitual Imperfect.

This tense is formed in the same way as the Imperfect, only the particle $\begin{gathered}\text { بَ bah being added, which usually precedes the parti- }\end{gathered}$ ciple, but may also follow it (or even the anxiliary).


Why would a blame be pronounced on me, if any one would know thy heart-ravishing? Rahímān (Gulsh. II, p. 27, 2).
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.

In this mood only $\dot{\text { in }}$ is used, as from no Conditional
 repulsed.

## 7) The Aorist.

In this tense the prefix '; is put before the participle perfect or preterite. As from kè kēd-ąl no Aorist iṣ formed, only شبَل can be used as auxiliary. The causal derivatives generally use in the Aorist the participle perfect, with which the prefix ; cannot be connected, the verb bcing composite *), but the primitive causals may optionally employ the participle perfect or preterite, with the prefix '

[^50]
## - 271 -




Ah'dad went at the inspection of the erection of batteries from one battery to another; he was hit by a musket (ball) and died. Tārī $\chi$-i murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 33).


Those who were sown amongst the thorns, are these, who hear the word and the temptation of the world and the deceit of wealth puts them down. Matth. 13, 22.


These few stories, which were related, were also written in this book. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 91).

> 8) The habitual Aorist.

This tense is formed by adding to the Aorist the particle $x_{0}^{\prime}$, which may either be put before the prefix ', (separated also by one or more words from it), or after the participle, preceding (and occasionally following) the auxiliary.

## 9) The Perfect.

In the Perfect and the following tenses and moods only the participle perfect is employed in connexion with the auxiliary (نشوَك ( etc.). In the Perfect (and also in the Pluperfect) however the Perfect of trans. verbs ( $\$ .155$ ); this is the case, when the Perfect is to be represented as continuing in its action to the Present.

وَيْتَى شَوْى نَى
It has been said, that the head of the animals is the lion and that the lowest of the beasts is the ass. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 174).


This is not the scrip of our actions, as our actions are not written on it. Favāid uš-šarīß̆ăh (Gulsh. I, p. 58).

## 10) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.

This mood is only used in the third person Sing. and Plur., as in the Active.

## 11) The Pluperfect.

This tense is formed by the participle perfect and the Pluperfect of شوّ , i. e. شُوْى وم etc.

For he had often been bound with fetters and with a chain, and the chain used be torn asunder by him and the fetters used to be broken by him. Mark 5, 4.
12) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.

This mood is formed by adding the prefix $x$ to the Pluperfect, which either precedes the participle or follows the same, preceding
 شَوْى بَه ومُ I would have been repulsed.
13) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.

This mood is formed by the participle perfect and the Cond-
 been repulsed, or: would that I had been repulsed!

## 14) The past Future.

This tense is formed by the participle perfect and the past
 the participle but may also follow it, preceding immediately the
 I will (= may) have been repulsed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { بَx ورْ كَمِى شَوِى وُ }
\end{aligned}
$$

This perfume will (may) have been sold for more than three hundred Dīnārs and they (the Dīnārs) would (then) have been given to the poor. Mark, 14, 5.

$$
\text { §. } 171 .
$$

The position of the negative adverbs and xo with the verb.

As the position of these two negative adverbs is very important for the conjugation of the verb, we must attend to it more closely. With the Imperative only the prohibitive negative as is used, which must always precede the verb; the prefix ; is in this case usually omitted, but $x$ s may also (though rarely) follow it. With the Imperative of the Passive $x=$ always precedes the
auxiliary *) and the prefix ', which always precedes the participle, may therefore be retained. Else $x^{-1}$ is only employed before the Subjunctive of the Present, and before the Optative (Conditional) of the Imperfect and Pluperfect, mostly in connexion with the interjection كَانشكى, would that!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { '; مَه بَاسَه لَهُ رَايَx }
\end{aligned}
$$

Do not hear an improper voice, do not talk with the mouth useless (things); o Bābū Jān, do not eject that old friend from (thy) thought! Bābū Jān (Gulsh. I, p. 122).

When the negative adverb $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{j}}$ 'not' is connected with the Present, it always follows the personal pronoun or demonstrative, as:
 in coordinate sentences, it is put at the beginning of the sentence, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ذَه بَx زَه دَ نَاخَلْفِ ثُكَاء مُعَاف كَمْ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Neither shall I forgive the sin of the degenerate, nor will God forgive the sin of $3 \mathrm{~A} z \overline{\mathrm{a}} \bar{z} \bar{l}$ (the devil).

When the verb is compounded with a separable prefix (§. 119), the negation $x \bar{j}$ is placed between the prefix and the verbal root, as: , be said: كَه كَبْبينَم , I do not sit, and: كَبْى نَح نَم the negation $\mathrm{j}^{\dot{j}}$ must always be put before the auxiliary (finite verb), as: لِيَّ نَه نِیى, he is not seen.

[^51]In the Subjunctive of the Present the negation $x^{\circ}$ ( $\times 0_{0}$ ) always follows the prefix ', as: وُ وَه وَائىى , he may not speak. But if the verb be compounded with a prefix (or noun), $x \bar{j}$ is placed between the prefix (or noun) and the verbal root, as in the Present (Indicative), because in these cases the prefix ; is not admitted in the Subjunctive, as: مَاتْ ذَه شَى it may not be broken.

When ${ }^{\text {ju }}$ the prefix ', (or the compound verb), because not a single nember of the sentence, but the whole sentence is negatived. When in the III. pers. Sing. or Plural the prefix $د$ be used (with or without the prefix ${ }^{\prime}$ ), the negation ${ }^{-}$jalways follows it (or both, $د$ and '),
 (or be done).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { گَ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Who does not eat it nor give it, do not look at lim, though he sit like a snake upon a treasure. H'amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 102, 3).

In the Future the negation $x^{\dot{j}}$ precedes likewise the verb and the prefixes or or verb is compounded with a separable prefix (or noun), the negation $\alpha^{\circ}$ is placed between the prefix (or the long syllable cut off from the root, §. 133) or the noun aid the verbal root, as in the Present, as: بَه وَا ذَه خْلَ I I shall not take (from بَه كنَ



At that time, when the web (of the loom) of the breath turns rotten, the weaver will not throw upon it his shuttle. Bābū Jān (Gulsh. I, p. 124).

In the Imperfect, the habitual Imperfect, the Aorist and the habitual Aorist the negation $x j$ is placed immediately before the verb or between the verbal root and the separable
 he did not sit down, رُر, $\hat{\prime}$, , he did not bring it;


In the tenses compounded with an auxiliary (Perfect etc.) the negation $x \dot{j}$ is always immediately put before the auxiliary, may the participle precede or follow it, as: or: ${ }^{\text {² }}$ the negation $\dot{\bar{j}}$ must always precede the finite verb, as: ${ }^{\circ}$
 (may) not have been seen.

## VII. Section.

## Adverbs, Prepositions, Postpositions, Conjunctions, Interjections.

§. 172.

1) The Adverb.

The Paṣ̌to forms no proper adverb, but the adjective is at the same time used in an adverbial sense; it remains either in the Sing. masc., if not referred to a particular subject or object, or, if the subject or object be mentioned, it agrees with them iv gender and number. When an adjective nearer definer another adjective in an adverbial sense, it must agree with it in gender, number and case.

I always eat the blood of the heart, like the tulip; I Xavājah Muh'ammad cannot openly wail. Xavājah Muhammad (Gulsh. II, p. 115,1 ).


He seized the boat firmly with his hand, sat in a corner and was quict. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 162).
دَا
By her beauty she is very, very graceful; therefore she distracts my heart and confuses it. Ah'mad Shäh (Gulsh. II, p. 203, 2).

What talkest thou without restraint, o babbler! why doest thou not ask forgiveness from God? H‘amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 97, 3).

The sense of an adverb is now and then expressed by the Feminine Sing. of the adjective with the preposition $x_{\hat{\psi}}$, in, by, as: Sing. of the adjective is also used, as: سَّ like thou), , سَرْ سَم or or ofually, in the same manner.

How long wilt thou not stand hardly*) with thy belly? with mildness wilt thou ever get away from the Uzbak? H'amid (Gulsh. II, p. 95, 2).

I have told thee plainly: do the whole work after this scheme. Mīrzā Xān Ansārī (Gulsh. II, p. 123, 2).

[^52]Substantives also with postpositions or prepositions are used

 before, especially in such compositions, as: وار , وَx وَار , continually,
 But also without prepositions or postpositions many substantives, especially those denoting place, time, manner, when accompanied by a demonstrative or adjective, are employed with an adverbial signification, as: دَغَx خَوًا, here (this side),
 (every day).
§. 173.
The Paṣ̣̆to possesses only a small number of proper adverbs, as: بیبخُر bēdū, exactly, تَرَثِى taraī, secretly, تَ tal, always, ever

 $\hat{ر}$ ور vrō, slowly, وَهـهر hado, at all, وَسسى thus, in this manner.

The adverbs may also take to themselves prepositions and postpositions, like other nouns, e. g. بם , , slowly. This is especially the case, when the adverb is repeated with a preposition,
 ever to ever $=$ continually; some adverbs may even be put in the Plural, as: تَل ذَر تَلو , continually.

We let here follow a survey of the most common adverbs of place and time:
a) Adverbs of place.

بَانی bānde, on, upon.
ger bahar, outside.
, بِبَارتَه biārtah, back, backwards, again.
$\hat{y}$ ؤه pōre, up to, till, over.
pōre ōre, right through.
شَورتَ pōrtah, above, on, upon.
حَايْبرْ
جِ čare

نَل
هُر جَرْتَه
هيُكِرْتْنَ hīčartah, no where.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}j \text { dale, } \\ x \text { join daltah }\end{array}\right\}$ here.
daltah - haltah, here and there.
دَنَنَه dananah, within.

لעا lande, below.
lande bānde, topsy turvy.
s lire, far.
© نز, nižde, near.
نَنَّ nanah, within.
1, varā
xaly, varāyah far.

ورستو, vrusto, behind, after.

هَلَتَه haltah, there.

هيستّه hīstah, here.
b) Adverbs of time.

خَ $\overline{\text { İ }} \neq \mathrm{ir}$, at last, finally. (Arab.)
شو ôs, now.

بَارَّيْ bārāyah, last night.
S? s? barbar, often, repeatedly.
biā, again.

mas, after.
تَ tal
تَر تَلَع F تر tar talah always; continually.
تَ تَل تَر تَكَه
( تَل تَهَ تَلَه
جَ čare, at any time; ever.
登 c̀are čare, now and then.
هِيْحِ hīčare, never.
صبـا sabā, to-morow.
侣 ball sabā, after to-morrow.
x
كَ كَx $\overline{\text { كَx }}$ kalah kalah, now and then.
tar kalah pōre, till how long?
وَر وar kalah, at any time; ever.

نَن nan, to-day.
هَالَّه hālah, then.
هَهْبشَ hamēšah always (Pers.).
§. 174.
2) Prepositions and Postpositions.

The Paṣto has only a few proper prepositions and postpositions; the mort important of them, which are employed in making up the cases, have already been mentioned in §. 65, so
that we may pass them here. But besides those the Paṣ̂to uses also a number of adverbs, which take the place of prepositions and postpositions and participate in their construction. Other adverbs again may take to themselves a preposition or postposition,


 before those days.

Substantives (and partly also adjectives), which, in connexion with a preposition or postposition, are used adverbially, are
 َ, 'after the manner of', 'like', though, when the sense requires it, other prefixes or postfixes may also be used, as: ,

We let here follow a survey of the most common prepositions and postpositions, most of which are originally adverbs.

$$
\text { 1) } 3 \text { bē, without (Pers. } \underset{1}{2} \text {, Sansk. वि). }
$$

When a noun ends in a consonant, a (or ah) is added to it
 in the Sing. or Plural are put in the Formative*).


Beauty without the beloved is of no use, like a tree not having fruits, (but) thick with leaves. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 16, 2).

[^53](2) be lah - nah, except, without (or only

I Rah'mān desire nothing else except my friend, If my prayer be accepted at the gate of God. Rah ${ }^{\text {manān (Gulsh. II, p. 4, 1). }}$
 the postix of the Ablative), as:

بِ طُوطِى نَه تَفَس هِبْخَ نَه دَىَ بَارَر كَّه
رُرح ذَّه مِثل دَ طُوطِى دَىْ تَنَ تَغَس

Without the parrot the cage is nothing, be sure of it, The spirit is like the parrot, the body the cage.
 $j$ - (2), $\geq$ or $j$ being used and constracted in the same way as ๗, e. g.


Do not fancy, that Xušh‘ā will be patient with another face, except the bright face of the friend. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, 33, 1).

> 2) بَاند bānde, on, upon (adv.).

By itself بَاذه is only used with the pronominal Formatives $-\bar{j}, \bar{j}, \bar{j}$, , otherwise it usually takes to itself the preposition x $\underset{\sim}{j}$ (see بَانَهِهُ -

When a friend calls on thee, show great magnanimity, as thy constitution is vigorous these five days. Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 108, 1).
3) يَر par, on, upon (Pers. بَر, Sansk. उपरि)

As regards its signification and construction it quite agrees with $\bar{x}$, but is not so much in use as this latter preposition.

Thou art an occan flowing upon waves, (but) nobody has dronk a mouthful from the ocean. Xarãjah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 105, 2).
4) u' pas,

The form

 $x \bar{x} \dot{\Phi}$, after that.

With the pronominal Formatives $\bar{j}, \bar{\nu}, \boldsymbol{j}$, always the form úa pase is used, as: , after me*). úm may also take to itself the Genitive prefix $\bar{j}$, as: $\min ^{m} \dot{\jmath}$, after this. To be
 is frequently connected with the prefix , under ā̃.

[^54]After two (or) three days he went out to hunt. Tarī $\chi^{-1}$ murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 48).

قؤرِ by itself is only used with the pronominal Formatives

 this side on). With the Genitive prefix sign signifies: beyond, as: جَورِ, َ كَنْهِى, beyond (on the other side) of the Kābul river. دِّرِ is frequently connected with the preposition see under $\times \underset{\text { T. }}{ }$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { دَ هوَيْمُى }
\end{aligned}
$$

The assignment of meeting was put on the horn*) of an antilope, when I had gone on this side of the river Narbada. Ašraf $\chi^{\bar{a}}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 159).


Further I would dread the taunting of my enemies, that they will laugh at (on) me. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 168).
6) xah, in, on, upou; by, with, onaccount of (Pers.
بَبَ, Pārsī pa).

This preposition has a variety of meanings; on its construction see §. 65, 7. The usual signification of $\times \overline{\text { r }}$ is: in , at, on, as:

[^55]

0 inferior one, do not sit in the place of the better ones! do not sell wool at the rate of silk! H'amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 102, 2).
$x$ fyrther signifies: by, with (denoting the thing or instrument, with or by which any thing is done), as:


The hero plays with his own blood; either I am victorions or I shall be cut to pieces by the swords. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 155).

A blind one is better, who sees nothing with his eyes, not he who ( $=$ than he, who) opens his eyes on another harem. Rah mān (Gulsh. II, p. 6).

When its roots grow strong, its trunk great, by how many men will it (the tree) be pulled out? tell me! Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 157).

גj may denote the direction, as: a mountain, to a village.

With the verbs: to consider, to take for, to exchange for, must be variously translated by: as, for etc., as:
 , رِّه هِنَّت وَرْكَوَل ; to to give as a favour

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 287 \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

0 money-man, do not pride thyself on the nullity of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ amid! I shall not give thee this blanket for that shawl. H'amid (Gulsh. II, p. 97, 2).

In a similar way must be translated in the following verse:

Though men are by origin one, their difference is great: one goes for one, one for hundreds, one for thousands. 3Abd-ul-qādir $\chi^{\bar{n}} \mathrm{n}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 191, 2).

جֻ must also be translated by: for, on account of, as:

The wicked are remembered on account of the wicked (thing, they have done). (Gulsh. II, 53, 3).

On account of their modesty and bravery applaud (them)! (Gulsh. II, p. 46, 3).
 the people assembled round him (Gulsh. I, p. 161), or with (on), as:

It is a poëtical license, if $x_{j}$ is now and then used absolutely (without a following noun), as:

As the mole of his face is spoiled thereby, may not always on his face a rain of tears be collected! Ah'mad Shāh (Gulsh. II, p. 205, 1).
 are usually constructed with wَ, as: as understand this.

Very frequently az takes to itself another postposition or adverb, as:
 (Gulsh. II, p. 5, 3), حָx village ; , he acquired eminence among the birds (Gulsh. I, p. 167).
 flames (Gulsh. II, p. 52, 2).

ज
 , بَّ p. 51, 3); ; ذَ p. 208, 2).
 , this fruit, which was ripened upon its own bough;
 the Indus.
 to sleep on ermine.

كسíáin, on, upon, during, on account of, as:
 I shall not cast another glance on the cup of Jamšid (Gulsh. II,
 is blooming (Gulsh. II, p. 53, 2); ,كنبى , they abuse me on account of thy love $(=$ my love to thee $)$ Gulsh. II, 54, 3.
7) تَ تَر رُ
 , whatever comes into his mind, he ejects from the mouth (Gulsh. I, 153).

تَ as Ablative prefix is frequently used not only in comparative sentences, to denote the distance of one object from another, but also, where only a relative comparison is alluded to (= against, in comparison to), as:

That waist is more slender than a hair.

Against which (lit. before, from which) cypress trees are nothing, by that stature and tallness I swear. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 56,1 ).


I have such a friend, guess his beauty from this, that scent of musk is nothing in comparison with his side-lock. Xušh‘ā (Gulsh. II, 49, 1).
 mid-day.
تَر on, about, at, as:


A waist, broken by toil and labour is good, not*) a stolen purse about one's waist. Rah man (Gulch. II, p. 6).


The father called her to his presence, he seated her at his side. Yusaf and Zulaizā (Darn, Chest. p. 197).
A similar signification has تَر in the expressions: تَر غَارَهْ نَبَول, to seize by (on) the throat, تُر وينبتوَ ذيوز, to seize by the hairs.

تَ is also used in such expressions: to becomeasacrifice for, to, (on) any one, to devote oneself to (on) any one etc. (just like the Sindhi तiा), as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { خَّار شَه دَ هَوَا دَ حِرْس خِبَال تَر قِنَاعَنْ }
\end{aligned}
$$

The thought of lust and covetousness be sacrificed to contentment! where is the kingdom of Egypt, where the village of the slaves? Rah 'mān (Gulsh. II, 24, 1).

All these together are a sacrifice to the white mantle.
Xušh āl (Gulch. II, p. 64, 3).
Similar expressions are: كَر سَرَ جَارِيَرَ, to become a sacrifice with the head, i. e. to sacrifice one's head; تُر شَا كَّ , to throw
 the feet.
in is very frequently connected with other postpositions and adverbs, as: تَر- تَوْرى tar-pōre, up to, until, against (in comparions), before, as:

[^56]كَ
Against (in comparison with) thy laughing are nothing the ruby and the pearl; by thy laughing I swear.

Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 53, 2).

He slaughtered that beautiful horse ,that I may not become without honour before the guest". Bābū Jān (Gulsh. I, p. 131).

;

Under whose order the surface of the earth was, come, behold, they are under the earth. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, 38, 3).

He cuts off the branches beneath his own feet.
$\mathbf{H}^{\prime}$ amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 94, 3).
(

He came behind them, before the Ulus (clan).
'Iārī $\chi$-i murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 5).

Who puts his foot beyond his own boundary.
$\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 95, 1).
8) ) taqah, near to, with, from (postposition governing the Formative).


 (Gulsh. II, 24, 2).
is also connected with the Genitive prefix $\bar{j}$, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { جِه دَ مَا كَه رِزتَ زِهَاتَ ذَ مَا هَغَّه وِى }
\end{aligned}
$$

What may be with me more than my daily bread, I keep like a deposit for another. Xušh āl (Gulsh. II, p. 49, 3).
 may then signify ' $w i t h$ or from', according to the context.

I am not worthy to sit on the cushion, I am low; with the low ones I sit on the ground. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, 172).


Be merciful to the weak subjects, that thou mayst not see affliction from a powerful enemy. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 163).
9) \$ine, from.

ور , or with the pronominal suffix ${ }_{\mathcal{G}}^{\boldsymbol{v}}$, which coalesces with it. Its usual signification is 'from', 'out', as:

By thy going my spirit goes from my body; do not go one moment from me, o thought of my friend!

3Abd-ul-qādir (Gulsh. II, p. 195, 2).
, is also used, like with such like expressions, as: to sacrifice oneself to or for any, to devote oneself to or for, as:


Head and property, all I shall sacrifice for him, I, Xavājah Muh'ammad, disciple of the beautiful ones.

Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 117, 1).
10) ذَّبارَ dapārah, on account of, for the sake of.


Come quick, o physician, for God's sake, otherwise I die. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 40, 2).
11) كَبَاْسَ dapāsah, on, upon, above.



If I lie on the bed without my friend,
fire and thorn and this bed, all three are one. Rah mān (Gulsh. II, 27, 3).
12) دَذَنَذ dananah, within (adv.)

دَنَنَx always requires the prefix $j$, as:

By desire she became afflicted, (but) her affliction was within her heart. Xusuf and Zulaixā (Dorn, Cbrest. p. 179).

> 13) سَرْه sarah, with, together (adv.).

سَرْ by itself is seldom used, except with the pronominal Formative $i$, , $\bar{y}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ذَ دُنِبَا دَوْلَت جِّه مَخَ كَا وَ سَدِى كَه }
\end{aligned}
$$

When the wealth of the world turns its face to a man, the people make many friendships with him.

Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 171).
${ }_{8}^{\circ} \mathrm{m}$ w is usually connected with other prepositions, as:




A tortoise had a friendship with a scorpion, both breathed - together the breath of unanimity. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Darn, Chest. p. 13).



He entered into friendship with debauchees and at a given opportunity he killed the Vair with his two sons.

Gulī̀tān (Gulch. I, p. 158).

غ́ either requires the Formative of a noun or the Genitive prefix ${ }^{\prime}$, as:

## 

This destiny is a potter, it practises forming and breaking; many, like me and thee, it has made and destroyed.

Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 5, 2).


Like Darvēzah I show to it (the belly) the faith, this one, like Pir-i Rauṣān, teaches infidelity. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 52, 3).
15) צَرَ karah, with (in the house of), along with.

Sither requires the Formative or the Genitive prefix $j$, as:

تَيَافَا نُومِيَدَ هرُر شوُ

At that time the chiefs of the priests and the elders of the people assembled in the house of the High-priest, who was called Kayāfa. Matth. 26, 3.
qَ
He took up his abode among the Mohmands, with (in the house of) Malik Sultān Ah'mad. Tärīx-i marassas (Gulsh. I, p. 13).
16) لَاذهـ lānde, below, beneath (adv.), or jo lānde.
, ${ }^{\text {T, }}$, which by itself is not much in use, requires the Form-


As at last thy place will be under the black earth, do not show alacrity in the business of the world!

Xaväjah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 108, 1).
تَتر - لَانِ , see under تر.

$$
\text { 17) } \times j \text {, lah, from, out. }
$$

This preposition, which is chiefly used to make up the Ablative-case, has a variety of significations.

Its most common signification is 'from', 'out', as: لَّ كور,

كَ تَه وُمبرى تَه بَx زَه كْنَاهُونوُ خَلَاص شٌى

If thou diest, thou wilt become free from sins. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 164).
 (Gulsh. I, 171), to be silent from telling the trath $=$ to abstain



$x j$ signifies 'of' 'about', in such like expressions as:

If I say any thing, what shall I say of separation? what shall I say about that incurable pain?

Rah mān (Gulsh. II, p. 19, 4).

A story has been told of one oppressor.
Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 175).
$x{ }^{j}$ denotes also the ground or reason of an action (on account of, out of), as:

Also the cat, out of fear for her life, dashes upon the tiger. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, 162).


Ont of helplessness I run again to the oppressor.
Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 51).
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ when used with a neuter or passive verb, signifies: from the part of, from the side of, from, by, as:

As from the part of wolves sheep-pasturing cannot be made, so from the side of a tyrant government cannot be administered *). Gulistān (Gulsh. I, 161).

As the hypocrites do, in order that they may be praised by men. Matth. 6, 2.
$x^{\top * *}$ ) is also used in the sense of 'with' (without following سَرَه (

Publicly she sat together with the people, (but) inwardly she was reclining with her friend.

$$
\text { Yusuf and Zalai } \chi^{\bar{a}} \text { (Dorn, Chrest. p. 179). }
$$

From this signification of $\overline{\text { J }}$ are to be explained such expressions, as : دَك لَه full of (literally : with), مَوْافِق لَه, conformable to or 下ith, etc. (cf. §, 184, 5).
*) The Hindī and Hindūstānī constructs هونَا in the same way as the Paṣ̆tō شنوّ, e. g. هَم سیى نَهين هوتَا , I cannot do it, literally: from my part it is not done.
**) $\overline{\mathcal{j}}$, which is often used instead of $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$, may be used also in the sense of 'with', without following ${ }^{7}$ w, but only in poëtry.

## §. 175.

## III. Oonjanotions.

The conjunctions are either coordinating or subordinting; amongst them there are few proper conjunctions, the rest are adverbs supplying the place of conjunctions.

## A. Coordinating conjunctions.

These are of four kinds:

1) Copulative.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
, \quad \text { ' } & \mathrm{au}, \\
, & \mathrm{va} \\
\hat{y} & \overline{\mathrm{o}}
\end{array}\right\} \text { and. }
$$

 connect words and sentences, $\hat{\boldsymbol{j}}$ only single nouns.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { فَم ham, also. } \\
& \text { qt } \overline{\text { qt ham-ham, as well-as. }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { نَ nah - nah, neither-nor. } \\
& \text { ذَ ذُبَا لَه سُود وُ زِهَانَ خَبْر زَه وُم }
\end{aligned}
$$

I was not aware of the profit and loss of the world; by looking on I was made drunk by thee without wine.

Xavājah Muhammad (Gush. II, p. 105, 2).

## - 299 -

2) Disjunctive.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}x \bar{S} \text { kah } \\ \bar{L}_{2} \\ y \bar{a}\end{array}\right\}$ or.
x K is frequently dropped.
بَ بَا y $\mathrm{y} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ - yā, whether - or ; either - or.


All, whether they were prophets or saints, were hidden in the earth from the hand of death. Xušh'̄ $\bar{a}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 45, 2).
3) Adversative.

كَغَ magar, but (when preceded by a negation), perhaps (interrogatively); except (that).


A sharp wind causes no damage to small shrubs, but it breaks away great trees with the root. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 107).
4) Conclusive.
$\hat{9} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ : trō, therefore.


As he has taken from me all quiet and rest, therefore flow on my face tears in many paths.

Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 109, 2).
§. 176.

## B. Subordinating conjunctions.

These are:

## 1) Temporal.

$x \geqslant$ čeh, when, as.
ؤ


> لَه صِرَانَ جِهx تَرِهَات وْر تَّ رَا درُوِمى

When the taryāq from 3Irāq comes to him, the snake-bitten will drink the cup of death. Gulistān (Galsh. I, p. 170).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { هُوُ نِبَت بَ بَبْه عَمل سِرَا جُور نَه شِى } \\
& \text { عبَادَت طَاَعت تُى وَآرَ دِى خِلَاف }
\end{aligned}
$$

As long as the will does not fall together with good actions, all his worship and obedience is falsehood.

Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 47, 3).
 َيَ نُوم ذَ خُدَاوَذل
After this ye will not see me, until ye say: blessed is he that is coming in the name of the Lord! Matth. 23, 39.
2) Comparative.
x لَكَه lakh, lakh čeh, like, like as (corresponding to هَسیى, so).


Separation treats my heart so, as the falcon treats the black partridge or wood-cock. Xus̆h'āl (Galsh. II, p. 34, 2).

كَنّْه نَا بَه نِنِيَا رُ بَازَلَّه كُلَّ
What shall I do? I have no hands, I am a Qalandar, as if I had lost the whole world at play. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 49, 1).
3) Conditional.

N aah, if.
مَكْر magar, if not, except.


If love of meeting with the fair ones is $\sin$, then Xušh"al, the Xatak, commits sin all his lifelong.

Xušhāal (Gulsh. II, p. 35, 2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { مَغَر و' }
\end{aligned}
$$

I Xaväjah Muh'ammad an quite drowned in $\sin$, if God do not draw me out from this ocean. Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulch. II, p. 106, 1).
4) Concessive.

2 ${ }^{\circ}$ kah, although.
كَ kah har!̣ō
هَرْخَو
as much as; though.


The dogs of the Xataks are better than the Yusufzīs, though the Xataks also are in temper more lazy than dogs. Xush'āl (Gulsh. II, 71).

Though she used to langh and also to smile out of modesty, In her laughter a wonderful grief is expressed, if a wise man looks upon her. Yusuf and Zulaizā (Dorn, Chrest. p. 217).
5) Final and Consecutive.
$x \geqslant$ čeh *), that, so that, in order that. نَ

Go, bring salt for money, lest it become a bad custom. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 174).

[^57]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { وَم تَع شَرْمْ مُوسبَِّلَهِ } \\
& \text { كَه هَرْخُوْبَ خَنديَّلَه }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

6) Causal.
${ }_{y}$ च̌ čeh, (because), as (Lat. quum, quoniam).

Until now he looks with his black eyes, because (as) his kingdom was taken by other kings. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 154).

## IV. Interjections.

$$
\text { §. } 177
$$

Besides the proper interjections the Paṣ̣to uses also some adverbs and substantives as interjections. The most common of them are:

آَفِرِن āfarīn, well done! bravo!


كُرْهِ


$\hat{g} \chi^{\boldsymbol{0}}$, certainly.
خبَر $\chi$ air, well (but always with a certain restriction and therefore often in the sense of a polite negation).

سَخ sax, blessed! happy! (َن سَخ happiness of).
شَابَاس sa ābās, well done! bravo!
 swear by thee), or : $\hat{y}$,



وَأى vāe, alas !

ff hoo, yes.
ه hah, indeed, really !
هَهَ هَى Mai hair, alas! dear!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { جِكِى جِكِى ظَبِيبَانُ آلَعبَاث }
\end{aligned}
$$

I Xavājah Muhammad die, give me a medicine, o ye dear physicians! alas! Xavājah Muhammad (Gulch. II, p. 107, 2).


Happy are the knowing ones ( $\int$ Sufîis), who are occupied with the remembrance of their friend. Idem. (Gulsh. II, p. 118, 2).

That I love thee more than myself, I Xušh‘āl, the Xatak, swear it.

## The Syntax.

We divide the Syntax into two parts, the analytical and synthetical. The analytical part describes the use and construction of the several members of a sentence, the synthetical their conjunction to a sentence or sentences.

## I. The Analytical part.

## I. The noun.

§. 178.

1) On the noungenerally.

The Paṣ̆tō is possessed neither of a definite nor indefinite article and the noun may therefore be, according to its position in the sentence, definite or indefinite; only proper names or such specific nouns, as sun, moon etc. are definite by themselves. If a generic noun is to be rendered indefinite, the numeral
 not nearer described or mentioned before).


One king sat in a boat, a Persian slave also was present with him in his service.

## §. 179.

2) On the use of the gender.

The Paṣ̆to uses only two genders, the masculine and feminine. With reference to the use of these genders it is to be noticed:

1) Substantives, which denote iuanimate objects, often use both genders; in this case the masculine implies the idea of greatness, the feminine that of smallness of the object,
 smaller) pond.
2) With reference to the lower species of animals the feminine is often used to express the generic idea, as: يِشَو s. f.,
 monkey.
3) The masculine of adjectives and participles is often used in the sense of a neuter (or impersonally).

It is youth, where thou hearest and seeest something, it is not heard nor seen ( $=$ one cannot hear etc.) in old age. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 20, 2).
The adjective in the masculine ( $=$ neuter) may therefore, like a substantive, sabordinate another noun, as:

Their coming and going is swifter than the morning breeze; I have experienced the warm and cold of the time. Asraf $\chi \bar{a} \mathrm{n}$ (Galsh. II, p. 155, 2).

Also the Plural of masc. adjectives is now and then used in the sense of a neuter, especially when a plurality is implied, as:

All was prepared for him, what he had, another had not. Yusuf and Zulai $\chi^{\bar{a}}$ (Dorn, p. 174).

The beauty of her conduct is more excellent than that of her body, the swect (things) of (her) heart are more than those of (her) appearance (= body). Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 84, 3).
4) Also the feminine of adjectives and participles, as well in the Singular as in the Plural, is used in the sense of a neuter,


He said, it is necessary for me, that I obtain baptism from thee, and thou comest to me. Matth. 3, 14.

The ignorant sleep without grief in rest, on the intelligent come hundred difficult (affairs). Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 43, 1).

He had connexion with the $X \bar{a} n$ and also with Balrām.
Tārī $\chi$-i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 50).
§. 180.

## 3) Onthe use of number.

1) Nouns, which are borrowed from the Arabic and which are in the so-called broken Plural, may in Paṣisto be constructed
*) Supply: خَا
as Singulars or Plurals. The broken Plaral (Sing. مَلَكَه (hetains the fem. gender, though the fem. termination be dropped.


The Xašīs passed some years in rest in Kābul, they grew wealthy, their property increased much and their children became uumerous. Tārī $\chi$-i murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 6).

When the broken Plurals are pat in the Formative, they usually take the Plural Formative affix $\hat{g}$, as:

## 

The treasury is the morsel of the poor and not the food of the devil's brothers. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 166).
2) The Plural جَبَنَانَ has, as in Persian, a Singular signification, friend, from which even a feminine جَانَانَ sweetheart, is again derived, as if it were a Singalar.
3) A number of nouns imply a plurality and are therefore constructed as Plurals, without taking a Plural termination in the Nominative, but in the Formative they always take the Formative affix $\hat{g}$, as: $:$ tribes etc. are also treated as Singulars and Plurals, as: خَتْبَ

§. 181.
4) On the use of the cases.
a) The Nominative.

1) The Nominative is used, instead of the Genitive, as apposition, if a part of a whole is to be designated, as: in اوُبَه a drop (of) water. Similarly to nouns, which denote a
number, measure, weight, kind, species etc., the nearer definition is coordinated in the same case as apposition; e. g.


When there is a cup of water and a spoonful of battermilk, it becomes in the house of the poor a white pap. Gulistān - (Gulsh. I, p. 183).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { كَx دَّه دِر كَزَّه شَهْثِى وِى يَا كِّبَاس }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thou must needs cover thyself, though it be (only) two or three yards of a blanket or of linen. Xavājah Mah'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 111, 2).

He stretched him on the rack and killed him by different kinds of tortures. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 174).
2) The Nominative (or absolute case) is also used to express duration of time (how long?) as well as the point of time (when?). In a similar sense $\quad$ place, with a demon-

 on both sides.

Six (or) seven generations endured their kingly rule, that all the people were amazed at them. Xussh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 51, 3).
تَضْا هَغَه كَال بَارَان نَ خَشَكَال رُ شَه

By chance that year rain fell in July - August*). Tārī̄-i marassas (Gulsh. I, p. 5).

[^58]
At the time of the forenoon, on the eighth day, he went out for hunting. Bahrām, V. 55.
3) The Paṣ̆to uses the Nominative absolute in the same sense as the Latin uses the Ablative absolute.
a) With the Nominative a participle perfect*) is connected and the sentence thus formed loosely subordinated to the main sentence. E. g.


With labour I had laid out a garden in the world; the rose not yet having been smelled by me, I was separated from it. Nušh āl (Gulsh. II, p. 159, 3).


Shall I sleep without grief, the head being placed on a soft cushion, after my bed-fellows have fallen into the grave?

Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 52, 3).

Sometimes he is hungry, the entrails being fastened together, sometimes he is satiated full up to the throat. H'amid (Gulsh. II, p. 93,3 ).
b) An adjective also may be joined with the Nominative absolute and the sabordinate sentence thus formed may be translated by: „with". E. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { زَه لَهِ شَرْمَ جَا تَه غَنَ كَنَلَ ذَه شَم }
\end{aligned}
$$

Out of grief at the calumny I am prostrate, the eyes shut ( $=$ with closed eyes); out of shame I cannot openly look at any one. Xavājah Muhíammad (Gulsh. II, p. 114, 4).
*) The participle preterite (in the Aorist, with the prefix g) is also now and then used.


Now all are prostrate on the black dust, who (with) crooked turbans were riding apon horses. Idem (Gulsh. II, p. 115, 3).
c) A substantive (without an accompanying participle or adjective) may be put in the Nominative absolute, but this is rather to be considered as an elliptical sentence.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { خوَ هَوْ خَلِ دِ مْسْتَه وُه دَرِى مَخْ رَا تَ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Every opression, which she inflicts upon me, is proper, certainly, once the drunken one should stand, the face (turned) towards me. Ašraf $\chi^{\bar{a}} \bar{n}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 154, 2).


The wife of the barber, the nose in her hand, the face towards her house, went away. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 113).
§. 182.
b) The Accusative.

The Accusative is outwardly not differing from the Nominative and can only be known from the signification of the verb. Verbs signifying: to make, to choose etc. may govern a double Accusative, one referring to the object and the other to the predicate, as:

An old man should not make a young woman his wife, and if he make her (his wife), he must take care, that she be from a good house at least. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 41, 2).
§. 183.

## c) The Instramental.

1) The Instrumental is referred to persons and things, by which an action is done. It is usually connected with the past tenses of transitive and causal verbs, but also with intransitive verbs (of a passive signification) through all tenses.

By separation everywhere flames are applied; how long wilt thou wail like damp wood thrown into the fire? Xušh $\bar{a} l$ (Gulsh. II, p. 43, 2).



Now and then I wander about in the hills like a hawk, beautiful and precious partridges were also made my prey. Xušhāl (Gulsh. II, p. 54, 2).
2) The Instrumental is also now and then used to express time generally, as: رُخڭو شخبو, by days and nights.


Better is death than such a life, which is passed, days and nights blood (being) in the liver. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 52, 2).
§. 184.
d) The Genitive.

1) As regards the position of the Genitive, it is usually placed before the governing noun, though it may also follow it.

He who puts his hope on the fabric of this world, makes a journey of the sea in a boat of paper. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 20, 4).
2) The Genitive expresses possession, ownership.


Many towers I have seen standing, nobody has mentioned their name to me, whose they are. Xush'āl (Gulsh. II, p, 43, 2).
تَا وِ ِِx غَم مَx كَّه نوَر زَه ستَا هَم تَغ دَ مَا

Thou saidst: do not grieve any more, I am thine and thou art mine. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 34, 4).
3) The substantive verb joined with the Genitive expresses different relations:
a) a quality may thereby be expressed, سَ a man, being sapplied as governing noun to the Genitive; e. g.


The Turān̄̄s are indecd (men) of strife and war, lying, swearers, slanderers. Xušh‘āl (Gulsh. II, p. 46, 3).

Give to the sinful Xavājah Muh'ammad thy own love, that he may always be (a man) of thy remembrance and praise*), o preserver! Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 113, 2).
b) According to the context , كَا, work, business or thing, may also be supplied as governing noun, if the Genitive refer to inanimate objects.

What was to happen has happened to thee, whether it was (a matter) of a straw or of a hundred thousand. H‘amid (Gulsh. 1I, p. 93, 3).

[^59]

Every thing agrees well with its own time, white hair is not (the thing of $\Rightarrow$ does not agree with) a side-lock and a top-knot. H‘amìd (Gulsh. II, p. 142, 2).
4) The Genitive expresses the material, of which any thing consists or is made; e. g.

She passes round a golden cup full of poison. Xavājah Muhammad (Gulch. II, p. 111, 2).
5) The Genitive may be either a subjective or objective Genitive, that is, it may either express the object, that is acting or to which any thing belongs, or it may express the passive object, to which the action is referring.

All, the great and little of Egypt stood on the bank of the Nile. Yusuf and Zulai $\chi \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ (Born, Chest. p. 214).

Neither passes from me the disposition of love to the idols (= fair ones), nor has the habit of tyranny and oppression gone from them (left them). Xušh‘āl (Gulsh. II, p. 84, 3).
6) A number of adjectives is constructed with the Genitive (or, according to §. 174, 17, with the Ablative), such as: jj
 , هُوْفقَ
§. 185.
e) The Dative.

1) The idea of the Dative is expressed by different prefixes and postfixes, as shown already in §. $65,5^{*}$ ). The prefix ; , without following تَتَّx or is now-a-day antiquated and only found in poëtry.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { تَه خوَ خُدَابي دُى بِبِرتَه ذَx آَخلى כَآنه }
\end{aligned}
$$

If a man gives any thing to another, he takes it again; thou indeed art God and doest not take back (thy) gift. Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 118, 1).

Besides the usual signification of the Dative the following special applications of it are to be noticed:
2) The Dative expresses a relation to the remote object, which may be translated by: to, for, at; e. g.

Those, with whom my Lord is friend, do not stand in need of (have no necessity for) the friendship of others. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 3, 1).
زَه وَ زَاوََِانوُ حَبْرانِ يَم نُرِي وَ مَا تَع

I am amazed at the hermits and they at me. Rah'mān.
*) When the Dative is immediately followed by a Genitive, the
 ,

There is hope for the recovery of a wounded, if the matter flows a little less from the wound *). Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 50, 2).

The Dative expresses therefore the direction to a place, as:
 Ādam $\chi^{\overline{\mathrm{el}}} \mathrm{i}$ ī maids) were dismissed (by me) ${ }^{* *}$ ) to Xvarăh, hart in the heart. Xus̆h‘āl (Gulsh. II, p. 54, 2).
3) The Dative is often to be taken as Dativus commodi: for, for the sake of, on account of.


The king spared his blood and pardoned him for the sake of the Vazīr. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 158).

For whose sake should I gird on the sword, as I have become a faqīr? Xušh'āll (Gulsh. II, p. 68, 2).
4) The Dative is now and then used to express a point of time, as: :َنَبیى (رَات كو

The hermit went on; towards night he came to a town. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 111).
Note. On the use of the Ablative, which is expressed by the



[^60]§. 186.
f) The Vocative.

When a noun is compounded with another (either by means of the Pers. يَاب إضَافَت or by the copula $\overline{0}$ ) final a or ah (the sign of the Vocative) is only added to the latter noun, as:


0 my soul, what advice is there for what one has done himself? Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 110).
§. 187.

## II. Comparison of (adjectives and of) whole sentences,

The way, in which the comparison of adjectives is circumscribed, has been already shown in §. 93. Here we have to add, how the comparison is expressed, which does not refer to a single object in the sentence, bat to the whole sentence. This is done in a twofold way: the sentence, which is to be compared with another, is either subordinated to the main sentence by means of the conjunction $x \geqslant$, that, the comparison itself being already expressed in the main sentence by تَ تَغَغ , before that, that -; or the comparison is expressed by coordinating the sentence to be compared to the other sentence, the difference between both sentences being pointed out by rendering the second (coordinate) sentence negative. E. g.


I like it better wandering about the ocean like a wave than that I see the dry ground of the shore ${ }^{*}$ ). K $\bar{a} z i m ~ \chi ~ \bar{a} \bar{n}$ said $\bar{a}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 141, 3).

[^61]
#   

One breath in remembrance of God is better - not the wealth of the whole world in this world (i. e. is better than -). Rah 'main (Gulch. II, p. 7, 2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { زهَهر بَنْ دِى جِّ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Good is poison, which may be (joined) with peace and concord - not sugar with sedition and uproar. (i. e. Better is poison - than). Rah'mān (Gulch. II, p. 6).

## §. 188.

## III. Construction of the Numerals.

1) When a numeral (above one) is joined with a masc. noun denoting an animate object, the noun is usually put in the Plural, but it may also remain in the Singular.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { خَّ } \\
& \text { *) }
\end{aligned}
$$

In their own house there will not be two brothers together, who have not in their hearts a thousand quarrels. Xušh all (Gush. II, p. 43, 1).
zīsā had eleven sons. Tārī $\chi$-i murassaz (Gulch. I, p. 5).
Such nouns, as are collective, remain unchanged in the Nom. Plural.
*)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 319 \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

Forty thousand Muyals were scattered to the wind.
Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 71).
Other masc. nouns, which denote inanimate objects, are either put in the Plural or they take the Plural termination ah (a), when ending in a consonant, or they remain in the Singular, as:


In no wise wilt thou escape from death, though thon make round about thee seventy thousand screens.

Xaväjah Mah'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 110).
2) Fem. nouns, denote they animate or inanimate objects, are, as a rule, put in the Plural; they may remain in the Nominative, even when preceded by a preposition, though it is more according to rale, that after a preposition the Formative (Plural) follow, e. g. ,解 poëtry), that the Singular of a fem. noun is used with a numeral.



In that time a thousand Rupees were incumbent (as taxes) on the Yusufzais, five Tōrah (or) six Tōrah *) on the head of a family or on the head of a plough used to come on them, according to the share. Tārī $\chi$ - i murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 18).
*) The ${ }^{-1} \neq$ ت̈ is a copper coin worth about one penny.

With a thousand tongues they praise every rose in this garden, when the noise of the nightingales is (raised).

Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 55, 3).
3) As regards the use of the ordinals it is to be observed, that in mentioning the years of an aera the cardinals are employed, as in English, but in fixing the month or day the ordinals are made use of.


It was the year of the Hijrah eight hundred and twenty five, the fourteenth year since the conquest of Käbul by Bābar, that he came to the subjugation of Bājaur.

Tārī $\chi$-i murassa3 (Gulsh. I, p. 19).
§. 189.

## IV. Use of the Pronouns.

1) The personal pronouns.

In connexion with a verb the personal pronouns are only used, when a person is to be rendered pronominent or when a contrast to another person is to be pointed out. Bat also in the absence of either of these two cases the personal pronoun is put at the beginning of the sentence, when the verb is not immediately following, in order to point out the subject.
زَه شوَم ستَا جَx غَم كبَبى ورِيتت لَكَه نِبَنه

Thou art not soft nor warin by my wailing, I became roasted by thy grief like roasted grain. H‘amid (Gulsh. II, p. 101, 2).

Though I am never safe from grief, yet I never repent of a love-affair. H‘amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 86, 2).

## 2) Demonstrative pronouns.

Their signification and use has already been generally treated in $\S$. 103; here it is to be added, that the demonstrative pronouns may also be used in a local sense, e. g.

Mcn were not left, those who walk here about, are wild beasts. Xušh‘āl (Gulsh. II, p. 40, 2).


What I do, that does not come to pass, (and yet) there is the country, there is the people (i. e. country and people are the same). Idem (Gulsh. II, p. 68, 4).

## 3) Interrogative pronouns.

These are employed not only in direct but also in indirect questions, as:

Tell me how much strength thou hast to endure torment? Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 111).
When $x$ what? is repeated in an interrogatory sentence, it is implied thereby, that there is no real difference between the two questions, as:

What is bowing down to an idol, what to the world? the worshipper of this world is an idol-worshipper.

Rah ${ }^{\text {māa }}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 9, 2).
4) The relative pronoun.

The relative pronoun only indicates the relation and the number and case, in which the relative should logically stand, must be taken up by a following personal pronoun (usually the pronominal suffix ${ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{1}$, except when the relative is in the Nominative.

With whom there is not fidelity ${ }^{*}$ ), he is no man, better than he is a dog by his fidelity. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 66, 2).


Flee from him who has no science nor skill. Ibidem.
More rarely the relative is taken up by following demonstrative, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { جَه ذُوكُونِ }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the night of the ascent I came to such a people, who scratched their faces with (their) nails.

Favāid uš-šarī̄̄̆ăh (Gulsh. I, p. 58).
As regards the position of the relative it is to be observed, that it occasionally precedes the noun, to which it refers (like in Latin), instead of following it; e. g.

Hear, (that) which was the residence and abode of Xušh $\bar{a} l$, has passed away. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 57, 1).

An attraction of the preceding noun or pronoun by the relative is occasionally met with in Paṣ̆to, the noun or pronoun being put in the same case, in which the relative is or ought to be, as:


At sweet waters much people collect, not (at) those waters, which are bitter or brackish. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 166).


The Ulamā, who used to admonish any, were ill attended to by them. Tārī̄-i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 19).
*) I. e. Who is not faithful.

## 5) The Reflexive.

a) The Paṣ̣to is not possessed of a reflexive pronoun, but circamscribes it by $\mathcal{U}^{\dot{\delta}}$ dān, soul. It always refers to the subject of the sentence and remains in the Singular, though the subject may be in the Plural.
كَـان تَه بَبَه وَآُدُى بْبَه نَه كِم

Thou speakest well to thyself, (but) doest not act well.
Xusul'āl (Gulsh. II, 85, 2).

As those seek amongst themselves their own blood, so not (even) wolves are rushing at each other.

Ašraf $\chi^{\bar{a}} 1$ (Gulsh. II, p. 162).
In a sentence with an active or causal verb in the past tense $u^{\text {b }}$ may also be referred to the agent (the logical subject) in the Instrumental, as:

By her (یJ) she was called to herself with a pitiful voice, i. e. she called her to herself etc.

Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 112).
b) The Paṣ̆to is on the other hand possessed of a reflexive adjective, خبَل $\chi$ خal, self, own, which may be joined with as: خَبَل خَان one's own soul or person, or in person, personally, but with a personal pronoun the adverbial expression خَ زَ $\bar{\gamma}$, I by myself ( $=1$ myself). خرَبر the corresponding possessive pronoun; like $\quad$ it always refers to the subject of the sentence.

تَه عَرْ كَمْ

At this time, when the lion is sunk in grief and anxiety, I shall personally speak to him.

Kalilah $\overline{0}$ Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 98).
 روزَكَا, تَسْنورُ آلْعْلَ كَمَ

Tell me, how the keeping and spending of it must be made, that I may make thy words the rule of my livelihood.

Kalīlah ठ Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 88).
When the accent is laid on خبَ , it may be intensified by the addition of a possessive pronoun or a pronominal suffix; in this case خبَل must be translated by 'own'.

If the look of thy own face is not dirty, the mirror of my heart is not rusty either. zAbd-ul-qādir $\chi \overline{\text { ann }}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 198, 1).

Now and and then خَي $\quad$ is not referred to the subject of the sentence but to the remote object (Dative) of it.

 'ور بْبَارَه كَا

Fvery one, who offends God the most high, in order to please the heart of a creature, God the most high commissions that very creature on him, that it should manifest to him the retribution for his own deed. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 174).

خ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is also used in general, without being referred to a special sabject or object, as:

## 

Sometimes the will of others must be attended to, sometimes one's own; one's own will is not always of use.

Kah'mān (Gulsh. 1I, p. 7, 1).
In the Plural خَبِّ signifies 'one's own people'.

At last that chieftain will remain a carcass, whose belly is hankering after the injuries of his own people.

H‘amì (Gulsh. II, p. 94, 3).

## V. The Verb.

§. 190.

## 1) The Infinitive and its construction.

a) The Infinitive is always constructed as a Plural; as regards its signification it coincides with the verbal noun ending in âh (§. 12)*) and partly with that ending in anăh (§. 13), being originally itself a verbal noun (§. 14), as: Jīپ līd-al, the seeing (sight), to see, تلَّ tl-al, the going, to go.

They told him flatteries and did never tell him any thing disagreeable ${ }^{* *}$ ). Kalīlah © Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 90).

Sharp (were the horses) at the time of making (them) jump, slow at the time of riding.

Yusuf and Zulai $\chi^{\bar{a}}$ (Dorn, Chrest. p. 205).

[^62]b) To the Infinitive the object (and with intrans. verbs the predicate) is subordinated in the same way, as this is the case with the finite verb, provided the Infinitive stand in the Nominative*). E.g.

 كَار دَ مَــاتِلَّنُو نَه نَىْ
To put ( $=$ the putting of) the step on that road, the limit and end of which is not known, and to throw oneself on such a sea, the goodness and badness of which is not manifest, is not the work of the wise. Kalīlah of Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 96).


The being made such saints is not done by revelation and miracles. Favāid uš-šarīăh̆h (Gulsh. I, p. 77).

When the Infinitive is in the Formative (Plural), the object (with active and causal verbs) and the predicate (with intrans. verbs) is put in the same case, which the preposition or postposition requires, but the object may also remain in the Accusative, as with the finite trans. verb.

I am come to swing the sword. Matth. 10, 34.

He (=I) has no liking for opening the eyes, when Rah'mān does not see with his eyes his friends.

Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 22, 2).
*) The same rule holds good with reference to the construction


**) كبیذَ.


By the bringing (fetching) of so much salt what mischief will be done? Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 174).

But the object may also be subordinated to the Infinitive (as to a substantive) in the Genitive. This is absolutely necessary, when the object is a pronoun, as otherwise an ambiguity would arise.


After that the Yusufzais made the design to take Svāt (of the taking of Svāt). Tārī $\chi$-i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 11).

They attempted in vain to kill him.
Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 159).
c) The Genitive of the Infinitive with the negation $x \dot{j}$ may also express the idea of a Gerundive. When the substantive verb is constructed with the Genitive of the Infinitive, it expresses either a necessity or obligation (the active and causal verbs taking at the same a passive signification) or an ability. The following examples will illustrate this.

Thou art not ashamed nor abashed, though thou practisest that which is not to be done. H'amìd (Gulsh. II, p. 86, 1).

What shall I explain to any one the state of my burned destiny? like the sun my forehead is to be branded *).

$$
\text { Kāzim } \chi^{\bar{a} n} \text { (Gulsh. II, p. 143, 2). }
$$

[^63]Who have no love in their heart for a fair face, their faces should not be looked at. zAbd-ul-Qādir (Gulch. II, p. 198, 2).

His tongue was neither able to speak to Kalilah nor was it able to keep this secret from him.

Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gush. I, p. 110).
But the Infinitive by itself (without $\bar{u}$ ) is also used as a

 with the Infinitive.


Whilst they are yet green and have not (yet) gained strength, they must be removed. Gulistān (Gush. I, p. 156).

Annotation. The verbal noun ending in aah (§. 12), which is, as a rule, only used in the Plural, is now and then also constructed as a Singular, as: كَ نَغَس وَ جَلِيخَه تَع نَظَارَ كَ they look at the parting of the breath (Mīrsā $\chi \bar{a} n$ Ans sārī,
 if her husband be unable to follow his occupation (Favāid uš-šarī̈̆ăh Gulch. I, p. 63).
§. 191.
2) Use of the Participles.
a) The participle present, be it transitive or causal, either subordinates the object in the Accusative or it governs, as a substantive, the Genitive.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 329 \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

Be preserving (thy) honor, be scattering gold! from the soldier the head is required, from thee gold is required.

Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 167).
 هَر هَغْه رُه كَا

The hearer of slander will then become free from guilt, when he upon the tongue ( $=$ immediately) denies it. Favāid uš-šarī̄̆ăh (Gulch. I, p. 59).
b) The participle perfect is now and then used substantively, as:


As the Lord considers the bad deed as present, o H'amid, there is no shameless and impudent like thee.

H'amid (Gulsh. II, p. 79, 1).

Thy wounded ones will not recover without meeting (with thee), though Sīnā come to administer them medicine.

Xavājah Muhammad (Gulsh. II, p. 105, 1).
§. 192.
3) The Imperative.

The Imperative is used as a command and injunction not only to the II. person Singular and Plural, but also to the III. person Singular, when not so much a command, but a wish and ardent desire (chiefly an imprecation) be intended.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 330 \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

May not be born a wicked son from a mother! the renown of father and mother he renders abortive. Rah ‘mān (Gulch. II, p. 18, 1).


May such a life be consumed in fire, which has no honor nor dignity in the world! Rah'mān (Gulch. II, p. 17, 3).
§. 193.
4) The Present.

The Present is used to express an action that is going in the present time. When the action is to be represented as lasting or repeating itself or as a general fact, the participle present is joined with the substantive verb.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ذَ خَوَابِ ظَاقَت نَه كَرِى كَه عِتَاب كِم }
\end{aligned}
$$

The hope of Xavājah Muhammad rests on thy benevolence, he cannot answer if thou blamest him.

Xarājah Muhammad (Gulch. II, p. 110, 2).


This world is neither mine nor thine; this world is left behind by every one. H'amīd (Gulsh. Il, p. 76, 2).

Like in Persian the Present is also used in Paṣ̣to, when the words of another person are mentioned, though a past tense precede them and a historical tense should follow according to the consecutio temporum, the Afghān with his lively phantasm giving the words of the speaker, as if spoken in the presence (cf. §. 220).

Hārūn Rašì asked the pillars of the state: what is the punishment for such a man? Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 183).
§. 194.
5) The Subjunctive of the Present.
a) The Subjunctive of the Present serves to express a thing subjectively and therefore in a certain respect as uncertain,
 It is therefore often employed to express anopinion, a general experience or fact (with some politeness); the repetition of an action may also be indicated thereby.


When the youth is in intelligence an aged man, he is great ( $=$ old) to ( $=$ in the eyes of) the wise one. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 159).

The work of men is much and few their words; the work of the unmanly is little and much their boasting.

Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 47, 3).


لَه وَطَتَ وُ بَاسَم
Sometimes it goes about in my heart ( $=$ I consider in my heart), that I should go to another country and banish myself from (my) native land. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 168).
b) The Subjunctive is also used (chiefly in the II. person Sing. and Plural) to express a wish or desire (Precative). When the third person Sing. and Plur. implies more an Imperative (or Jussive), the prefix $\cup$ is added, to intensify the Subjunctive. In poëtry the prefix ; is in this case often dropped.

0 Lord, would that thou wouldst make soft by beneficence the heart of (my) rival! make to me this Hindū a little a Musalmān! H‘amīd (Galsh. II, 84, 4).

If thou ever pass in the direction of my friend, o morning breeze, bring him my salutation! Xušh'āl (Gulch. II, p. 44, 2).

The king should not put his trust on ten sorts of people. Kalīlah $\bar{o}$ Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 105).
The Subjunctive is therefore used in optative and prohibitive
 that, and the prohibitive particle $\times \mathrm{s}^{\circ}$, lest, that not (Lat. ne).

When H'amìd stretches out his hand to the chin of the friend, would to God that the bough of the willow would bear an apple! (H'amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 101, 1).

As every tulip becomes red burning coals, in which I barn, may I (rather) not see it! what shall I do with Yamen without thee? H'amìd (Gush. II, 77, 4).

[^64]Never sit together with them in society, (with) these people, who without loss and injury are sad.

H‘amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 91, 2).
c) The Subjunctive is used in subordinate sentences after a final or consecutive conjunction ( $(\$ 176,5)$, as: $x$,
 be that $=$ lest, or only: $x \underset{\sim}{x}$, جَ , it is necessary, that. The conjunction $x_{y}$ may also be dropped, as in Persian, so that only the Subjunctive remains; in poëtry even the prefix '; is frequently omitted. If a past tense precede, the Subjunctive present assumes the signification of a Subjunctive of the Imperfect.


Give order, that I may (or should) kill the vazīr. Gulistän (Gulsh. I, p. 177).


For any one who is able to do it, that his profit may come to another, it is a pity, that he should be lazy.

Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 87).

May it never be, that thou mayst lose (thy) opportanity in labour; the labour of the world is short, it will soon be over. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 171).

The unworthy man, who seeks ambitiously worthiness, gives himself the trouble, (that) he thread ( $=$ to thread) a needle in the light of a flash of lightening. H amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 95, 1).
§. 195.
6) The Future.
a) The Future expresses not only an action, that will take place in future time, but also an intention, purpose or desire.

When thou makest war with an ignorant man, o clever one, certainly thou wilt break thy forehcad on his ignorance! Gulistāu (Gulsh. I, p. 177).


I said, I will yet have an interview with (my) friends; I did not know, that (my) friends were appointed for departure. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 25, 3).
b) The Future may also imply a covert Imperative, the order or admonition being put down as a matter of course.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ذَ بََانوُ غَلِبم رَآرَ خَبَل اَفعَال دَى }
\end{aligned}
$$

He who is censured with injustice should not (will not) complain of anybody: the enemy of the wicked are all their own actions. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 65, 3).
c) As regards the consecutio temporum, it is to be noticed, that the Future may also follow atter a historical (past) tense, the Afghān representing the words of a speaker in the same way, as he uttered them at the time being. E. g.


I was afraid, that they will ( $=$ they would) design my death out of their own fear. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 162).
d) It has been noticed already, that in the Future the Subjunctive prefix '; is often dropped, especially in poëtry, the prefix بَ being considered sufficient for the designation of the Future. < itself is used always as prefix; only in poëtry it is (though very rarely) also put after the verb.

Give up the grief about the world, why wilt thou bewail others, bewail thyself! Xush'‘āl (Gulsh. II, p. 59, 3).


His own deeds will revert to him; with cold breast pull out from him the (his) root. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 175).
§. 196.
7) Thie Imperfect.

The Imperfect denotes an action not get completed but still going on in time past. It has therefore commonly reference to another past tense and recalls the time, in which an action besides another or in opposition to it, was progressing.

How much soever he comforted and consoled him, he did not keep quiet. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 161).

It has been remarked already (§. 141), that transitive and causal verbs are constructed in the Imperfect (as in all past tenses) passively, the agent (or the logical subject) being pat in the Instrumental.


When by thy laughter a pit was dug in (thy) chin, by me then was seen my own falling into it. H'amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 101, 3).
§. 197.
8) The habitual Imperfect.
a) This tense denotes a continuation or repetition of the simple Imperfect. It is therefore chiefly used, when a habit or repeated lasting action is to be described.


As glance upon glance used to be cast on the face of every fair one, the Pïrship itself of the Pīr went off (gradually) by (his) love. H'amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 83, 3).
b) With the final conjunction $x \underset{\sim}{\text { and }}$, that, the habitual Imperfect is sometimes used in the sense of a Subjunctive of the Imperfect, where in Persian the Conditional or the Subjunctive of the Present would be used, which is also admitted in Paṣ̆to.


The decree of God, whose name be exalted, had been fixed on this, that this calamity should befall me. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 198).

On the use of the babitual Imperfect in conditional sentences see §. 216, 2. 4.

$$
\text { §. } 198 .
$$

9) The Conditional or Optative of the Imperfect*).

The regular Conditional (through all persons) is only used with intrans. verbs, of the Conditional of transitive and

[^65]causal verbs only the third person (Sing. or Plur.) is in use. This mood is employed:
a) In conditional sentences, on which see §. 216, 2. 3. 4.
b) In Optative sentences, with or without an optative interjection.

0 exiled one, would that there were no separation in the world! on account of its ravages the people of the world have become distressed. Ašraf $\chi^{\bar{a} \mathrm{a}}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 159, 2).

Those who produce enmity amongst brothers, are wretches; would that they would either act well or that they would not learn any thing else! Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 110, 1).
c) After the final conjunction $\underset{\underset{y}{*}}{ }$ the Conditional is used in the sense of a Subjunctive of the Imperfect, as in Persian, when in the sentence an Optative precedes, on which it is dependent.

Would that I were the dust and ashes of thy door, that thy foot would always be on my head! Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 27, 2).


Or that I would have died in childhood, that I would be free, that I would not have seen so much grief! sAbd-ul-Qādir (Gulsh. II, p. 196).
§. 199.
10) The Aorist.
a) The Paṣ̣to Aorist is a simple preterite tense, like the Greek Aorist, and denotes a past action without any reference to its duration.

I have done wrong, that I oppressed thee without a fault. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 178).
b) Like in Persian the Aorist is in Paş̣̣̄ also used, when an action, which is going on or is to be done, is to be represented as done already and therefore as certain.

The king said: he is pardoncd by me (=I pardon him), although it was ( $=$ is) not advisable. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 158).
c) Similarly the Aorist is used in conditional sentences, when the consequence of the condition is represented as realized already, though it is only intended to remore every doubt, that it will come to pass. (cf. §. 216, 1).

If ye come out to me, you are saved (were saved), if ye do not come out, you are dead (were dead). Tārī $\chi$-i murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 30).
d) In the course of a narration, when one or more Aorists are preceding; the story is continued with the Aorist, though strictly a Perfect or a Pluperfect, as the case may be, should be employed. E. g.


At that time one hard enemy showed his face to the king; when on both sides the armies had come together (Aorist), the first, who went forth to the battle-field, was that very youth. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 155).
e) It is very seldom the case (and only in poëtry), that the Aorist in connexion with an optative or prohibitive particle is used instead of the Conditional.

Both Ēmal $\chi^{\bar{a}} \mathrm{n}$ and Daryā $\chi_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$, would that they had not died! both have never committed any fault. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 70, 2).

$$
\text { §. } 200 .
$$

11) The habitual Aorist.

The habitual Aorist expresses a repeated action in time past, without any reference to its duration. The habitual
 as from this auxiliary no Imperfect is formed (see §. 162, 4; §. 216, 2).

If any one came to them for the purpose of trade, he used to be oppressed by them, he was constantly in trouble from the hand of the Dilāzaks. Tārī $\chi$-ī murassaj (Gulsh. I, p. 11).

$$
\text { §. } 201 .
$$

12) The Perfect.

The Perfect denotes an action, that is completed in time past, without reference to another tense.

He has either put Hennā on his white hands or he has made them red with the blood of the friend. Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 105, 1).
§. 202.

## 13) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.

The Subjunctive of the Perfect expresses the idea of the Perfect subjectively. It is therefore frequently used, when a fact is to be stated mildly or politely, especially in mentioning opinions, maxims etc., which, by being put in the Subjunctive, get a more general colouring Its signification often coincides there fore with that of the past Future; su š. 266.


At last thou wilt become wet by the rain of spring, as thon hast put on (according to my opinion) clothes of paper. Xavājah Muh'ammad (Gulsh. II, p. 111, 2).


Every tree, that has been newly planted, may be palled out from its place by the force of a man.

Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 156).
§. 203.

## 14) The Pluperfect.

a) The Pluperfect denotes an action, which must be completed in past time, before another past action could occur. As regards the consecutio temporam; the Pluperfect is usually followed in Paṣ̆to by the Aorist. That the Aorist is often used, where ,we would expect a Plaperfect, has been pointed out already §. 199, d.


Those people, who had become distressed by his tyranny, assembled round them. Galistān (Gulsh. I, p. 161).
b) The Pluperfect is also used idiomatically, without any reference to another past tense, when a remote past action is mentioned, the consequence or connexion of which with another action the speaker does not like to point out.


تَازِهُانَx خَوْرُكِى وَهِ

As to him who was saved: One time I became fatigued in the desert and could no (more) go; he seated me on his camel and brought me to the station - and from the hand of this other one I had reccived (eaten) a lashing. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 184).
c) In the Pluperfect of the Passive (as in the Perfect) the participle perfect íçوَ is often left out; e. g.

This witty word was written on the crown of the king Kaizasrū. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 180).
§. 204.
15) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.
a) This mood is used in conditional sentences, as well in the main as in the dependent part of them; see §. 216, 3.
b) It is also used with the final and consecutive conjunction $\underset{\sim}{x}$, that, in order that, so that, where we likewise employ the Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.

Their heart could not do it, that they should have stretched out (against him) the hand of wickedness. Tärī $\chi$-i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 80).
§. 205.
16) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.
a) This mood is used in the main and dependent part of a conditional sentence, see §. 216,3 ; further in an optative
sentence, with or without an optative particle (see an example §. 153).
b) The Conditional of the Pluperfect is also used, after a final and consecutive conjunction ( $x>$ that, in order that, so that) in the same sense, as the Subjunctive of the Pluperfect (§. 204, b).
 می يُّى كَّى وِى

This beating of the woman without reason (fault) and the binding (of her) is inhuman, it was necessary, that I should have interceded for her. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 112).

Thou hast not performed the duty of brotherhood, that I would not have been involved in this calamity. Kalīlah o Damanah (Dorn, Chrest. p. 11).

$$
\text { §. } 206 .
$$

## 17) The Past Future.

By the past Future a future action is described as already accomplished. In the Pạ̀to however this signification is rarely found, the past Future usually expressing possibility or probability of an action, which might have been done. It corresponds therefore in most cases to the Subjunctive of the Perfect, the proper past Future being in Paṣ̣̆̃ usually expressed by the Aorist; see 8. 216, 1.

This may have been sold for much and it might (then) have been given to the poor. Matth. 26, 9.

None will have seen in his life those things, which my friend inflicts on me every hour. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 21, 1).

## - 343 -

## II. The Synthetical part.

§. 207.

## I. The simple sentence.

Every sentence must consist of a subject and predicate. The subject may be either a substantive or pronoun or adjective (participle) or numeral; it is usually not expressed, when it consists of a pronoun and its predicate is a verb, as: وَآَ- , I say, except a particular stress be laid upon it, as: you). The subject must always be in the Nominative and may be nearer defined again by an attribate.

The predicate is commonly a verb, but it may also be a substantive, pronoun, adjective (participle) or numeral, in the last four cases a copula (viz, the auxiliary verb 'to be' and 'to become') is required, by means of which the predicate is connected with the subject, as: چَار كَلَكَx בَצ, the work is hard. The predicate may also take an attribute like the subject.

As regards the position of the subject and predicate in a Paṣ̆to sentence, it is to be observed, that the subject, as a rule, precedes the predicate. When the predicate is an adjective etc. (not a verb), it follows immediately the subject and the copula is put last, just as in Latin, as: خَبْرَ مُنَاسبَx ذَه دَه , the word is not becoming; but the copula may also immediately follow the subject and the predicate may be put last, as: خَبِّه زَه دَه مَنَاسِبَ The predicate is only then put first in a sentence, when a particular
 the word.
§. 208.
Concord of the subject and predicate.

1) If the predicate be a simple verb, it must agree with its subject in number and if the verb be compounded (with a part-

 But when the subjéct is a collective noun, the verb as predicate
 people assembled round them (Gulsh. I, 161).

When the subject is a feminine but contains masculine individuals, the predicate may be put in the Plural masculine, as: قَبْـيـلَ (Gulsh. I, p. 34).

When the predicate precedes the subject in the sentence (especially, when the predicate consists of the substantive verb 'to be'), it may be put, without any reference being had to the following (not yet mentioned) subject, in the III. person (masc.) Singular; e. g.

There is not by force nor by wailing an escape from death. Xaväjah Muh ammad (Gulsh. II, 117, 3).
رَا تَx بَس نَى نَ بَلْبُلوُ تَرَذَه

To me is sufficient the melody of the nightingales. 3Abd-alQādir $\chi$ ān (Gulsh. II, p. 199, 2).


On the scrip of actions will be written fifty thousand good actions. Favāid uš-šarī̄ăh (Gulsh. I, p. 71).
2) When the predicate is an adjective (participle etc.), it must agree with its subject in gender and number; but the
constructio ad sensum is also admissible, e. g. يَار friend, may also denote a female friend, and in this case the adjective as predicate may be put in the Feminine.

The Āfrīdī girls of the Ādam $\chi^{\overline{\mathrm{e}}}$ are red and white. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 54, 2).

It is an anomaly, if the adjective as predicate, referred to a subject in the feminine Plural, remains in the feminine Singular (as in Hindī).
وَآرَكونَه شِو هَهَكِى دَ ذِنِيَا چَارِ

Upside down were put all the affairs of the world. Xušh $\bar{a}$ l (Gulsh. II, p. 42, 4).


Woe, woe to those, who in those days may be pregnant and giving suck. Matth. 24, 19.
3) When the predicate is a substantive (with a copala), a twofold connexion between subject and predicate is possible:
a) The copula (i.e. the auxiliary verbs 'to be', 'to become') may agree with the subject in gender and number, though the substantive as predicate may be of another gender and number; e. g.

If thou tearest asunder my breast, thou wilt see, that all my heart is blood (Pl.) out of grief for thee. Xušh'̄al (Galsh. II, p. 64, 1).
b) The copula may agree with the predicate in gender and number (and not with the subject); this is generally the case in Paṣ̣̆tō ; e. g.


The (fem.) friend should always be laughing; the silence of the friend is (like) autumn. Ah mad Shäh (Gulsh. II, p. 210, 3).


They, who had put on out of fancy crooked turbans, have now become mean dust with dust. Xavājah Muhammad (Gulsh. II, p. 116, 2).


Their fair faces became the objects of desire of our heart. Idem (Gulsh. II, p. 117, 3).

## §. 209.

Enlargement of the simple sentence by a near and remote object.

1) When the predicate is a verb, it may according to its signification subordinate a near (Accusative) or remote object (Dative) or both at the same time. Intransitive verbs can only subordinate a remote object, transitive and causal a near and remote object. Some transitive and causal verbs may subordinate a double Accusative, one denoting the near object and the other the predicate, but only in the Imperative, the Present, the Subjunctive of the Present and the Future; e. g.


What shall I make*) the sight of the rose-garden without thee? the jasmin and lily what shall I make without thee? H'amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 77, 4).

Such verbs, which govern a double Accussative, are: to make, to create, to elect, to call, etc.

As regards the position of the near and remote object in the sentence, it is to be observed, that the subject (when expressed by a substantive or pronoun) is usually followed by the near object (Accusative), to which the remote object (Dative) succeeds, the verb as predicate closing the sentence. But in this respect much liberty prevails, one or the other member of the sentence being placed before or after the other, as it may be considered of greater importance. - The near and remote object may of course be again nearer defined by an attribute.

[^66]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { — } 347 \text { - بَادشَاه خبَّل راز قِ وَر تَه نَx وَأِّى }
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

The king should not tell his secret to them. Kalīlah $\overline{0}$ Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 105).


When the wealth of the world turns its face to a man, the people make many friendships with him. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 171).
2) In transitive and causal verbs the active construction is exchanged for the passive in the Imperfect, Aorist, Perfect, Pluperfect and Past Future, the participle, with which these tenses are compounded, having a passive signification. The remote object (Dative) is thereby not affected, but the near object (Accusative) is made the subject of the sentence and the proper subject must be put in the Instrumental (as the agent) being thus made a nearer definition of the verb as predicate. It is understood, that the verb (participle) must agree in number and gender with the subject.

By none tranquillity has been found without pain $=$ none has found tranquillity without pain. Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 26, 2).

But now and then the impersonal construction of the Hind $\bar{i}$ is imitated, the verb remaining in the masculine Singular (as in the neuter) and the Nominative (properly the subject) being put in the Dative (with the postfix $x$ تَ etc.).

He wished to cohabit with her in that state, when he was drunk; the slave-girl did not give herself up (and) refused (him) ${ }^{*}$ ). Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 185).

Also intransitive verbs are now and then constructed passively in the past tenses, if their signification borders on the Passive.
*) The Hindī would be: उस् ने खाप् को नहीं छोड़ दिसा.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 348 \text { - } \\
& \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

Many times its own self was burnt by the moth by means of the candle; it did not at all take to heart, o H'amīd, my burning*). H amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 77, 2).
§. 210.
Enlargement of the simple sentence by a nearer definition of the verb as predicate.

The verb also may in Paṣ̣to be nearer defined in different ways: by cases (Nominative of time, place, Instrumental of means, etc.), by prepositions and postpositions with nouns, by adverbs of time, place etc., just as in any Latin or Greek sentence.

One day a clever lean (man) addressed in a dispute in this way a speech to a fat fool. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 155).

In this sentence the verb as predicate (وَّ (و) ${ }^{\prime}$ ) is nearer defined by: in a dispute (noun with a preposition), by the adverbial expression
 lean (man), the agent and logically the subject of the sentence.

$$
\text { §. } 211 .
$$

## II. The compound sentence.

Two or more sentences may so be joined together that a compound sentence is thereby made up. This is done either by way of coordination or of subordination.

[^67]
## A. Coordination of sentences.

Two or more sentences may be so joined together, that every sentence remains independent of the other. The coordination may be either loose or be made up by coordinative conjunctions (cf. §. 175).

They ate the gold of the Mugals, they were with them in the form of non-combatants*). Tārī -i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 52).

They fought battles, but in every battle the Shinvārīs got the victory. Ibid. (Gulsh. I, p. 52).

Either those were other Afghāns (and) these (present) have become others, or such is now the order of God. Xusis'ā (Gulsh. II, p. 51, 3).

$$
\text { §. } 212 .
$$

## Contraction of coordinate sentences into one.

When two or more sentences have either the same subject or the same predicate or the same near or remote object, or have any other member of the sentence in common, they are asually contracted into one sentence with or without coordinative conjunctions.
خِلعَت آو نِعَهَت نَى ورَ ذَه عنَايَت كَّه

He bestowed on him a dress of honour and wealth. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 178).

[^68]نَه هُخَام
Man has neither always grief nor in every moment joy.
Heamīd (Gulsh. II, p. 93, 3).
§. 213.
Concord of the subject and predicate in a contracted sentence.

1) When in a contracted sentence the subject consists of several nouns, the predicate is usually put in the Plural, but it may also remain in the Singular, when the nouns are in the Singular. When the subject consists of nouns partly in the Singular, partly in the Plural, the predicate is only rarely put in the Singular. When the several nouns constituting the subject have the samegender, the predicate agrees with it, but when they differ in gender, the Masculine has, as a rule, the preference; but the predicate may also agree with the gender of the last noun, especially when the several nouns are in the Singular, or it may follow the gender of the most important noun or nouns.
اَمَانَتِ ِِيَانَتَ بَى وَر مَعْلُوم شنوَل

His faithfulness (and) conscientiousness became known to him. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 170).

Wealth and property (and) houses they intrusted to others. Ašraf $\chi^{\bar{a} n}$ (Gulsh. II, p. 158, 3).

Hands and feet were made red by the partridge; it laughs with loud laughter. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 76, 3).

The hoard and treasure has been buried by me in this place. Kaīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 86).

[^69]
One man brought the sword and knife and rings of Ahdād to Mudaffar $\chi$ ān. Tārī $\chi$-i murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 33).
2) When in a contracted sentence the subject consists of a pronoun of the I., II. and III. person, the verb as predicate is pat in the I. person Plural; but when the sabject is a pronoun of the II. and III. person, the verb is put in the II. person Ploral.

Love was created, when I and thou were not yet. Xušh‘̄̄ (Gulsh. II, p. 64, 1).

When I and the rival look straight into thy face. H‘amid (Gulsh. II, p. 101, 2).

$$
\text { §. } 214 .
$$

## B. Subordination of sentences.

Two or more sentences may so be joined together, that one becomes subordinate to the other. A dependent sentence may be sabordinated to the main sentence in two ways:

1) by subordinative conjunctions (§. 176).
2) by the relative $x \underset{y}{~}$ and relative particles.

It is not to be lost sight of, that also dependent sentences may in their turn be either coordinated or subordinated to each other. The main and dependent sentence may also be contracted into a simple sentence by omitting the predicate in the dependent
 any one wretched like me ( $=$ as he makes me wretched).
 important subjects and the predicate $\left({ }_{j}^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime},\right)$ therefore agrees with their gender.

## §. 215.

1) Subordination of a sentenceby subordinative conjunctions.

All subordinative conjanctions are in Paṣ̣to constructed with the Indicative, only the final conjunction $\underset{\underset{y}{*} \text {, in order }}{\text {, }}$ that, requires the Subjunctive (of the Present, Perfect, Plap.), partly also the Optative (of the Imperfect, Plup.), and more rarely the habitual Imperfect (§. 197, b). Also the consecutive conjunction $ج \underset{\sim}{ }$, that, so that, requires the Subjunctive after certain verbs, as: to command, to ask, to require etc., and after بَوْرَ , it is necessary, it is becoming, and similar expressions the Subjanctive is also in use. (cf. 194, c).

It is becoming, that I bury myself in the dust whilst living, as after death my place is a pit ${ }^{*}$ ). Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 21, 2).


When there is no favour from God, there is no victory, though the army of some one may be more numerous than locusts. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 34, 3).

As however the subordination of a sentence by a conditional particle admits of many varieties and is of great importance, we must separately treat of it.
*) In this sentence another sentence is again subordinated to
 Subjunctive dependent on: حَأي لَدِى.

## §. 216.

## Conditional sentences*).

In conditional sentences the dependent part, headed by the conditional conjunction $x \overline{5}$ kah, if, may either precede the main sentence or follow it. As to the formation of a conditional sentence the following particulars are to be observed:

When the condition and that, which is conditioned thereby. is to be represented as positive and certain, the Indicative is used in the main and dependent part of the sentence; bat if the condition and its consequence is to be represented as ancertain or doubtfal or as a subjective opinion only, the Subjunctive is used in both parts of the sentence; but the mood may vary in the main and dependent part, according to the degree of certainty, which is to be expressed. In the main sentence the Imperative may also occur under certain circumstances.


I Rahmān do not desire any thing else besides my friend, if my prayer be accepted at the gate of God.

Rah mān (Gulsh. II, p. 4, 1).

*) What is said here about conditional sentences refers equally to such sentences, which are not strictly conditional according to their form, but according to their meaning. This is the case, when in the dependent sentence an indefinite pronoun is used (instead of the conditional conjunction ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ), e. g.: Whatever I would say, would vex him $=$ if I would say any thing, it would vex him.
**) قَبُولَّ شنى may be, according to its form, the Indicative or Subjunctive; here it is likely the Indicative.

If thou eat up the whole world in thy belly, thou wilt not be remembered with benedition and prayer.

Rah mān (Gulsh. II, p. 6).

If the sight of any body be agreeable to thee, look at him! Ibid. (Gulsh. II, p, 6).
In lively sentences, when the condition and its consequence is represented as accomplished already, the Aorist is used in both parts of the sentence with the sense of the Present. (§. 199, c).

Send quickly a man to them: if they come out to me (if they have come out) this morning, it is better, if not, they are dead (they have become dead).

Tārī $\chi^{-i}$ murassaz (Gulsh. I, p. 31).
In a similar way the condition is anticipated as accomplished already (in the sense of a Past Future) in such sentences, where the consequence of the condition is predicated as future.

If I have (= will have) seen my friend, that he is here, (my) heart will become a spring with yellow roses.

Ah'mad Shāh (Gulsh. II, p. 208, 2).


Improprieties will never happen to him, if a man will have been (has been) proper in his own thought.

Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 58, 1).
2) When the condition and that which is conditioned thereby, is to be represented as such, that it could happen under certain circumstances, but does not happen in reality, because the condition is not fulfilled, the Conditional of the Imperfectis usually employed in the dependent sentence and in the main sentence the Habitual Imperfect. (See also sub 4).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *) كَ }
\end{aligned}
$$

If there would be no meeting, separation would be dead; on account of meeting the blood flows, alas, alas!
Ah' mad Shāh (Gulsh. II, p. 207, 1).

In poëtry the simple Aorist is sometimes used instead of the


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { رَا تَه سَهِ وُه سَـرِيـوَ نَ زِنْدَان اورْ }
\end{aligned}
$$

If the grief about the separation from the friend would not press non me, the fire of the prison would be easy to me, o men! Ašraf $\chi^{\bar{a}}$ (Gush. II, p. 156, 2).


The laughing of the rose-bud would be impossible in the garden, if not every morning the zephyr would pass over it.

Xušh‘āl (Gulch. II, p. 33, 1).
The Conditional of the Imperfect may also be used as well in the main as in the dependent sentence; e. g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { غَم خوُ ستَا دَ رِســوَاَّمِي كَمْ نَّ دَ سَر كَمْ }
\end{aligned}
$$

I grieve indeed for thy shame, not about (my) head; if I had not this anxiety, thou wouldst see (what I would do).

Xušh‘āl (Gulch. II, p. 62, 2).
3) When the condition and that, which is conditioned thereby, is represented as such, that it could have happened under certain circumstances, but has in reality not happened, because the
*) ${ }^{\prime}$ ' - بَ the habitual Aorist, because this auxiliary is not possessed of an Imperfect; see 8. 200.
condition has not been fulfilled, the Subjunctive of the Pluperfect or the Habitual Imperfect is used in the main sentence, and the Conditional of the Pluperfect or the Subjunctive of the Pluperfect in the dependent sentence, or the Conditional of the Pluperfect may be used in both parts of the sentence, when the main sentence contains a dependent sentence at the same time, subordinated by the coujunction which in such a conjuncture requires the Conditional mood (§. 205, 6).


وَر كَمَ وَه
If be had delayed it one night, I would have given him double the price of the slave-girl. Gulistan (Gulsh. I, p. 185).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { بَارِ وِينّ رَا تَه أُوْتَ شَه دِلْبَا خْتَا }
\end{aligned}
$$

I would have awakened him by my cries, if he had been overcome by sleep; being quite awake my heart-ravisher became sleepy towards me. Rah mān (Gulsh. II, p. 4, 1).



If you had understood, what this is - you would not have passed judgement on the innocent. Matth. 12, 7.


 means of the conjunction $\underset{\sim}{2}$ subordinates the following sentence, in

If thou hadst seen such (things), as I have seen, perhaps you also would have left the desert out of grief about them.

Kalīlah $\overline{0}$ Damanah (Dorn, Chrest. p. 10).
4) As in Persian the Conditional of the Imperfect is often used in Paṣ̆to in the sense of the Conditional of the Pluperfect, which must be gathered from the context. The Conditional of the Imperfect may either be used in both parts of the sentence, or the Habitual Imperfect may be used in the main sentence and the Conditional of the Imperfect in the dependent sentence.


If thy admonition had made any impression on me, thon, $o$ adviser, wouldst then have told me admonition.
$H^{\prime}$ amīd (Gulsh. II, p. 90, 2).


Whatever I would have said, I would have sprinkled salt on his wound. Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 171).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ََ ظَالِب دَ مَطّْنوب رَز بَه مَا دَر وُ بنوَ } \\
& \text { كَ تَه نَه وَابي خَبْردا, كَه دِى رَوَجَ }
\end{aligned}
$$

I would have shown to thee the secret of the seeker and the sought, if thou hadst not been aware of this custom.

Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 13, 3).
§. 217.
Elliptical conditional sentences.
The Paṣ̆to uses also elliptical conditional sentences, the main sentence of which must be supplied from the context.

1) When the conjunction $x^{\prime}$ is connected with the Subjunctive of the Present, an optative sentence is formed,

[^70]to which must be properly supplied: it is good or something like it. By the Subjunctive Present the desire or wish is predicated as possible.


I Xavājah Muh'ammad have fallen down at thy court; o Lord, if thou take me by the hand (supply: it is good = please take me by the hand!). Xavājah Muhammad (Gulch. II, p. 112, 1).
2) When the conjunction $X$ is connected with the Conditional of the Imperfect, it is hinted thereby, that the desire or wish is looked upon as impossible.

When I make known to them the degree of thy love, all angels will say: if we (only) would be men!

Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 27, 2).
The dependent sentence may also, vice versa, be wanting; in such cases the condition is to be gathered from the context. Or the dependent sentence may be replaced by an Anakoluthon, which contains, logically, the condition. In such (main) sentences the Habitual Imperfect is used, sometimes also the Habitual Aorist.

I would (willingly) make of my head the sole of the foot, I would make an ocean to thy daughter.

I would make my eyes shoes, I would go on my head and eye (supply: if it was possible for me to leave my place).

Yusuf and Zulai $\chi^{\bar{a}}$ (Lorn, Chest. p. 202).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ذَررَّن كَكَه يَه وَيْنَا خَبَلَx رَضَا كَا }
\end{aligned}
$$

## - 359 -

The exiled would have never passed a moment in the Dekhan - but when does fate assent to one's word? ( $=$ if fate would assent to one's word). Ašraf $\chi^{\text {an (Gulsh. II, p. 153, 1). }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { تَحَيُر وَر تَه آَواز نَ نَا تَعْلْ كَا }
\end{aligned}
$$

The spectators would have made a description of (her) face amazement called out to them: do not speak!

3Abd-ul-Qādir $\chi^{\bar{a} n}$ (Gulch. II, p. 190, 1).

$$
\text { §. } 218 .
$$

2) Subordination of a sentence by the relative pronoun and relative adverbs.

Relatively dependent sentences are formed by the relative pronoun $x_{\underset{Y}{ }}$, which refers to the noun of another sentence, or by relative adverbs, which are usually, in correlation with another adverb.
$i$


H‘amìd calls him an idolater, who serves God with the face and hypocrisy.. H'amīd (Gush. II, p. 88, 2).

If thou wilt have (thy) friend, wail so long till he come to thee, o H‘amìd! H‘amīd (Gush. II, p. 89, 2).

$$
\text { §. } 219 .
$$

Abbreviation of subordinate sentences.
A subordinate sentence may be abbreviated by the construction with the Nominative absolute (§. 181, 2, sqq.). In this case the subordinative conjunction is left out and the sentence is loosely
subordinated to the main sentence, the subject (or agent, who may be expressed in the Instrumental) being always identical with the subject (or agent) of the main sentence.


Having taken a little flesh in its bill it flew about in the vicinity of a tree. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 87).
§. 220.
The direct oration.
The Paṣ̌to knows no oratio obliqua and does therefore not subordinate such sentences, as the Latin, but renders with primitive simplicity the words, as they were spoken (in a direct oration). These words are always introduced by the particle $\underset{\sim}{*}$ (like the Greek ö́ of and the Persian $\times 5$ ) without being subordinated thereby to the main sentence, and this $x \geqslant$ is therefore in such cases only a sign of a following direct oratipn and is not to be translated.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { سْوَ حَسْد رُتـا }
\end{aligned}
$$

He respectfully rejoined: if I alone take this dignity, these my other countrymen will envy me.

$$
\text { Tārī } \chi \text {-i murassas (Gulsh. I, p. 43). }
$$




Somebody asked the Prince IIurmuz: what fault hast thou seen in the vazirs of (thy) father, that thou hast imprisoned them?

Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 162).
§. 221.
Interrogatory sentences.
It is evident from the preceding paragraph, that in Paṣ̌to only direct interrogations are in use. The question itself is generally not pointed out by interrogatory particles, but only by the voice of the speaker; sometimes $x \bar{\delta}$ is employed as interrogatory particle, after the precedent of the Hindī (किन्ञा), which need not be translated. The double interrogation (whether - or) is expressed by : or $x$ is often dropped.


Hast thou not heard, that the wise have said?
Gulistān (Gulsh. I, p. 169).

Is it the veil of grief, that has fallen on my eyes? I do not see the whole clear truth. Xušh'āl (Gulsh. II, p. 52, 3).



Are in thy mouth thy teeth glittering, or are they drops of dew on the rose-bud? Rah'män (Gulsh. II, p. 29, 1).

$$
\text { §. } 222 .
$$

## Ellipsis.

An ellipsis is frequently met with in Paṣ̆to, especially in poëtry. It is very common, that in interjectional sentences (especially in asseverations and imprecations) the verb 'tobe' is left out, as: ستَنا خَهِ سَر (supply : $\hat{\boldsymbol{q}_{z}}$ ), by thy head (I swear).

Whether Balōch or Hazārah, all are dirty, they have neither faith nor religion; may their privities be disclosed!*).

Xušh‘āl (Gulsh. II, p. 46, 3).
Besides this we meet with some kinds of Aposiopesis (reticence), the most common of which we will point out in the following quotations.

Whoever depends on this transitory breath, (he shall know that) the wind (breath) will not be bound with a chain.

Rah'mān (Gulsh. II, p. 5, 2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { زَه ذَوْ }
\end{aligned}
$$

Not a cup of wine - not the tribute of the whole world. I and the wine, o censor! though my house be plundered. (I. e. A cup of wine is not to be compared or exchanged for the tribute of the whole world. I and the wine are inseparable etc.).

Not a good worthy child in the house of the father - not a treasure of gold and silver in his house $(=$ a good child is not to be compared with - or is far superior to -).


The cry of the morning ( $=$ the crowing of the cock), the call of the Mullā (from the minārah), the issuing of the ascetic from that house (was one and the same), he went in search of another place. Kalīlah ō Damanah (Gulsh. I, p. 111).

> *; Supply here: טِ صַى; this is a common Afghān imprecation.

## Appendix 1.

## The Calendar of the Afghāns.

The Afghāns reckon by lunar years according to the common muh'ammadan calendar. The names which they give to the months of the year differ from the Arabian nomenclature, wherefore we have put down the Paṣ̣to and the corresponding Arabic appellations of the months.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Pas ṣ } \overline{\mathrm{t}} \text {. } \\
& \text { days. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^71]**) Literally: the month of God.


Arabic.
days.
" mah'arram . . . . . . . . . 30
صَ safar ..... 29
rabī3u-1-avval ..... 30
 ..... 30
جُـَهـانَى ألآوَّل jumāda-1-avval ..... 30
jumāda-७धヲānī ..... 29
رَجَب rajab ..... 30
شَ شَعْبَ šasbān ..... 29
 ..... 30
لَشَوَّ šavvāl ..... 29
 ..... 30
 ..... 29

In the eastern parts of Afghānistān, which border on India, the Indian appellations of the months are frequently used. These are solar months; their names are:

| Pas ṣto. | Hindi. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| ج jēt . . . May-Jun | जेठ jēṭ. |
|  | Э्रसाढ़ asāṛ. |

*) Properly from the middle of April to the middle of May.

सावन् sāvan (ग्रावया).
 'آسو asū . . . September-October. झ्रासिन् āsin. « $<\bar{\partial} \bar{\zeta}$ katak . October-November. कातिक kātik. مْغَ magar**) November-December. ₹घन aghan( आयहायाए).
 ه مَ māh . . . January-February. माघ māgh. چ


For the days of the week the Persian appellations are in use in Western Afghānistān, as: شَك شَنبَx yak sambeh, Sunday etc., but in Eastern Afghānistān the following names, partly taken from the Hindi, are current:

Paṣ̂tō:
خَالِ $\chi^{\text {خَ }} \overline{1} \overline{1}$, Saturday.
آتبَار ,انتّوْار itbār, itvār, Sunday.
gul, pär, Monday.
xَ
جَار شَنبْه čar šambeh, Wednesday.

Persian:
شَنَنبَx šambeh.
خَكَ شَنبَده yak sambeh.
دوَ شَنبَx dō šambeh.
سِه شَنْنَx
čāāār šambeh.
 Panjāb chiefly in July-August.
**) Sindhī: मंधिर manghiru, Panjābī: मंघर (मार्गशिर).


The names of the different seaons are: سيَرَى sparlai, spring

 May and June, and يَشَشَخَال pašakāl, rainy season, July-Augast); © manai, autumn, (the latter half of August, September, October); \% žimai, winter (November, December, January). This division of the seasons however can only be applied to the moderate hillclimate of Afghānistān.

## Appendix II.

Survey of the conjugation of the Paşstō verbs.
I. The intransitive verb ending in al,


## Imperative:

Sing. تُنَّ ', vớ tṣ̆-ah, flee.

A. From the Imperative are formed:

1) The Present.

Sing.

تَّ تُنْى tah tṣ̆-ē, thou fleest.

Plur.
مُور تنُو mūz̃ tṣ-ū, we flee.
"تَاسى تنَبُتُى

2) The Subjunctive Present.

Sing.
zah vơ tṣ̆-am, I may flee.
تَ tah ŏ tọ̀-e, thou mayst flee.
宝 bayah vŏ tṣ̆-ī, he, she may flee.

Plur.

تَاسى 'و تنَبْتى tāse vŏ tṣ̆-aī, you may flee.
號 hayah vŏ tṣ̂-ī, they may flee.

3) The Future.

Sing.
zah bah vŏ tṣ̆-am, I shall flee.



Plur.
mūž bah vǒ tṣ̆-ū, we shall flee.


B. From the participle praeterite are formed :
4) The Imperfect.

Sing.
zah tṣ̀-al-am, I fled.
تَ tah tṣ̆-al-é, thou fledst.
هَغَه تِبْ hajah tiṣ, he fled.
هَغَx نَبَلَx , لَنَبْ hajah tṣ̀-al-ăh, tṣ̆-ăh, she fled.
Plur.
مُور تنبَّلُو mūž tọ̆-al-ū, we fled.
تَاسِى تسَبَلْعُى tāse tṣ̆-al-aī, you fled.


5) The Habitual Imperfect.

Sing.
yah bah tṣ̌-al-am, I used to flee etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.

Sing. and Plur.

(If) I, thou, he, she, we, you, they would flee; or: would that I, thou, he, she, we, you, they would flee!
7) The Aorist.

Sing.
; jj ز z ah vō tọ̆-al-am, I fled.
etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
8) The Habitual Aorist.

## Sing.

yah bah vol tṣ̆-al-am, I used to flee.
etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
C. With the participle perfect and the auxiliary 'to be' are formed:
9) The Perfect.

Sing.
لَبَلَلى , تنَبَلى tṣ̂-al-ai (m.), tṣ̊-al-e (f.) yam, I have fled.


Plur.


10) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.


Plur.
تنَبِلى وِى tṣ้-al-ī vil, they may have fled.

## 11) The Pluperfect.

Sing.

v; " " " vē, thou hadst fled.
تنَبَلَّهِ و'
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { tṣ̌-al-ai vuh, he } \\ \text { tṣ̀-al-e, rah, she }\end{array}\right\}$ had fled.
Plur.
ور 'تنَمِمى tṣ̆-al-ī vul, we had fled.
وُمُى
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}, \quad " & " & v \bar{u} \text { (masc.) } \\ \boldsymbol{g}, & , & " & v \bar{e} \text { (fem.) }\end{array}\right\}$ they had fled.
12) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.

Sing.
 have fled, etc. etc. (like the Pluperfect).
13) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.

(If) I, thou, he, she would have fled; or: would that I, thou, he, she had fled!

(If) we, you, they would have fled; or: would that we, you, they had fled!
14) The Past Future.

Sing.
(m.), tọ̆-al-e (f.) yam, I shall have fled.


## Plur.



gl " " " vil, they will have fled.
II. The intransitive verb ending in -ēd-al.
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## Imperative.

Sing.
a)

Plur.
a) , dor dar- c
b),

 On pah zar-ēz̈zaī, do not become old!
A. From the Imperative are formed:

## 1) The Present.

Sing.
a) (
( تَّ

Plur.

 (
b)

Sing.


2) The Subjunctive Present.
a)

Sing.

etc. etc. (like the Present).
b)

Sing.




Plur.




3) The Futare.
a)

## Sing.

 tah bah vŏ dar-ēze-ē, thou wilt stand.


Plur.



b)
Sing.




Plur.




## B. From the participle preterite are formed:

4) The Imperfect.
a)

Sing.
 ,


Plur.



b）
Sing．
 etc．etc．（like בَرحَدَمَمْ etc．）．

5）The Habitual Imperfect．
a）
Sing．
和 yah bah darēd－al－am，bah darēd－am， I used to stand；etc．etc．（like the Imperfect）．
b）
Sing．

I used to become old；etc．etc．（like the Imperfect）．

6）The Conditional（Optative）of the Imperfect．
a）

（If）I，thou，he，she，we，you，they would stand；or：would that I etc．would stand！
b）
Sing．

＊）Or
(If) I, thou, he, she would become old; or: would that I, thou, he, she would become old!

Plur.

(If) we, you, they would become old; or: would that we, you, they would become old!
7) The Aorist.
a)

Sing.
rah vo dar-ēd-al-am, vol dar-ēd-am, I stood; etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
b)

Sing.



Plur.

*) شُوَّم is not so much in use as شوَم



8）The Habitual Aorist．
a）
Sing．
z ah bah vŏ dar－ēd－al－am，bah vol dar－ēd－am， I used to stand；etc．etc．（like the Imperfect）．
b）
Sing．
艮 aah bah zōr šv－am，I used to become old． etc．etc．（like the Aorist）．

C．With the participle perfect and the auxiliary ，to be＂ are formed：

9）The Perfect．
a）
Sing.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}^{3}\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
" & x \bar{j}(\mathrm{~m} .) \text { aah } & " \\
" & " & (\mathrm{f} .) & " \\
"
\end{array}\right\} \overline{\mathrm{e}}, \text { thou hast stood. }
\end{aligned}
$$

 dar－ēd－ī．

Plur.
 تَاسِى " tasse $\#$ aī, you have stood.

b)

Sing.



Plur.



10) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.
a)

Sing.


Plur.
(m. f.), ha yah dar-ēd-al-ī vil, they may have stood.
b)

Sing.


## Plur.


11) The Pluperfect.
a)

Sing.

etc. etc.
b)

Sing.

12) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.
a)

Sing.

I should have stood; etc. etc.
b)

Sing.

I should have become old; etc. etc.
13) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.
a)

Sing.

(If) I would have stood; or: would that I had stood! etc. etc.
b)

Sing.

(If) I would have become old; or: would that I had become old! etc. etc.
14) The Past Future.
a)

Sing.

I shall have stood; etc. etc.
b)

Sing.


III．Transitive verb ending in al， Infinitiv：$J_{J^{\text {M }}}^{\sim}$ ，šar－al, to eject．

Imperative．
Sing．
，vo šar－ah，eject！
Plur．


A．From the Imperative are formed：
1）The Present．
Sing．

的

Plur．
مُور شَرْو


2）The Subjunctive of the Present．
Sing．
rah vol šar－am，I may eject（that I eject）．
范 hah vol ṣar－ē，thou mayst eject．


هَغْx
Plur.

تَاسى وُ شْشَدُى
©
هَغغَه د.
3) The Future.

Sing.
; ; pah bah vol šar-am, I shall eject. etc. etc. (like the Present).
B. From the participle preterite are formed (with passive signification):
4) The Imperfect.

Sing.
;

(m.) ha rah ē šār-ah, he was ejected by him.
" (f.) " " šar-al-ăh, she was ejected by him. Plur.
مُور دُّى شَمُلْ

*) The pronominal suffix دُى (by him, her, them) is only added to show the construction and use of these tenses.
(m.) hayah ē šar-al "شَّهُ (f.) " "sar-al-ē they were ejected by him. 5) The Habitual Imperfect.

Sing.
 etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.

(If) he, she, they would be ejected, or: would that he, she, they would be ejected (by me, thee, him, her, us you, them) ${ }^{* *}$ ).
7) The Aorist.

Sing.
 etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
*) Or:
${ }^{* *}$ ) This mood is only used in the third person Sing. and Plur., the first and second person being avoided to prevent confusion with


## 8) The Habitual Aorist. Sing. aah bah er vo šar-al-am, I used to be ejected by him. etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).

aah bah ē vo šar-al-am, I used to be ejected by him.
C. With the participle perfect and the auxiliary 'to be' are formed (with passive signification):
9) The Perfect.

Sing.

$\left\{\begin{array}{llll}" & \text { " } & \text { (m. }) \text { tab } & " \\ " & " & (f .) & " \\ \hline\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \bar{e}, \text { thou hast been ejected } \\ & \text { by him. }\end{aligned}$
 Plur.


10) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.

## Sing.



> *) Or شَشَى šar-ai, fem. تَهِى šar-e (

Plur.
(com.) hamah ē šar-al-ī vī, they may have been ejected by him.
11) The Pluperfect.

Sing.



Plur.

(تَاسِى " (com.) tāse " " vail, you had been ejected

12) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.

Sing.

I should have been ejected by him; etc. etc. (like the Pluperfect).
13. The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.

Sing.

| وأى
(If) I, thou, he, she would have been ejected by him; or: would that I, thou, he, she had been ejected by him!

## Plur.

 val, vē, vāē,
(If) we, you, they would have been ejected by him; or: would that we, you, they had been ejected by him!

## 14. The Past Future.

Sing.




## Plur.

 ejected by him.
تَاسى " tāse " " " ain, you will have been ejected by him.
وَغَه " ayah " " " vī, they will have been ejected by him.

## IV. The causal verb ending in al.

## Infinitive:




## Imperative:

Sing.


مَx جَوْروَهِ
Plur.



*) The primitive causal verb is quite regular; here it is put down chiefly in contradistinction to the derivative causal, in order to show the different conjugation of both.
**) The gender of the adjective must agree with the object of the verb. Instead of ${ }_{5}^{\circ}$ ك the other auxiliary is also used in the Imperative, the Subjunctive of the Present, the Future and in the III person Sing. and Plural of the Aorist.

## A. From the Imperative are formed:

> 1) The Present.
a)

Sing.
yah vēr-av-am, I frighten.
rah vēr-av-ē, thou frightenest. هَهَغ وِبرْرِى ayah vēr-av-ī, he, she frightens.

Plur.



هَغَج وبِرْوِى ha yah vēr-av-i, they frighten.
b)

Sing.
z ah jōror-av-am, I restore.
etc. etc. (like وبرّروم).
2. The Subjunctive of the Present.
a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sing. }
\end{aligned}
$$

etc. etc.
b)

Sing.

كم̣ $\left\{\begin{array}{cccc}" & \text { تَ } & \text { rah } & " \\ " & " & " & "\end{array}\right\}$ kr-è, thou mayst restore.

 Plur．




3．The Future．
a）
Sing．
和 aah bah vol vēr－av－am，I shall frighten． etc．etc．（like the Present）．
b）
Sing．
 etc．etc．（like the Subjunctive）．

B．From the participle preterite are formed： （with passive signification）

4）The Imperfect．
a）
Sing．
rah è vér－av－al－am，I was frightened by him．
aah ē vêr-av-al-ē, thou wast frightened by him.



## Plur.



 , " him.
b)

Sing.
 etc. , etc. (like وبِروَلمَم).
5) The Habitual Imperfect.
a)

Sing.
 by him; etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
b)

Sing.
 by him; etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.
a) b)

Sing.

(If) he, she, they would be frightened (restored) by me, thee, him, her, us, you, them; or: would that he, she, they would be frightened (restored) by me! etc.

## 7) The Aorist.

a)

Sing.
zah vǒ ē vēr-av-al-am, I was frightened by him; etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
b)

Sing.



Plur．





8）The Habitual Aorist．
a）
Sing．
若 aah bah è vol vēr－av－ăl－am，I used to be frightened by him ；etc．etc．（like the Aorist－Imperf．）．
b）
Sing．
 restored by him；etc．etc．（like the Aorist）．

C．With the participle perfect and the auxiliary ，to be＂ are formed（with passive signification）：

9）The Perfect．
a）
Sing．
 etc．etc．（cf．the Perfect of the trans．verb，sub III）．
b)

Sing.
 etc. etc.

Plur.
 etc. etc.
10) The Subjunctive of the Perfect.
a)

Sing.
وِى (m.) hamah ē vēr-av-al-ai (vil, he, she may have


Plur.
(com.) ha yah è vēr-av-al-ī vī, they may have been frightened by him.
b)

Sing.

Plur.

11) The Pluperfect.
a)

Sing.

 etc. etc. (cf. the Pluperf. of the trans. verb, sub III).
b)
 etc. etc.
12) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.
a)

Sing.

I should have been frightened by him; etc. etc.
b)

I should have been restored by him; etc. etc.
13) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.
a)

Sing.

(If) I, thon, he, she had been frightened by him; or: would, that I, thou, he, she had been frightened by him!

## Plur.

(com.), mūzै, tāse, hayah ē
vēr-av-al-ī vai, vē, vāe
(If) we, you, they had been frightened by him; or: would that we, you, they had been frightened by him!
b)

Sing.
(If) I, thou, he, she had been restored by him; or: would that $I$, etc.

Plur.

(If) we, you, they had been restored by him; or: would that we, etc.

## 14) The Past Future.

a)

> Sing.

I shall have been frightened by him; etc. etc.
b)

> Sing.

I shall have been restored by him; etc. etc.

> V. The Passive. Infinitive (not in use).

Imperative.

## Sing.

Primit. trans. verb. a)

Deriv. causal. verb. b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { or: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Plur. }
\end{aligned}
$$


 or

1) The Present.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a) } \\
& \underset{\sim}{r} \text {, } \\
& \text { Plur. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^72]b)

Sing.
or:

Sing.
 etc. etc.

Plus.
 etc. etc.
2) The Subjunctive of the Present.
a)

b)

Sing.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { etc. etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

or:
Sing.
 etc. etc. (like the Present).
3) The Future.
a)
 etc. etc.
b)

Sing.
 etc. etc.
or:
Sing.

etc. etc.
4) The Imperfect.
a) Sing.

*) Or :
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b)

Sing.
 etc. etc.
or:
Sing.
 etc. etc.
5) The Habitual Imperfect.
a)

I used to be ejected. etc. etc.
b)

## Sing.


or:
Sing.

6) Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.
a)

Sing.

(If) I etc. would be ejected; or: would that I etc. would be ejected! etc. etc.
b)

Sing.

(If) I etc. would be restored: would that I etc. would be restored! etc. etc.
or:
Sing.

7) The Aorist.
a)

Sing.
 etc. etc.
b)

Sing.
 etc. etc.
or:
Sing.

8) The Habitual Aorist.
b)

Sing.
 I used to be ejected; etc. etc.
b)

Sing.
 etc. etc.
or:
Sing.
 etc. etc.
9) The Perfect.
a)
Sing.
 etc. etc.

Plur.
مُور شَمْكِ شَبِى يُو (com.) mūz̃ šaralī šavī yup, we have been ejected.
b)

Sing.
 I have been restored; etc. etc.

Plur.

10) The Subjanctive of the Perfect.
a)

Sing.

Plur.
هَغَx (com.) hayah šaralī šavī vī̀ they may have been
b)

Sing.

Plur.
.
11) The Pluperfect.
a)
Sing.

I had been ejected; etc. etc.
b) Sing.

I had been restored; etc. etc.
12) The Subjunctive of the Pluperfect.
a)

Sing.

I should have been ejected; etc. etc.
b)

Sing.
 I should have been restored; etc. etc.
13) The Conditional (Optative) of the Pluperfect.
a)

ज
(If) I had been ejected; or: would that I had been ejected! etc etc.
b)

Sing.

(If) I had been restored; or: would that I had been restored! etc. etc.

## 14) The Past Future.

a)

Sing.

I shall have been ejected; etc. etc.
b)

Sing.

I shall have been restored; etc. etc.
VI. The defective verb Ff ileal, to go.

Infinitive: تَّ trial, to go.
Imperative.
Sing.
, dah, lar šah, var šah, go!
Plur.


1) The Present.

Sing.
خَ z yah ḍ-am, I go.
er
هَغَx شُمى fayal di, he, she goes.

Plur.
m mint $4-\bar{u}$, we go.

ha yah diT, they ge.
2) The Subjunctive of the Present.

Sing.

تَه סَ
هَغَه لَا


Plur.
مُور لَار شُو
تَاسى لَا شَشْتُى tāse lār sain, you may go.
ha yah lār šī, they may go.
ayah de lar šī, they should go.
3) The Future.

Sing.
 I shall go. etc. etc. (like the Subjunctive).
 ever found in the Subjunctive. ور شَم is regularly conjugated.
**) ${ }^{*}$ )
4) The Imperfect.

Sing.
*) ; zn z ; aah tl-al-am, tl-am, I went. rah tl-al-ē, tl-ē, thou wentst.

Plur.
'مور تلَّلُو وتلو


5) The Habitual Imperfect.

Sing.
; aah bah tl-al-am, tl-am, I used to go. etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
6) Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect.
**)
(If) I, thou, he, she, we, you, they would go; or: would that I, thou etc. would go!
*) Either form may be used.
 Aorist).

## 7) The Aorist.

Sing.
*) ${ }^{\text {( }}$
aah lār-e, vo lār-ē, thou wentst.

Plur.
'مُو, mut lar-


8) The Habitual Aorist.

Sing.
 etc. etc. (like the Aorist).

The tenses and moods, which are formed with the participle perfect ( are quite regular.
*) The full form in use in the I. and II pars. Sing. and Plur. and in the III pere. fem. Sing. and Plur.




Imperative.
Sing. $\quad \dot{x} \bar{\Gamma}$,, rā-qah, rā šah, come!


1) The Present.

Sing.

etc. etc.
2) Subjunctive of the Present. Sing.
 etc. etc.
3) The Future.

Sing.
zah bah rā-šam, or: rā bah šam, I shall come; etc. etc.
4) The Imperfect.

Sing.
和; zah rā-tl-al-am, rā-tl-am, I came.
etc. etc. (like the Imperfect of تلَّ).
5) The Habitual Imperfect.

Sing.
和 zah bah rā-tl-al-am, bah rā-tl-am, I used to come; etc. etc. (like the Imperfect).
6) The Conditional (Optative) of the Imperfect. ; ja ; rah rā-tl-al-ai, t1-al-ē, t1-al-àe (rā-tl-āe),
(If) I etc. would come; or: would that I etc. would come! etc. etc. (like the Conditional of 3 ).
i) The Aorist.

Sing.
, rah rā- $\gamma \mathrm{l}$-al-am, rā- $\gamma \mathrm{l}$-am, I came.秋, رَاغْلَ

Plur.
" $\mathrm{Cu} \bar{z}$ ran- $\gamma \mathrm{l}-\mathrm{al}-\overline{\mathrm{u}}$, rā$-\gamma \mathrm{l}-\overline{\mathrm{u}}$, we came.



Other form of the Aorist *).
Sing.
aah vorra $\gamma 1-\mathrm{am}$, I came.
تَه وَرّْهِ

 and is only used in the Aorist; vo r is a verbal prefix and not the prefix of the Aorist.

Plur.


## Sing.

 I used to come; etc. etc. (like the Aorist).

The other tenses and moods, formed with the participle perfect


 having the same signification.

## Corrections.



On page 221 after the superscription: Tenses and moods etc. $\S 148$ should be added and the following $\S \S$ up to $\S 152$ (inclus.) should be advanced by one respectively. An occasional slip or masplacement of the vowel-points could not always be avoided though great care was taken in this respect; but the reader will find no diffaculty in setting a few mistakes of this kind right. For instance on
 read $\qquad$
,


年














[^0]:    *) Arabic words, used in the Paṣ̣̆to, prove nothing, as little as in Persian.
    **) Ueber die Sprache der Afghānen. Wien 1862.

[^1]:    *) This affinity of the Paṣ̆to to the modern Indian Prākrit idioms is already recoguised by Dr. Dorn in his learned „Grammatical remarks on the Pushto".
    **) Indische Antiquitäten I, p. 428 sqq.

[^2]:    *) With our present deficient knowledge of Paş̣to literature it is difficult to state, which is likely to be considered as the oldest Paṣsto work. Raverty (Introduction to his Paṣ̣̆to grammar, p. 32) states, that Shēkh Malī is the oldest Pặ̣tō author, who has described the conquest of Svāt (A.D. 1413-1424). But he seems not to have seen himself the work in question.

[^3]:    *) The following somewhat minute observations are destined to throw out a few hints for the intercomparison of the Paṣto with the cognate tongues.

[^4]:    *) The Pasṣto tribes have only in later times removed more towards the north.

[^5]:    *) But in common writing Hamzah is generally left out, as
    **) See my essay: On the language of the so-called Kā. firs in the Indian Caucasus. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. XIX.

[^6]:    *) Shakespear has not ventured to a $\cdot l d$ a gender in his Hindūst. Dictionary.

[^7]:    *) The abstract affix vālai is not to be compared with the Hindi välā (Sindhī vārō), for we have its form in Pạṣ̆tō still (vāl).

[^8]:    
    , وز vaz, a he-goat.

[^9]:    *) Appositions, like Kūtạh spai, a dog of the Kūtạh race, cannot be considered as compounds, as every noun is treated
     of the Küţăh race.

[^10]:    *) Similarly كُوجَى kūciai, a young donkey, fem. كوَى kùce.

[^11]:    *) That Arabic words ending in ī, may have ăh in the fem., is understood, as : نُبْىى nabī, prophet, fem. نَبْبَ nabiăh, prophetess. Some are generis communis, as ${ }^{\text {ö }}$ hādī, m. and fem., a guide.

[^12]:    *) The Pg̣ṣtō has neither a definite norindefinite article; see §. 178.

[^13]:    *) The Plural termination ah differs so far from the fem. termination arb see \& 39 , i .

[^14]:    *) To these may also be added indefinite pronouns as: "\& some, etc.

[^15]:    *) Also pronounced saglāv, is which case its Plural is saglav-ān.
     but the Hamzah (or $)$ ) is never written.

[^16]:    *) When final ab, al, an etc. of polysyllabic nouns is followed by another syllable, a passes naturally into the more distinct a.

[^17]:    *) Compare my Essay: „On the declensional features of the North-Indian Vernaculars", Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. XIX, Part 4, p. 402 sqq.
    **) This is always the case with all collective nouns.

[^18]:    *) This affix $\overline{0}$ is frequently written by Pēs ( - ) only, which is to be disproved of.
    **) The affix accedes in this and similar cases to the crude form (Nom. Sing.).

[^19]:    *) See on the formation of the Instrumental my essay: „On the declensional features of the North-Indian Vernaculars, p. 388.
    **) That the Paṣ̆tō Genitive-prefix $\bar{j}$ da is identical with the Panjàbī dā (Prākrit दो = Sansk. तस) is shown in my essay, „On the declensional features etc. p. 396. 6.
    ***) When a noun, preceded by a numeral ends in ah ( $(9.42, \mathrm{~g}$ ), it may remain in the Nom. Plur., though it be governed by a prefix
     كafter three years, etc.

[^20]:    *) Nouns comprised in the list 8. 52, a. being excepted.

[^21]:    *) كنبّه (is also frequently written F بَ pa and thus even joined with the noun itself, which ought to be avoided.

[^22]:    *) Those in $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{u}$ and au may also add $\bar{a}, a h$; before final $\bar{a}$
    

[^23]:    *) If $\bar{o}, \bar{u}$ and $\bar{i}$ be radical, they are of course not subject to
    

[^24]:    *) Some adjectives may retain $\overline{\bar{o}}$ and $\bar{u}$ in the Feminine, whereas in the Masculine (Format. Sing. and Nom. Plür. and Format. Plur.) they undergo the vowel-change.

[^25]:    *) Foreign adjectives, which do not distinguish between ah and ăh, we prefer to write simply with ah, without any mark.

[^26]:    *) But the Plural form aid silah is also occasionally met with.

[^27]:    *) is indeclinable and undergoes therefore no change in the Format. Plur.

[^28]:    *) In dvayan the root is dva; final ah is therefore not elided, but euphonic $\mathbf{y}$ inserted, as in all numerals ending in $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$.

[^29]:    *) Balōčī also tha-rā, to thee.

[^30]:    *) This form is only used when the noun following is put in the Formative.

[^31]:     drops its interrogative sense and assumes a relative siguification: as much (gusntum).

[^32]:    *) At the first look one might be tempted, to compare the Paṣ̀to $\overline{\text { end }}$-al with the Persian termination idan, as the Persian also forms its derivative verbs by means of this termination. But this termination idan is dropped in Persian in the Imperative and Present, whereas in Paṣ̆to it is retained throughout. About the Persian Infinitive termination see §. 125,4 , note.

[^33]:    *) All these verbs literally signifiy: to putaway.

[^34]:    *) The Paṣ̣̆tō has preserved the old Prākrit termination of the Sing. a, whereas in the inodern Indian vernaculars it has been dropped

[^35]:    *).

[^36]:    *) With euphonic inserted $v$.

[^37]:    *) The etymology of this Future prefix is obscure. We suppose, that it is connected with the Persian بَبَي, it is nccessary (Sansk. भाव्य);

[^38]:    *) When the first syllable is not cut off, they may well take the
    
    

[^39]:    *) See §. 162, I.
    **) As soon as final a is followed by another syllable, it is naturally charged to a, to give some support to the following syllable.

[^40]:    *) For this very reason, that the substantive verb quite coalesces with the participle past, the Paṣ̆ō cannot distinguish the gender or the number in the participle itself; in Sindhi this is still the case, the substantive verb acceding to the masculine or feminine of the participle past (Sing. and Plur.).
    **) It may therefore happen, that the Imperfect quite coincides as regards the form, with the Present (which, for instance, is often the case with كُمْ , which, according to circumstances, may signify: I do and: I was made).

[^41]:    *) Instead of a tl-ah.
    **) In $\int_{j}, y_{,}^{\prime}$ volār-al vŏ is $a$ verbal prefix (not the prefix of the Aorist), see §. 119.

[^42]:    *) On the use of the habitual Imperfect in conditional sentences see §. 216, 2.
    **) It is difficult to say, what the origin of this particle is (for it cannot be properly called a prefix, as it may also follow the verb). Very likely bah is shortened from ₹ंर्रात् (bhavat), it happened that, and the prefix of the Aorist ', , $y^{\prime}$, may be similarly shortened
     That the Sansk. root ${ }^{2}$ is employed in the formation of tenses is clearly proved by Bopp, Compar. Gram. §. 526; compare the Latin am-a-bam, am-a-vi, am-a-bo. - The Persian Aorist prefix $x^{4}$ sounds in Pārsĩ still ba and is apparently identical with the Paṣ̆to ,'

[^43]:    *) The cognate idioms offers no analogy to this Paṣ̂to mood, we do therefore not venture any conjecture on its formation for the present. In form it coincides with the participlepast conjunctive, as used in connexion with ${ }^{\text {ung }}$, to be able (see §. 169), which also has the three terminations ai, e and $\overline{\mathfrak{a}} e$. But in spite of this outward uniformity both forms can hardly be the same.

[^44]:    *) Literally: may be hidden, the whole tenor of the sentence being kept hypothetical.

[^45]:    *) If in the main part of a conditional sentence the Pluperfect (Conditional or Subjunctive) be employed, the Conditional etc. must likewise be translated as a Pluperfect: would have been.

[^46]:    ＊）In the form kande the old Sansk．flexional termination of the Plural anti（Perse．and）seems to be contained；the forms $k \bar{a}$ ，ka are quite anomalous．
     ，，etc．But when $\mathcal{J}$ is used，the prefix $;$ is frequently omitted，as：
    

[^47]:    strictly speaking, not the case. The verbal root forms, after the rejection of final an, the participlepast (cf. §. 125, 4, note) and this is compounded with the finite verb, as proved by the Indian Prākrit idioms and the Pạ̦̊̌to. That also the lnfinitive may be joined with those verbs, does not speak against it.
    *) The termination al-ai, al- $\bar{a} \bar{e}$ has its precedent already in Sanskrit, as: निर्गत्य (निर्गम्) etc.

[^48]:    *) In the Future the Subjunctive prefix ,' is not used, the verb being composite.

[^49]:    *) The difference between this and a regular passive construction is easily seen.
    **) Literally: its repulsion ( $\operatorname{cَc}$ s. f.) could not be made by the disciple.

[^50]:    *) The Aorist may therefore outwardly coincide with the Imperfect.

[^51]:    *) This is throughout the case with every compound verb, as:
    

[^52]:    *) The sense is: how long docst thou not stand on severe terms with thy belly?

[^53]:    *; When ${ }^{2}$ with a substantive forms a so-called Bahuvrihi or possessive adjective (cf. §. 38, 4 d ), it does not influence the termination of the noun, because it is no longer a preposition, e. g. be $\gamma$ ama, without care, but be $\gamma \mathrm{am}$, adjective, not having care, free from care.

[^54]:    *) may also be compounded with the pronominal suftix $\stackrel{e}{\sim}$

[^55]:    *) I. e ad calendas graecas.

[^56]:    *) The words: - 'is good, not', imply a comparison: better than.

[^57]:    *) Instead of $x \underset{\text { r }}{ }$ the Persian $x$ (which is etymologically ident-
    

[^58]:    *) On

[^59]:    *) I. e.: that he may always be occupied with.

[^60]:    *) Literally: if a diminution is made from the matter of the wound.
    **) The text is no doubt defective ; it should be read: etc. نور میى.

[^61]:    *) Literally: Wandering on the ocean, like a wave, I am happy before that (تَر هَغَx), that I see etc.

[^62]:    *) In the Formative both nouns may be alike, as the termination al may be dropped in the Formative; see §. 14.
    **) Literally: disagreeable speaking they never made.

[^63]:    *) This refers to the practice of the Afglāns to put a spot on the forchead of those children, who were born in an inauspicious hour, in order to preserve them from evil.

[^64]:    *) gyre, instead of $9 \boldsymbol{y}$ gre, on account of the rhyme.

[^65]:    *) Now and then the prefix of the Aorist ', is put before the Conditional, so that the Conditional approaches the form of an Aorist; this is chiefly the case, when the Conditional is to be turned into the Pluperfect tense.

[^66]:    *) I. e. what shall 1 do with.

[^67]:    *) The proper translation would run thus: the moth has burnt itself - by the candle. -

[^68]:    *) سِبَاهِى , batants.

[^69]:    *) $\hat{\omega} \overline{\dot{y}}=\hat{j} \dot{\omega}$, the conjunction $\hat{\rho}$ being occasionally shortened to $u$;

[^70]:    which the Conditional of the Pluperfect is required, corresponding to the Conditional of the Pluperfect, used in the dependent sentence headed by $\bar{\Sigma}$, if.

[^71]:    *) Literally: the first sister.

[^72]:    *) The participles remain the same through all the three persons of the Singular and Plural respectively; we therefore only exhibit the first person.

